

Using Intellectual Property Rights to
Stimulate Pharmaceutical Production
in Developing Countries:
A REFERENCE GUIDE



UNITED NATIONS

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

**Using Intellectual Property Rights
to Stimulate Pharmaceutical Production
in Developing Countries: A Reference Guide**

COPYRIGHT © UNITED NATIONS, 2011



**United Nations
New York and Geneva, 2011**

Note

UNCTAD serves as the lead entity within the United Nations Secretariat for matters related to foreign direct investment (FDI), as well as on matters related to science and technology. UNCTAD's work is carried out through intergovernmental deliberations, research and analyses, technical assistance activities, seminars, workshops and conferences.

The term "country" as used in this publication refers, as appropriate, to territories or areas. The designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of authorities, or of authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. In addition, the designations of country groups are intended solely for statistical or an analytical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage of development reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Reference to a company, public or private centres and national programmes and their activities should not be construed as an endorsement by UNCTAD of those institution or their activities.

The material contained in this document may be freely quoted or reprinted with appropriate acknowledgement. This document is available on the website <http://www.unctad.org/ddip>.

UNCTAD/DIAE/PCB/2009/19

COPYRIGHT © UNITED NATIONS, 2011

Preface

Over the past few years, intellectual property rights (IPRs) have become a major economic, trade and investment issue, as illustrated by considerable increases in royalty payments and licensing fees in most areas of the world and the inclusion of intellectual property (IP) provisions in regional and bilateral trade and investment agreements. At the same time, concerns have been raised in many countries as to whether the IP system still serves its original purpose, i.e. the promotion of innovation and the transfer and dissemination of technology to the benefit of society, or whether exclusive rights are increasingly being used to defend selective private interests and prevent effective competition.

This debate has been particularly intense in the context of countries' public health policies. Patents and the protection of pharmaceutical test data are frequently mentioned in discussions regarding access to medicines in developing countries. The United Nations Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) put considerable emphasis on access to medicines. Based on its expertise in the interrelated areas of investment, IP and technology transfer, the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development is committed to making its contribution to this important issue.

The present Guide has been prepared by the UNCTAD secretariat (Division on Investment and Enterprise (DIAE)) as part of its technical assistance activities in the area of IPRs and the promotion of pharmaceutical production and supply capacities in developing countries.

These activities respond to a 2005 recommendation by UNCTAD's Commission on Investment, Technology and Related Financial Issues that:

“UNCTAD should, within its work programme on investment, technology transfer and intellectual property, assess ways in which developing countries can develop their domestic productive capability in the supply of essential drugs in cooperation with pharmaceutical companies.”¹

In the pursuit of this mandate, UNCTAD established in 2006 a pilot programme on local pharmaceutical production and the implementation of regulatory frameworks for access to medicines with the financial support of Germany and the United Kingdom. The overall objective of the programme, implemented by the UNCTAD/DIAE Intellectual Property Unit, is to assist developing countries, and least developed countries (LDCs) in particular, to (a) establish domestic intellectual property regimes that facilitate increased access to affordable medicines; and (b) where feasible, create local or regional pharmaceutical production and supply capacities, with the possibility of cooperative arrangements with investors.

¹ See http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/c2l22_en.pdf (paragraph 9(c) of the 2005 Agreed Recommendations).

The objective of the present Guide is to provide concise and practical information on ways to promote local pharmaceutical production and improve access to medicines through a variety of policy tools, focusing on the flexibilities provided under the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), and the interfaces between IP, investment, drugs regulation and procurement strategies. The Guide will be an important tool for training activities for stakeholders from selected developing countries, in an effort to build capacities for the creation of domestic legal frameworks conducive to the promotion of pharmaceutical production and supply capacities.



Supachai Panitchpakdi
Secretary-General of UNCTAD

Acknowledgments

This Guide was prepared by Christoph Spennemann of UNCTAD's Intellectual Property Unit, Investment Capacity-Building Branch of the Division on Investment and Enterprise, and Professor Jerome H. Reichman, Bunyan S. Womble Professor of Law, Duke University School of Law, under the supervision of Kiyoshi Adachi. James Zhan provided overall guidance. Important inputs were provided by Dr. Sandy Harnisch and Ermias Biadgleg. The preparation of this Guide has been supported by the Ministry of Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) of Germany and the Department for International Development (DFID) of the United Kingdom.

UNCTAD gratefully acknowledges extensive comments on earlier versions of this Guide from experts from intergovernmental organizations, governments, civil society organizations and academia, *inter alia* at informal consultations convened by the UNCTAD secretariat on 11 October 2007 at the Palais des Nations, Geneva, as well as the invaluable contributions by Pedro Roffe, Senior Fellow, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD), and Melanie Jones (Duke University School of Law). Mineko Mohri and Erin Close provided very helpful inputs for the finalization of this document. The UNCTAD secretariat is solely responsible for the contents and policy options provided in this Guide.

Contents

NOTE	II
PREFACE.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	V
CONTENTS.....	VI
ABBREVIATIONS	IX
PART ONE STIMULATING THE LOCAL PRODUCTION OF PHARMACEUTICALS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: INTRODUCTORY REMARKS	1
A. UNDERLYING PREMISES OF THIS GUIDE.....	1
B. LIMITS OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND THE NEED FOR BALANCE	7
C. COUNTERVAILING TENDENCIES IN POST-TRIPS AGREEMENTS	9
PART TWO POLICY OPTIONS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TRIPS FLEXIBILITIES	13
A. SUMMARY OF KEY POLICY OPTIONS UNDER TRIPS	13
B. ANALYSIS OF TRIPS FLEXIBILITIES	29
1. INTRODUCTION.....	29
1.1 Objectives of the TRIPS Agreement.....	29
1.2 Minimum standards of IP protection.....	30
1.3 Leeway in implementation	31
1.4 Striking a balance between exclusive rights and public access.....	32
1.5 Overview of TRIPS obligations relevant in the context of local pharmaceutical production.....	34
2. PRE-GRANT FLEXIBILITIES.....	37
2.1 Full use of LDC transition periods.....	37
2.1.1 Background	37
2.1.2 Policy options	41
2.2 Administrative observation and opposition procedures	44
2.2.1 Background	44
2.2.2 Policy options	45
2.3 Patentable subject matter	46
2.3.1 Substances existing in nature	47
2.3.1.1 Background	47
2.3.1.2 Policy options	48
2.3.2 New uses of known products	49
2.3.2.1 Background	49
2.3.2.1.1 Legal requirements under TRIPS	51
2.3.2.1.2 Policy considerations: the promotion of incremental innovation in developing countries	56
2.3.2.2 Policy options	63
2.3.3 Variations in pharmaceutical composition or behaviour/product derivatives	64
2.3.3.1 Background	64
2.3.3.2 Policy options	64
2.4 Patentability criteria.....	66
2.4.1 Background	66
2.4.1.1 Novelty	66
2.4.1.2 Inventive step	67
2.4.1.3 Industrial application	73
2.4.2 Patentability criteria in the pharmaceutical context	74
2.4.2.1 New uses of known products	74
2.4.2.2 Variations in pharmaceutical composition or behaviour/product derivatives	77
2.4.2.3 Selection patents.....	84
2.5 Patent claims construction	87
2.5.1 Background	87
2.5.1.1 The claims preamble	88
2.5.1.2 The transition phrase	88
2.5.1.3 The body	89
2.5.2 Policy options	92
2.6 Patent claims interpretation	95

2.6.1 Background	95
2.6.2 Policy options	97
2.7 <i>Disclosure of patented inventions</i>	97
2.7.1 Background	97
2.7.2 Policy options	98
3. POST-GRANT FLEXIBILITIES.....	99
3.1 <i>Exceptions to patent rights</i>	99
3.1.1 Introduction	99
3.1.2 The experimental use exception	102
3.1.2.1 Background	102
3.1.2.2 Policy options	106
3.1.3 The regulatory review (“Bolar”) exception	108
3.1.3.1 Background	108
3.1.3.2 Policy options	111
3.1.4 Other possible exceptions	112
3.2 <i>Parallel imports</i>	113
3.2.1 Background	113
3.2.2 Policy options	117
3.3 <i>Compulsory licenses, including government use</i>	118
3.3.1 Background	118
3.3.2 Technical Legal Infrastructure	127
3.3.2.1 Grounds for the issuance of a compulsory license.....	127
3.3.2.2 Prior negotiations with the right holder	128
3.3.2.3 Granting authority	130
3.3.2.4 Case-by-case approach	130
3.3.2.5 Scope and duration of the compulsory license	130
3.3.2.6 Rights under a compulsory license – Doha developments.....	131
3.3.2.7 Adequate remuneration – models for royalty guidelines	139
3.3.2.8 Review by judicial or distinct higher authority.....	142
3.3.3 Policy option: a regional approach to procurement and compulsory licensing.....	143
3.4 <i>The control of patent abuse and anti-competitive licensing practices</i>	144
3.4.1 Background	144
3.4.1.1 Introduction	144
3.4.1.2 The TRIPS Agreement framework	148
3.4.2 Policy options	152
3.4.2.1 Excessive pricing.....	152
3.4.2.2 Predatory pricing	154
3.4.2.3 Refusals to license	156
3.4.2.4 Cross-licensing and patent pools	158
3.4.2.5 Summary of main policy options.....	160
3.5 <i>The protection of clinical test data</i>	161
3.5.1 Background	161
3.5.1.1 The misappropriation approach	167
3.5.1.2 The data exclusivity approach	169
3.5.1.3 The compensatory liability or cost-sharing approach	177
3.5.2 Policy options	180
REFERENCES.....	183

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_9872

