SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS

FROM FRAGMENTATION TO COORDINATION







Social and Environmental Standards

From Fragmentation to Coordination

About the report

Voluntary standards have been rising fast, leading to similar standards operating in the same markets.

This study finds multiple standards in 86 of 90 markets it reviewed, which creates confusion for consumers and producers alike. This joint report with the European University Institute is the second of a series that goes from identifying social and environmental standards to outlining markets that are most fragmented. It offers recommendations for coordination for standard-setting organizations and policymakers.

Publisher: International Trade Centre

Title: Social and environmental standards: From fragmentation to coordination

Publication date and place: Geneva, September 2017

Page count: 54

Language: English

ITC Document Number: SIVC-17-100.E

Citation: Fiorini, M., Schleifer P., Taimasova R. (2017). Social and environmental standards: From fragmentation to coordination. International Trade Centre, Geneva.

For more information on this report, contact: Regina Taimasova (taimasova@intracen.org).

For more information on Standards Map, see: $\underline{\text{www.standardsmap.org}}.$

ITC encourages the reprinting and translation of its publications to achieve wider dissemination. Short extracts of this paper may be freely reproduced, with due acknowledgement of the source. Permission should be requested for more extensive reproduction or translation. A copy of the reprinted or translated material should be sent to ITC.

Digital image on the cover: © Shutterstock

© International Trade Centre (ITC)

ITC is the joint agency of the World Trade Organization and the United Nations.

Foreword

The trade landscape of the 21st century is characterized by a growing ecosystem of standards and regulations. The increasing prominence of these social and environmental standards in regional and international value chains have made them a de facto form of international trade governance. Standards are applied at different levels of the value chain, from upstream farmers and traders to downstream processors and retailers.

While voluntary sustainability standards (VSS) can make trade more inclusive by addressing various critical issues related to environmental protection, employment conditions or product quality, their growing number can pose problems, especially for producers who often have to comply with more than one standard to sell their products to a variety of buyers. For micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) it has become increasingly challenging to identify and meet these standards, but it is clear that being able to do so is an important component of ensuring their competitiveness.

Standards also have different requirements and auditing processes, and complying with several of them calls for significant investments. Standard-setting organizations recognize this and have already started collaborating to resolve the issue.

The first joint report of the International Trade Centre (ITC) and the European University Institute (EUI) in 2016 explored the accessibility of standards to producers and their institutional design. This second report aims to shed light on the issue of VSS fragmentation and highlights differences between standards operating in the same markets and sectors.

The report examines the state of fragmentation across nine key agricultural commodity sectors in the 10 largest producer countries. Its findings are based on a comprehensive dataset compiled by ITC's Trade for Sustainable Development Programme (T4SD) in its Standards Map database, a one-of-a-kind online tool that makes it possible to analyse VSS from different angles. As with the first report, the experience of researchers from the Global Governance Programme at EUI's Robert Schuman Centre in international regulatory cooperation again complements ITC's data and expertise in the field of social and environmental standards.

Several important messages emerge from the research and analysis. The most critical is the importance of coordination between standard-setting organizations, whether they are non-governmental organizations, consortiums of companies or large brands. Better coordination is one solution to fragmentation and a way of increasing coherence among standards, audit procedures and management structures.

The report recommends a key role for international organizations and conventions in this regard, for example in the development and adoption of core, universally applicable environmental criteria. Its findings should help standard-setting organizations, producers, and retailers use standards coordination not only to create synergies and efficiencies, but also to pave the way for more sustainable production. Ultimately, it will also serve to level the playing field for MSMEs and provide more transparency for the consumer.

Arancha González Executive Director

International Trade Centre

Howaler

Bridig Laffan

Director, Global Governance Programme

European University Institute

Acknowledgements

This report is the second joint publication of the International Trade Centre (ITC) and the Global Governance Programme of the Robert Schuman Centre of the European University Institute (EUI).

It was prepared by Matteo Fiorini (EUI), Philip Schleifer (University of Amsterdam), and Regina Taimasova (ITC). Joseph Wozniak (ITC), Mathieu Lamolle (ITC), Aimee Russillo (LiSeed), Luc Fransen (University of Amsterdam), and Bernard Hoekman (EUI) provided valuable comments and feedback.

Data were provided by the Trade for Sustainable Development (T4SD) team of ITC. Publication of the report was made possible by the support of the Swiss and German Governments.

Production of the report was managed by Natalie Domeisen, Evelyn Seltier and Regina Taimasova (ITC). Erica Meltzer edited the report. Serge Adeagbo and Franco Iacovino (ITC) provided digital printing services.

Contents

About the report	i
Foreword	ii
Acknowledgements	i۱
Acronyms	vi
Executive Summary	vii
Introduction	1
CHAPTER 1: TOWARDS COORDINATION: THE STANDARDS LANDSCAPE	3
Types of standards	3
Coordination tools	5
CHAPTER 2: UNDERSTANDING FRAGMENTATION: THE MARKET LANDSCAPE	g
Measuring fragmentation	ç
General trends	10
Trends by product and country	17
Bananas	17
Cocoa	19
Coffee	21
Cotton	23
Forestry	25
Palm oil	27
Soy	29
Sugarcane	32
Tea	34
CHAPTER 3: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	36
Annex I. Methodology for analysing fragmentation	38
Annex II. List of country-product markets and overlap indices for requirements and processes	39
Annex III. List of processes criteria used for process overlap index	42
Bibliography	44

Case studies, Tables, Figures

Case study 1: Benchmarking standards for sustainable soy	14
Case study 2: Merger of UTZ and Rainforest Alliance	21
Case study 3: ProTerra and RTRS to conduct stacked audits	30
Table 1. Types of standards	3
Table 2. Instruments of coordination between standards	6
Table 3. Most fragmented country-product markets	12
Figure 1. Yearly growth in standards	
Figure 2. Number of standards operating in different country-product markets	10
Figure 3. Requirement overlap index	11
Figure 4. Process overlap index	11
Figure 5. Overlap across products	13
Figure 6. Requirement overlap within sustainability hotspots	15
Figure 7. Standards referring to international conventions	
Figure 8. Countries where standards operate and certify banana producers	17
Figure 9. Fragmentation of standards in bananas sector	18
Figure 10. Countries where standards operate and certify cocoa producers	
Figure 11. Fragmentation of standards in the cocoa sector	
Figure 12. Countries where standards operate and certify coffee producers	21
Figure 13. Fragmentation of standards in the coffee sector	
Figure 14. Countries where standards operate and certify cotton producers	23
Figure 15. Fragmentation of standards in the cotton sector	
Figure 16. Countries where standards operate and certify producers in forestry sector	
Figure 17. Fragmentation of standards in the forestry sector	26
Figure 18. Countries where standards operate and certify palm oil producers	
Figure 19. Fragmentation of standards in the palm oil sector	28
Figure 20. Countries where standards operate and certify soy producers	29
Figure 21. Fragmentation of standards in the soy sector	31
Figure 22. Countries where standards operate and certify sugarcane producers	32
Figure 23. Fragmentation of standards in the sugarcane sector	33
Figure 24. Countries where standards operate and certify tea producers	34
Figure 25 Fragmentation of standards in the tea sector	35

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

 $https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_22925$



