

© 2017, United Nations

This work is available open access by complying with the Creative Commons licence created for intergovernmental organizations, available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/.

The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations or its officials or Member States.

The designation employed and the presentation of material on any map in this work do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Photocopies and reproductions of excerpts are allowed with proper credits.

This publication has not been formally edited.

United Nations publication issued by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

UNCTAD/DTL/TLB/2017/1

Acknowledgements

This study was prepared by Luisa Rodriguez, under the leadership of Jan Hoffmann, Head of the Trade Logistics Branch (TLB) and Frida Youssef, Chief of the Transport Section/TLB. Extensive and insightful comments were received from Pierre Latrille (World Trade Organization) and valuable contributions were provided by Hassiba Benamara and Mathis Weller (Transport Section/TLB).

Table of Contents

| Acl | knowledgements | 3 |
|-----|--|--------|
| Exe | ecutive summary | 6 |
| Acı | ronyms | 8 |
| Int | roduction | 9 |
| 1. | Maritime cabotage in perspective | 9 |
| 2. | Maritime cabotage regimes | 11 |
| | 2.1. Trade agreement-based regimes | 11 |
| | 2.2. Applied regimes | 13 |
| 3. | Cabotage regimes: rationale and impact | 16 |
| | 3.1. Rationale | 17 |
| | 3.2. Impact: selected examples | 17 |
| 4. | Cabotage restrictions and liner shipping connectivity | 23 |
| | 4.1. Zooming in on the impact of maritime cabotage restrictions on transport costs and liner shipping connectivity | 23 |
| | 4.2. Key issues in the current context | 26 |
| | 4.3. The contribution of relaxing cabotage regimes to improved connectivity in the current co | ontext |
| | 4.4. Required reforms in other areas for improved connectivity | 31 |
| Со | nclusions | 33 |
| An | nex: Container ship deployment on domestic services, May 2017 | 35 |
| Re | ferences | 40 |
| Lis | st of figures | |
| | | 27 |
| Ū | ure 1: World Container Traffic, port handling (empty and full containers) and transhipment | |
| _ | ure 2: World container traffic, percentage share of transhipment in port handling total | |
| _ | ure 3: Liner Shipping Connectivity Index, 2004–2017 (Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay) | |
| Fig | ure 4: Liner Shipping Connectivity Index, 2004–2017 (Sri Lanka, India and Pakistan) | 30 |

List of tables

| Table 2: MFN exemptions related to maritime cabotage: categories and examples1 | 2 |
|---|---|
| Table 3: Examples of cabotage reservations in applied regimes | 3 |
| Table 4: Countries fully or partially excluding foreign-flag ships from cabotage and imposing conditions | |
| to own/register vessels under national flags to provide cabotage services1 | 6 |
| Table 5: Eligibility criteria for foreign ships that may provide cabotage services in New Zealand, as per | |
| the Marine Transport Act of 19942 | 1 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| List of boxes | |
| Box 1: Cabotage regulation to enhance supply-side capacity and employment opportunities in the | |
| Nigeria's shipping sector | 8 |
| Box 2: How the Jones Act complicates logistics and adds costs | 4 |
| Box 3. Impact of different cabotage regimes on connectivity: an assessment from the EU perspective 2 | 5 |
| Box 4: The impact of direct maritime connections and costs of transport in export performance in | |
| developing countries2 | 8 |

Executive summary

This paper discusses maritime cabotage in the current context of international maritime connectivity. Its purpose is to assist policy-makers in identifying and analysing options for improved connectivity.

Maritime cabotage refers to sea transport between two ports in the same country. It involves different operations and different services serving the domestic, intra-regional and international markets. Service patterns vary depending on whether cabotage is part of a hubs-and-spoke network or a regional short sea service.

Maritime cabotage markets represent considerable business and trade potential, particularly in countries with longer coast lines and in archipelagic countries. From the perspective of supply chain efficiency, maritime cabotage can be of interest to improve door-to-door services as it can enhance efficiency in the logistic supply chain, promote the carriage of larger cargo volumes at lower costs and guarantee service continuity.

Maritime cabotage services are generally excluded from trade liberalization commitments. In addition, cabotage restrictions remain in place in the applied regimes of most countries and take the form of conditions that need to be met by foreign vessels in order to be able to provide maritime transport services between two ports within the same country. Relevant restrictions include, among other limitations, requirements relating to (i) ownership and flagging (related, for example, to foreign equity limits, nationality/residence requirements for crews and managers) and (ii) registration.

The restrictive nature of maritime cabotage regimes is indicative of the sensitive nature of this sector. Originally motivated by security concerns, maritime cabotage restrictions today are more related to building national capacity in shipping to derive revenues and employment benefits.

The present research suggests that some developing countries appear to have succeeded in building their supply-side capacity by implementing certain policies in addition to their cabotage regimes. Meanwhile, a number of other developing countries seem to have faced challenges in leveraging cabotage restrictions in order to build their supply-side capacity. Overall, it would appear that cabotage regimes in these developing countries have not been strictly applied and the use of waivers seems to be common practice. The experience of two developed countries, which had relaxed their respective cabotage regimes in the 1990s, suggests (at least in one of the cases) that although opening up the domestic shipping industry to international competition entailed challenges in terms of domestic tradeoffs with different constituencies, it did lead to improved efficiency and reduced freight rates.

Maritime transport connectivity is about the nature of maritime connections, including aspects such as the number of regular maritime services, their frequency and reliability. Maritime transport connectivity is an important determinant of trade costs. Developing countries, in particular SIDS and LDCs, face relatively higher transport costs, given their access to fewer, less frequent, less reliable and more costly transport connections.

Improved liner shipping connectivity can help reduce trade costs and has a direct, positive bearing on trade volumes. In this context, the most important impact of cabotage restrictions in terms of

connectivity relates to restrained competition, which leads to increased costs and less efficient transport operations.

An environment witnessing the deployment of ever-larger ships, cascading of vessels from main trade routes to secondary routes and growing concentration in liner shipping can lead to a possible deterioration of maritime connectivity in many developing countries. In this context, relaxing cabotage restrictions can, in some cases and for some products, present possibilities from the perspective of influencing shippers' distribution strategies, which would positively impact on service quality of shipping lines, port operators and allied industries.

Relaxing cabotage restrictions can help improve maritime connectivity by linking the national, regional and intercontinental liner shipping services. This is because, in the current environment, transhipment and feedering remain key elements of liner shipping operations from the perspective of collecting cargo from spokes ports and transferring it to hub ports and a vital part of filling very large ships. In recent years, several developing countries have relaxed their cabotage regimes as part of their broader strategies to increase competitiveness, improve connectivity and adapt to the new context and emerging trends.

It is important to highlight that, although relaxing cabotage regulation can contribute to improve a country's liner shipping connectivity, achieving this objective is a function of several policy reform parameters related to infrastructure and hinterland development. These include: investing in port facilities upgrades; improving the efficiency of seaport operations; encouraging port competition, including among neighbouring countries' ports and developing connections between ports and their hinterlands through efficient inland transport networks.

Acronyms

CO2 Carbon dioxide

EEA European Economic Area

EFTA European Free Trade Association

EU European Union

I-TIP (WTO and World Bank) Integrated Trade Intelligence Portal

LDCs Least Developed Countries

LLDCs Landlocked Developing Countries

MFN Most Favoured Nation (principle)

MOC Ministry of Commerce, People's Republic of China

NZ\$ New Zealand dollar

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

RTA Regional Trade Agreement

SIDS Small Islands Developing States

STRI (OECD) Services Trade Restrictiveness Index

TEU Twenty-foot Equivalent Unit

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

IIC United States

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二级

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?repo

Washing Indiana