| estudios y perspectivas | 72                |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| S                       |                   |
| ш                       | MÉXICO            |
| ₽<br>₽                  | DE LA CEPAL<br>EN |
| -                       | SEDE SUBREGIONAL  |
| ш                       |                   |

**B**est practices in defence of competition in Argentina and Brazil: useful aspects for Central America

**Diego Petrecolla** 



CEPAL

International Trade and Industry Unit

México, D. F., May 2007



This document was prepared by Diego Petrecolla, consultant of the International Trade and Industry Unit of ECLAC/Mexico, within the framework of the CEPAL/IDRC Project: "Strengthening competition in the Central American Isthmus: National policies and institutions, regional coordination and participation in international negotiations" (CAN 04-001).

The views expressed in this document, which has been reproduced without formal editing, are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Organization.

United Nations Publication ISSN printed version: 1680-8800 ISSN online version 1684-0364 ISBN: 978-92-1-121650-9 LC/L.2677-P LC/MEX/L.726/Rev.1 ORIGINAL: SPANISH Sales N°: E.07.II.G.26 Copyright © United Nations, May 2007. All rights reserved Printed in United Nations, Mexico, D. F.

Applications for the right to reproduce this work are welcomed and should be sent to the Secretary of the Publications Board, United Nations Headquarters, New York, N. Y. 10017, U.S.A. Member States and their governmental institutions may reproduce this work without prior authorization, but are requested to mention the source and inform the United Nations of such reproduction.

## Contents

| Abs  | tract | t   | 5  |
|------|-------|---|----|
| Intr | oduc  | etion   | 7  |
| I.   | Leg   | islation  | 9  |
|      | 1.    | Objectives of the legislation on defence of competition   | 9  |
|      | 2.    | Historical analysis and application of the legislation    | 10 |
|      | 3.    | Institutional structure in charge of application of       |    |
|      |       | competition policies                                      | 20 |
|      | 4.    | Application of competition policies                       | 20 |
|      | 5.    | Comparative summary between Argentina and Brazil          | 25 |
| II.  | Mai   | n cases analysed  | 27 |
|      | 1.    | Background to mergers                                     | 27 |
|      | 2.    | Background to conducts                                    | 31 |
| III. | Rela  | ation between regulatory bodies and the competition       |    |
|      | ager  | ncy and international cooperation                         | 39 |
|      | 1.    | Relations between the competition agency and regulatory   |    |
|      |       | bodies  | 39 |
|      | 2.    | Legal provisions and collaboration among the countries    |    |
|      |       | of MERCOSUR   | 41 |
| IV.  | Con   | clusions  | 45 |
|      | 1.    | Sphere of application of the law                          | 45 |
|      | 2.    | The competition agency should have independence and       |    |
|      |       | suitability   | 46 |
|      | 3.    | Relations with other branches of government, the judicial |    |
|      |       | branch and regulators                                     | 46 |
|      | 4.    | Competition law   | 47 |
|      | 5.    | Powers of the competition authority and control of        |    |
|      |       | concentrations  | 47 |

| Bibliograph | y   | 51 |
|-------------|---|----|
| • •         | ios y perspectivas: issues published  |    |
| Index of Ta | bles  |    |
| Table 1     | Brazil: Evolution and distribution of the number of conducts: 2000-2004       | 21 |
| Table 2     | Brazil: Evolution and distribution of the number of mergers: 2000-2004        | 22 |
| Table 3     | Brazil: Notifications that do not comply with notification periods: 2000-2004 | 23 |
| Table 4     | Argentina: Evolution of the number of conducts: 1990-2003                     | 24 |
| Table 5     | Argentina: Distribution of conducts: 1990-2001                                | 24 |
| Table 6     | Argentina: Distribution of mergers: 1990-2003                                 | 25 |
| Table 7     | Comparative table legislation Argentina/Brazil                                | 25 |
|             |   |    |

## **Index of Boxes**

## Abstract

Developing countries with a relatively long expertise in competition policy, such as Argentina and Brazil, may provide a very valuable point of reference for developing countries that have acquired more recently a competition legal and institutional framework. Among the recommendations that can be derived from Argentina and Brazil for other more recent comers to the competition institutional arena are: i) the importance of having a single and independent body in charge of applying the competition law; ii) the need for competition policy and the judiciary system to coordinate their actions; iii) the complementarity of competition and regulatory institutions for the exchange of information and the definition of common objectives; iv) the promotion of an effective competition culture within the community is also an important task of the competition agency; v) the regional agreements for mutual support in competition problems has been very important for Argentina and Brazil and seem even more relevant for smaller economies.

## Introduction

This paper studies recent legislation on defence of competition and its enforcement in Argentina and Brazil, based on an assessment of such experiences and, in the light of these, makes certain recommendations for the Central American countries whose legal frameworks are in the process of being drawn up or under review, while others are just beginning to operate.

Significant headway was made in the defence of competition in Argentina and Brazil during the nineties. It should be pointed out, however, that some of these measures have had to adapt to the political and economic context typical of developing countries. It is therefore interesting to examine these experiences with a view to identifying their strengths and weaknesses as background material for competition policy in other countries.

The aims of this chapter are as follows:

1) Analyse the salient traits of legislation on defence of competition in the countries under study and give an account of their evolution over time: this will give an idea of the favourable political and economic context for applying particular measures.

2) Identify the institutional structures in charge of applying competition policies, underscoring the differences between them.

3) Assess the effectiveness of the agencies as authorities for the application of competition policies with the aim of preventing or remedying possible anticompetitive conduct.

4) Determine the relationship between the competition agency and regulatory bodies, in view of the importance of policy coordination.

5) Assess the degree of regional collaboration with regard to defence of competition, particularly among Mercosur countries.

Thus, Section I explains the legislation in Argentina and Brazil and how enforcement<sup>1</sup> by the competition agencies has evolved, and Section II explains the relationship between these countries' competition agencies and the regulatory bodies, as well as international cooperation efforts. The conclusions set forth an analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of laws for the defence of competition and their application in Argentina and Brazil with the aim of contributing to the progress of legislation and policies for the defence of competition in the countries of Central America.<sup>2</sup>

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



