

EN BANC

[G. R. Nos. 140736-39, February 04, 2003]

**PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS.
CARLOS LILO, ACCUSED-APPELLANT.**

DECISION

PER CURIAM:

For automatic review is the decision rendered by Branch 25 of the Regional Trial Court (RTC) of Iloilo City finding accused-appellant Carlos Lilo guilty of incestuous rape on four counts and sentencing him to suffer the penalty of death on one count and *reclusion perpetua* on three.

By the account of private complainant, AAA, the only child of accused-appellant, she was raped numerous times by him but could recall only four occasions,^[1] those subject of the criminal complaint docketed as Criminal Case No. 49823 and three separate informations docketed as Criminal Case Nos. 49824, 49825 and 49826 filed on September 3, 1998 at the RTC of Iloilo City, for acts allegedly committed in October 1995, May 24, 1998, July 24, 1998, and May 19, 1998, respectively, as follows:

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 49823

That in October 1995, in the Municipality of [REDACTED], Province of [REDACTED], Philippines, and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused, armed with a bolo, with deliberate intent, by means of force and intimidation and with abuse of confidence and trust, did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously have carnal knowledge of the undersigned complainant AAA, his daughter, who was then seventeen (17) years old, against her will and/or consent.

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 49824

That on or about May 24, 1998, in the Municipality of [REDACTED], Province of [REDACTED], Philippines, and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused, armed with a bladed weapon, with deliberate intent, by means of force and intimidation and with abuse of confidence and trust, did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously have carnal knowledge of his daughter AAA, against her will and/or consent.

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 49825

That on or about July 24, 1998, in the Municipality of [REDACTED], Province of [REDACTED], Philippines, and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused, armed with a bladed weapon, with deliberate

intent, by means of force and intimidation and with abuse of confidence and trust, did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously have carnal knowledge of his daughter AAA, against her will and/or consent.

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 49826

That on or about May 19, 1998, in the Municipality of [REDACTED], Province of [REDACTED], Philippines, and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the above-named accused, armed with a bladed weapon, with deliberate intent, by means of force and intimidation and with abuse of confidence and trust, did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously have carnal knowledge of his daughter AAA, against her will and/or consent.

Recalling the rape which occurred on **a day in October 1995** subject of Criminal Case No. 49823, AAA declared as follows:

After accused-appellant left for his farm in Barangay [REDACTED], a kilometer away from his house,^[2] she followed him, bringing him food.^[3]

After accused-appellant had eaten at around 10:30 a.m., he summoned her.^[4] As she obliged, he held her, pointed a bolo at her and told her not to make any noise.^[5] She was thus prompted to ask him what he intended to do, he being her father, to which he replied: "You are my daughter and I was the one who caused you to be born. I was the one feeding you, clothing you. Afterwards others will make use of you? I will do it first."^[6]

Accused-appellant thereupon dragged her toward the sugarcane field some thirty meters from the farm and upon reaching it, he hugged her thighs and laid her on the ground.^[7] She resisted and fought back, but he punched her, and she proved no match for him.^[8]

Accused-appellant then removed her panty, raised her shirt, felt her whole body and kissed her lips and breasts.^[9] Thereafter, he undressed himself, laid flat on her, and forced his penis into her vagina where it stayed for one (1) minute, he "mov[ing] his buttocks up and down," drawing her to cry.^[10] When he was through, accused-appellant cautioned her not to tell anybody what happened, otherwise he would kill her, her mother, and her grandmother.^[11]

A certification from the Office of the Local Civil Registrar of [REDACTED] shows that AAA was only 17 years and 4 months old at the time of the incident.^[12]

With respect to the **May 19, 1998** incident subject of Criminal Case No. 49826, AAA related that while she was at home sleeping, accused-appellant woke her up, pointed a bolo at her and threatened her not to make any noise.^[13] While holding the bolo in one hand, accused-appellant undressed her, touched her body, kissed her on the lips and breasts,^[14] and "did to [her what] he did to [her] in the past."^[15]

The sexual act was repeated in the same manner, so AAA claimed, on **May 24, 1998**^[16] when at around midnight while she was at home, accused-appellant did to

her the, "same thing he had done to [her] before."^[17]

Finally, on the **July 24, 1998** incident, AAA declared that as she lay asleep at 10:30 p.m., after a long night at a school function, accused-appellant woke her up, pointed a bolo at her and threatened her not to make any noise.^[18] He then "undressed [her] and d[id] what he [had] done to [her] again."^[19]

When asked why she never reported the incidents to her mother, AAA responded that her mother seldom went home on account of her work in "town" and that she was under constant threat from accused-appellant not to disclose the incidents to anyone.^[20]

In August 1998, following her attendance in a symposium on abuses committed against children and women held at the [REDACTED] Agricultural and Industrial College where she was enrolled, AAA, then already 20, revealed to her friend Joy Lyn Casalan about accused-appellant's molestations on her.^[21]

Accompanied by Joy, she, on August 12, 1998, reported to the local police station the multiple rapes committed against her, and on the instruction of the police, she on even date submitted herself to medical examination which showed that she had 3 healed lacerations in the hymen located at 4, 6 and 8 o'clock positions.^[22]

Accused-appellant denied the accusations against him.

The trial court, however, discredited accused-appellant's denial and found him guilty of four counts of rape in the decision on review, the dispositive portion of which reads:

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the court, finding the accused, Carlos Lilo, guilty of four (4) counts of rape beyond reasonable doubt, imposes upon him the following penalties:

In each of the cases docketed as Crim. Cases Nos. 49824, 49825 and 49826, the penalty of reclusion perpetua with the accessory penalties as provided in Article 42 of the Revised Penal Code;

In Crim. Case No. 49823 the penalty of death with such accessory penalties as provided in Article 40 of the Revised Penal Code; and

To pay private complainant P50,000.00 as moral damages as well as pay the cost.^[23]

Before this Court, accused-appellant disputes the finding that he is guilty beyond reasonable doubt,^[24] and assails the reliance by the trial court on the testimony of AAA who was merely motivated, so he claims, by resentment in filing the complaint due to the fact that he often maltreated her.^[25]

Accused-appellant's submission does not persuade. This Court finds his claim to be a mere afterthought for, during the trial, when asked if he knew of any motive why AAA filed the cases against him, he replied in the negative.^[26] Further, this Court

has consistently echoed its ruling that parental punishment would not suffice to egg one to falsely charge one's father with rape.^[27]

Accused-appellant goes on to harp on AAA's inability to recall the exact date when the incident in October 1995 was allegedly committed.^[28] Failure to recall the exact date of the crime, however, is not an indication of false testimony,^[29] for even discrepancies regarding exact dates of rapes are inconsequential and immaterial and cannot discredit the credibility of the victim as a witness.^[30]

Accused-appellant goes further to posit that rape could not have been committed in October 1995 in broad daylight, particularly in a farm where there were other people who were supposed to be at work.^[31] Judicial notice, however, is taken of the fact, and it can be considered of public knowledge, that the scene of rape is not always or necessarily isolated or secluded,^[32] as it can be committed even in places where people congregate, in parks along the roadside, in school premises, in a house where there are other occupants, in the same room where other members of the family are also sleeping, and even in places which to many would appear unlikely and high-risk venues for its commission.^[33]

Finally, accused-appellant focuses on AAA's behavior after the alleged rape incidents as running counter to human nature.^[34] He cites AAA's casually leaving the place where she claims to have been molested in October 1995 and continuing to work in the farm with him as if nothing happened.^[35] The behavior or reaction of every person to a certain event cannot, however, be predicted with accuracy, and may be dealt with in any way by the victim whose testimony may be given full credence so long as her credibility is not tainted by any modicum of doubt.^[36]

The undoubted credibility of the witness in AAA and of her testimony notwithstanding, this Court finds that the prosecution has proven only one (1) count of rape, that committed in **October 1995**. The following testimony of AAA instructs so:

Q- And for how long did your father have sexual intercourse with you?

A- For almost three (3) minutes.

Q- And after that what happened?

A- After putting my clothes again he was frightening me not to tell anybody.

COURT:

Q- **Was your father able to put his sex organ into yours?**

A- **Yes, your honor.**^[37] (*Emphasis supplied*).

With respect to the alleged incident of **May 19, 1998**, the following tale of AAA, quoted *verbatim*, does not establish the commission of rape.

PROS. GEDUSPAN

Q- Now, you said your father sexually mollested you again after October 1995. When was that?

A- On May 19, 1998.

COURT:

xxx

Q- And what made you say that it was your father who **raped** you?

A- Because I know him your honor.

Q- But you said you were asleep already?

A- Yes, your honor.

Q- Were you still sleeping when your father **raped** you?

A- He would wake me up.

Q- Were you already **raped** or your father was in the act of raping you when he awaken you?

A- Before, your honor.

Q- So, before he **raped** you he awaken you?

A- Yes, your honor.

xxx

Q- And what happened when you woke up?

A- He was pointing his bolo at me and after he had awakened me he would threaten me not to make any noice and **all what he did to me he did it to me like in the past.**

xxx

Q- **What actually did your father do?**

A- **Pointing a bolo at me, he would undress me, removed my panty and then my blouse and touch my whole body, kiss my lips and then my breast,** your honor.

xxx

Q- On May 19, 1998, how long did your father **consummate**