

GENERAL NOTICE

NOTICE 637 OF 2009

COMPENSATION FOR OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES AND DISEASED ACT, 1993 (ACT NO. 130 OF 1993)

1. I, Membathisi Mphumzi Shepherd Mdladlana, Minister of Labour, hereby give notice that, after consultation with the Compensation Board and acting under the powers vested in me by section 97 of the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act, 1993 (Act No. 130 of 1993), I prescribe the scale of "Fees for Medical Aid" payable under section 76, inclusive of the General Rules applicable thereto, appearing in the Schedule to this notice, with effect from **1 April 2009**.

2. The fees appearing in the Schedule are applicable in respect of services rendered on or after **1 April 2009** and **Exclude VAT**.



M M S MDLADLANA
MINISTER OF LABOUR
DATE: 20/03/2009

GENERAL INFORMATION / ALGEMENE INLIGTING

THE EMPLOYEE AND THE MEDICAL SERVICE PROVIDER

The employee is permitted to freely choose his own service provider e.g. doctor, pharmacy, physiotherapist, hospital, etc. and no interference with this privilege is permitted, as long as it is exercised reasonably and without prejudice to the employee or to the Compensation Fund. The only exception to this rule is in case where an employer, with the approval of the Compensation Fund, provides comprehensive medical aid facilities to his employees, i.e. including hospital, nursing and other services — section 78 of the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act refers.

In terms of section 42 of the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act the Compensation Fund may refer an injured employee to a specialist medical practitioner of his choice for a medical examination and report. Special fees are payable when this service is requested.

In the event of a change of medical practitioner attending to a case, the first doctor in attendance will, except where the case is transferred to a specialist, be regarded as the principal. **To avoid disputes regarding the payment for services rendered, medical practitioners should refrain from treating an employee already under treatment by another doctor without consulting / informing the first doctor.** As a general rule, changes of doctor are not favoured by the Compensation Fund, unless sufficient reasons exist.

According to the National Health Act no 61 of 2003, Section 5, a health care provider may not refuse a person emergency medical treatment. Such a medical service provider should not request the Compensation Fund to authorise such treatment before the claim has been submitted to and accepted by the Compensation Fund. **Pre-authorisation of treatment is not possible and no medical expense will be approved if liability for the claim has not been accepted by the Compensation Fund.**

An employee seeks medical advice at his own risk. If an employee represented to a medical service provider that he is entitled to treatment in terms of the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act, and yet failed to inform the Compensation Commissioner or his employer of any possible grounds for a claim, the Compensation Fund cannot accept responsibility for medical expenses incurred. The Compensation Commissioner could also have reasons not to accept a claim lodged against the Compensation Fund. In such circumstances the employee would be in the same position as any other member of the public regarding payment of his medical expenses.

Please note that from 1 January 2004 a certified copy of an employee's identity document will be required in order for a claim to be registered with the Compensation Fund. If a copy of the identity document is not submitted the claim will not be registered but will be returned to the employer for attachment of a certified copy of the employee's identity document. Furthermore, all supporting documentation submitted to the Compensation Fund must reflect the identity number of the employee. If the identity number is not included such documents can not be processed but will be returned to the sender to add the ID number.

The tariff amounts published in the tariff guides to medical services rendered in terms of the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act do not include VAT. All accounts for services rendered will be assessed without VAT. Only if it is indicated that the service provider is registered as a VAT vendor and a VAT registration number is provided, will VAT be calculated and added to the payment, without being rounded off.

The only exception is the “per diem” tariffs for Private Hospitals that already include VAT.

Please note that there are VAT exempted codes in the private ambulance tariff structure.

DIE WERKNEMER EN DIE MEDIESE DIENSVERSKAFFER

Die werknemer het 'n vrye keuse van diensverskaffer bv. dokter, apteek, fisioterapeut, hospitaal ens. en geen inmenging met hierdie voorreg word toegelaat nie, solank dit redelik en sonder benadeling van die werknemer self of die Vergoedingsfonds uitgeoefen word. Die enigste uitsondering op hierdie reël is in geval waar die werkgever met die goedkeuring van die Vergoedingskommissaris omvattende geneeskundige dienste aan sy werknemers voorsien, d.i. insluitende hospitaal-, verplegings- en ander dienste — artikel 78 van die Wet op Vergoeding vir Beroepsbeserings en Siektes verwys.

Kragtens die bepalings van artikel 42 van die Wet op Vergoeding vir Beroepsbeserings en Siektes mag die Vergoedingskommissaris 'n beseerde werknemer na 'n ander geneesheer deur homself aangewys verwys vir 'n mediese ondersoek en verslag. Spesiale fooie is betaalbaar vir hierdie diens wat feitlik uitsluitlik deur spesialiste gelewer word.

In die geval van 'n verandering in geneesheer wat 'n werknemer behandel, sal die eerste geneesheer wat behandeling toegedien het, behalwe waar die werknemer na 'n spesialis verwys is, as die lasgewer beskou word. Ten einde geskille rakende die betaling vir dienste gelewer te voorkom, moet geneeshere hul daarvan weerhou om 'n werknemer wat reeds onder behandeling is te behandel sonder om die eerste geneesheer in te lig. Oor die algemeen word verandering van geneesheer, tensy voldoende rede daarvoor bestaan, nie aangemoedig nie.

Volgens die Nasionale Gesondheidswet no 61 van 2003 Afdeling 5, mag 'n gesondheidswerker of diensverskaffer nie weier om noodbehandeling te verskaf nie. Die Vergoedingskommissaris kan egter nie sulke behandeling goedkeur alvorens aanspreeklikheid vir die eis kragtens die Wet op Vergoeding vir Beroepsbeserings en Siektes aanvaar is nie. Vooraf goedkeuring vir behandeling is nie moontlik nie en geen mediese onkoste sal betaal word as die eis nie deur die Vergoedingsfonds aanvaar word nie.

Dit moet in gedagte gehou word dat 'n werknemer geneeskundige behandeling op sy eie risiko aanvra. As 'n werknemer dus aan 'n geneesheer voorgee dat hy geregtig is op behandeling in terme van die Wet op Vergoeding vir Beroepsbeserings en Siektes en tog versuim om die Vergoedingskommissaris of sy werkgever in te lig oor enige moontlike gronde vir 'n eis, kan die Vergoedingsfonds geen aanspreeklikheid aanvaar vir geneeskundige onkoste wat aangegaan is nie. Die

Vergoedingskommissaris kan ook rede hê om 'n eis teen die Vergoedingsfonds nie te aanvaar nie. Onder sulke omstandighede sou die werknemer in dieselfde posisie verkeer as enige lid van die publiek wat betaling van sy geneeskundige onkoste betref.

Neem asseblief kennis dat 'n gesertifiseerde afskrif van die werknemer se identiteitsdokument benodig word vanaf 1 Januarie 2004 om 'n eis by die Vergoedingsfonds aan te meld. Indien 'n afskrif van die identiteitsdokument nie aangeheg is nie, sal die eis nie geregistreer word nie en die dokumente sal teruggestuur word aan die werkewer vir die aanheg van die ID dokument. Alle ander dokumentasie wat aan die kantoor gestuur word moet ook die identiteitsnommer aandui. Indien nie aangedui nie, sal die dokumentasie nie verwerk word nie, maar teruggestuur word vir die aanbring van die identiteitsnommer.

Die bedrae gepubliseer in die handleiding tot tariewe vir dienste gelewer in terme van die Wet op Vergoeding vir Beroepsbeserings en Siektes, sluit BTW uit. Die rekenings vir dienste gelewer word aangeslaan en bereken sonder BTW.

Indien BTW van toepassing is en 'n BTW registrasienommer voorsien is, word BTW bereken en by die betalingsbedrag gevoeg sonder om afgerond te word.

Die enigste uitsondering is die "per diem" tarief vir Privaat Hospitale, wat BTW insluit.

Neem asseblief kennis dat daar tariewe in die kodesstruktuur vir privaat ambulanse is waarop BTW nie betaalbaar is nie.

**CLAIMS WITH THE COMPENSATION FUND ARE PROCESSED AS
FOLLOWS •
EISE TEEN DIE VERGOEDINGSFONDS WORD AS VOLG GEHANTEER**

1. New claims are registered by the Compensation Fund and the **employer is notified of the claim number** allocated to the claim. The allocation of a claim number by the Compensation Fund, does not constitute acceptance of liability for a claim, but means that the injury on duty has been reported to and registered by the Compensation Commissioner. Enquiries regarding claim numbers should be directed to the employer and not to the Compensation Fund. The employer will be in the position to provide the claim number for the employee as well as indicate whether the claim has been accepted by the Compensation Fund • *Nuwe eise word geregistreer deur die Vergoedingsfonds en die werkgewer word in kennis gestel van die eisnommer. Navrae aangaande eisnommers moet aan die werkgewer gerig word en nie aan die Vergoedingskommissaris nie. Die werkgewer kan die eisnommer verskaf en ook aandui of die Vergoedingsfonds die eis aanvaar het of nie*
2. If a claim is **accepted** as a COIDA claim, **reasonable medical expenses** will be paid by the Compensation Commissioner • *As 'n eis deur die Vergoedingsfonds aanvaar is, sal redelike mediese koste betaal word deur die Vergoedingsfonds.*
3. If a claim is **rejected (repudiated)**, accounts for services rendered will not be paid by the Compensation Commissioner. The employer and the employee will be informed of this decision and the injured employee will be liable for payment. • *As 'n eis deur die Vergoedingsfonds afgekeur (gerepudieer) word, word rekenings vir dienste gelewer nie deur die Vergoedingsfonds betaal nie. Die betrokke partye insluitend die diensverskaffers word in kennis gestel van die besluit. Die beseerde werknemer is dan aanspreeklik vir betaling van die rekenings.*
4. If **no decision** can be made regarding acceptance of a claim due to inadequate information, the outstanding information will be requested and upon receipt, the claim will again be adjudicated on. Depending on the outcome, the accounts from the service provider will be dealt with as set out in 2 and 3. Please note that there are claims on which a decision might never be taken due to lack of forthcoming information • *Indien geen besluit oor die aanvaarding van 'n eis weens 'n gebrek aan inligting geneem kan word nie, sal die uitstaande inligting aangevra word. Met ontvangs van sulke inligting sal die eis heroorweeg word. Afhangende van die uitslag, sal die rekening gehanteer word soos uiteengeset in punte 1 en 2. Ongelukkig bestaan daar eise waaroor 'n besluit nooit geneem kan word nie aangesien die uitstaande inligting nooit verskaf word nie.*