THIRD DIVISION

[G.R. No. 192428, April 20, 2016]

PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. ELPEDIO CASTAÑAS Y ESPINOSA ACCUSED-APPELLANT.

DECISION

PEREZ, J.:

Before us for review is the Decision^[1] of the Court of Appeals, Nineteenth Division in CA-G.R. CR-HC No. 00014 dated 31 March 2009, which dismissed the appeal of appellant Elpedio Castañas y Espinosa and affirmed with modification the Judgment^[2] of the Regional Trial Court (RTC) of Naval, Biliran, Branch 16, in Criminal Case No. N-2295, finding appellant guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the crime of Statutory Rape.

In line with the ruling of this Court in *People v. Cabalquinto*,^[3] the real name and identity of the rape victim, as well as the members of her immediate family, are not disclosed. The rape victim shall herein be referred to as A A A, and her mother as BBB.

Appellant was charged with the crime of rape in an Information, the accusatory portion of which reads as follows:

That on or about the 12th day of JANUARY, 2004, at about 10:30 o'clock in the morning, more or less, at Brgy. Banlas, Municipality of Maripipi, Biliran Province, Philippoines (sic), and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, said accused, actuated by lust and taking advantage ofthe innocence of [AAA], a 4-year old minor Day Care Pupil, did then and there brought the latter to the house of a certain Esok, and thereafter accused wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously laid her down and he, in turn took off his pants and underwear, laid on top of said minor [AAA] against her, to her damage and prejudice.

CONTRARY TO LAW with the aggravating circumstances of abuse of superior strength and that victim is a minor child 4 years of age.^[4]

Appellant pleaded not guilty to the crime charged. Trial on the merits ensued.

AAA, who was only four (4) years old at the time of the commission of the crime, and five (5) years old when she took the witness stand, stated that she knows the appellant as "*tatay Pedio*." She testified that she had been sexually abused by the latter two (2) times. The first time was in the house of a certain *Uncle Haludo*. The second time was on 12 January 2004 when appellant brought her to the house of a certain Uncle Isok. With no one else in the house, appellant removed AAA's panty, touched and kissed her vagina, sexually abused and had sexual intercourse with her.

BBB, AAA's mother, confirmed that AAA was four (4) years old at the time of the commission of the crime and this was supported by AAA's birth certificate presented in court. BBB narrated that in the morning of said date, she had asked AAA to bathe. Appellant, who was a neighbor and who was within the area, then interrupted to say in the vernacular, "*karigo Eday para makiiha an hiras*" which means "take a bath, Eday, to take away the itchiness." After the bath, when AAA was without underwear, BBB noticed AAA's female anatomy to be reddish. BBB asked AAA the reason for the redness and AAA replied that appellant had kissed it. BBB then brought AAA to her mother's house, and there AAA revealed that appellant sexually molested her or "*hupit*. " Thus, BBB took AAA to the hospital for medical examination.^[6]

AAA was physically examined by Dr. Noel Albeda on 12 January 2004. Per his Medical Certificate dated 12 January 2004:

Awake, concious (sic), coherent, ambulatory and not in CP distress. Pelvic Exam: (+) hypermia (sic) at both labial, minor folds. (+) tenderness at hymenal area with slight application of cotton buds POSITIVE for Spermatozoal Examination.^[7]

During direct examination, Dr. Albeda explained that AAA's vaginal opening was reddish due to friction or hematoma from an object which could include a sexual organ. There was tenderness at the hymenal area as an examination of which caused AAA to complain; which examination yielded positive results for presence of spermatozoa. Dr. Albeda opines that someone forced himself into AAA's female anatomy but could not penetrate due to its smallness in size and thus the discharge outside it. There was trauma on the labia minora and spermatozoal specimen was found in the hymenal area, by the mouth of the vagina, on the face of the labia minora.^[8]

Appellant, for his part, denied the charges. He testified that he knows AAA because they are neighbors. He claimed that on 12 January 2004, at 9 o'clock in the morning, AAA approached him and went to his house as she often did. There was no one else around at that time. Appellant claimed that AAA placed herself on his lap while he was merely wearing underwear. Appellant confessed that when he reached orgasm, he slapped AAA on her vagina. Appellant admitted to being inebriated that time.^[9]

On 30 November 2004, appellant was found guilty beyond reasonable doubt of statutory rape. The dispositive portion of the RTC Decision reads:

WHEREFORE, premises considered, this [c]ourt finds the accused Elpedio Castañas Y Espinosa **GUILTY** in Criminal Case No. N-2295; hereby imposing upon him the penalty of **DEATH** by lethal injection.

The accused shall pay [AAA] the amount of P75,000.00 as moral damages and to further pay P50,000.00 in civil indemnity for the rape committed.^[10]

[5]

On intermediate review, the Court of Appeals rendered the assailed decision affirming with modification the trial court's judgment, to wit:

WHEREFORE, in view of all the foregoing, the assailed Decision of the Regional Trial Court dated November 30, 2004 finding accused-appellant Elpedio Castañas y Espinosa guilty beyond reasonable doubt of Rape is hereby **AFFIRMED with MODIFICATION**. Accordingly, accused-appellant is sentenced to suffer the penalty of *reclusion perpetua* and is ordered to indemnify AAA the amounts of P75,000.00 as civil indemnity, P75,000.00 as moral damages and P25,000.00 as exemplary damages. [11]

Appellant filed the instant appeal. In a Resolution^[12] dated 04 August 2010, appellant and the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG) were asked to file their respective supplemental briefs if they so desired. OSG manifested that it was adopting its brief filed before the appellate court^[13] while appellant filed his Supplemental Brief^[14] in which he insists that if he indeed raped AAA, such a violent act would have left a physical sign or mark.

We affirm the appellant's conviction.

Rape is committed as follows:

Article 266-A. Rape; When and How committed. - Rape is committed -

1. By a man who shall have carnal knowledge of a woman under any of the following circumstances:

- a. Through force, threat or intimidation;
- b. When the offended party is deprived of reason or otherwise unconscious;
- c. By means of fraudulent machination or grave abuse of authority; and
- d. When the woman is under twelve (12) years of age or is demented, even though none of the circumstances mentioned above be present.

 $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$

Article 266-B. *Penalties* - Rape under paragraph 1 of the next preceding article shall be punished by *reclusion perpetua*.

 $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$

The death penalty shall also be imposed if the crime of rape is committed with any of the following attendant circumstances:

хххх

5) When the victim is a child below seven (7) years old;

хххх

Statutory rape is committed by sexual intercourse with a woman below twelve (12) years of age regardless of her consent, or the lack of it to the sexual act. Proof of force, intimidation, or consent is unnecessary. These are not elements of statutory rape as the absence of free consent is conclusively presumed when the victim is below the age of twelve. At that age, the law presumes that the victim does not possess discernment and is incapable of giving intelligent consent to the sexual act. To convict an accused of the crime of statutory rape, the prosecution carries the burden of proving; (1) the age of the complainant; (2) the identity of the accused; and (3) the sexual intercourse between the accused and the complainant.^[15]

In rape cases, primordial is the credibility of the victim's testimony because the accused may be convicted solely on said testimony provided it is credible, natural, convincing and consistent with human nature and the normal course of things.^[16] Testimonies of child victims are given full weight and credit, for when a woman or a girl-child says that she has been raped, she says in effect all that is necessary to show that rape was indeed committed. Youth and maturity are generally badges of truth and sincerity.^[17]

The prosecution presented proof of the required elements of statutory rape. AAA's age, only four (4) years old at the time of the crime, was shown by her Birth Certificate; she was born on 6 February 1999 while the alleged rape was committed on 12 January 2004.^[18] AAA also positively identified in court appellant as the perpetrator of the crime.^[19] AAA, in the painstaking and degrading public trial, in all of her five (5) years, also related the painful ordeal of her sexual abuse by appellant. AAA's testimony was found by the trial court, which had the better position to evaluate and appreciate testimonial evidence, to be more credible than that of the defense.^[20] Following are pertinent portions:

- Q [AAA], do you know a certain "Pedio"?
- A Yes, Sir.
- Q 0 Please point him out if he is inside this office [.]
- A A That man. (Witness pointing to a man who when asked of his name answered Elpedio Castañas)
- Q Personally, how do you call him?
- A Tatay Pedio.
- Q What did your Tay Pedio do to you?
- A "Guinhupit ako." (Meaning: "He sexually abused me.")
- Q Who sexually abused you?
- À Tay Pedio.
- хх

хх

- Q On January 12, 2004, do you remember what your Tay Pedio do to you?
- A Yes, Sir.
- Q What did your Tay Pedio do to you?
- A I was undressed by him.
- Q In whose house?
- A In the house of Uncle Isok.
- Q After your Tay Pedio undressed you, what did he do to you?