



NATURAL RESOURCE REVENUE SHARING



SEPTEMBER 2016

 Natural Resource Governance Institute

 UNDP

50 YEARS

Empowered lives. Resilient nations.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

5	Foreword
7	Executive summary
13	Introduction
17	Definitions and approach
23	Why share natural resource revenues?
29	Global experiences with resource revenue sharing
33	Natural resource tax collection by subnational authority
33	Derivation-based intergovernmental transfers
33	Indicator-based intergovernmental transfers
34	Mixed systems
34	Legal vs. <i>ad hoc</i> systems
38	Clawback provisions
45	Designing a resource revenue sharing system
46	Vertical and horizontal distribution of resource revenues
51	Which resources and revenue streams to share
54	Resource revenue recipients
58	Addressing revenue management challenges associated with derivation-based systems
66	Transparency and oversight of resource revenue sharing systems
71	Achieving consensus
75	Recommendations
78	Appendix: Resource revenue sharing case studies
78	Bolivia
81	China
85	Kyrgyzstan
90	Malaysia
93	Mongolia
95	Nigeria
99	Philippines
103	Endnotes
109	Bibliography
111	Index

AUTHORS

Andrew Bauer, Uyanga Gankhuyag, Sofi Halling,
David Manley and Varsha Venugopal

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nations
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
EITI	Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HIPC	Heavily-Indebted Poor Countries
IDH	Impuesto Directo a los Hidrocarburos; Direct Tax on Hydrocarbons (Bolivia)
KRG	Kurdistan Regional Government (Iraq)
LDF	Local Development Fund (Mongolia)
LGC	Local Government Code (Philippines)
MDA	Mineral Development Act (Malaysia)
PSA	Production Sharing Agreements
NRGI	Natural Resource Governance Institute
RDF	Regional Development Fund (Kyrgyzstan)
SOE	State-Owned Enterprise
UAE	United Arab Emirates
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
VAT	Value Added Tax

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report is a joint initiative of the Natural Resource Governance Institute (NRGI) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Within UNDP, it is the result of collaboration of different bodies, including the UNDP Extractive Industries for Sustainable Development team, Bangkok Regional Hub and the Oslo Governance Centre. We are grateful for the financial support of the governments of Sweden, through the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) project “Environmental Governance for Sustainable Natural Resource Management”, and Norway in the development of this product.

The authors are grateful to the main case study researchers, María Lasa Aresti (NRGI), Ke Chen (NYU), Harpreet Dhillon (NYU), Inna Gelfgar (NYU), Nazgul Kulova (NRGI), Paul Shortell (NRGI) and Luna Yang (NYU). We also wish to thank David Glasgow at the New York University (NYU) School of Law for coordinating the student research.

Many inside NRGI and UNDP provided inputs, comments, guidance and support at various stages of this publication. We wish to thank Lee Bailey (NRGI), James Chacko (UNDP), Gillian Chalmers (UNDP), Patrick Duong (UNDP), Dauda Garuba (NRGI), Degol Hailu (UNDP), Patrick Heller (NRGI), Sean Kane (UN), Nadine Abou Khaled (NRGI), Eric Li (NRGI), Dorjdari Namkhajantsan (NRGI), Fernando Patzy (NRGI), Matteo Pellegrini (NRGI), Mohammed Rafeeq (NRGI), Endre Stiansen (UNDP), Claudia Leyva Viale (NRGI) and Yuan Zheng (UNDP).

The authors are deeply thankful to the external reviewers who examined the body of the report and the case studies, Andrés Mejía Acosta (King’s College London), Gustavo Ávila (Grupo Propuesta Ciudadana), Gaye Christofferson (Johns Hopkins University), Bev Dahlby (University of Calgary), Cécica Hernández (Fundación Jubileo), Ahmed Mousa Jiyad (consultant), Philippe Le Billon (University of British Columbia), Armando Mendoza (Oxfam), Sri Murniati (IDEAS), Ganchimeg Perenlei (World Bank), Chil Soriano (consultant), Vanessa Ushie (Oxfam), Raúl Velásquez (Fundación Jubileo) and Tricia Yeoh (IDEAS).

FOREWORD

Increasingly, countries are creating special regimes for allocating non-renewable natural resource revenues to subnational governments. Government motivations for establishing these systems vary from country to country. In some, revenue sharing systems have been used as a way to address local claims over resource ownership or demands for more benefits from resource extraction. In others, they are viewed as compensation for environmental degradation and other negative effects of extraction. In still others, the distribution of resource revenues has been employed to help defuse violent resource-related conflicts.

The proliferation of these subnational systems in recent years—and their considerable impacts on the quality of public spending by resource-rich subnational governments—calls for an in-depth examination of their design and implementation. This is especially the case given that many of the dozens of country cases presented in this report feature situations where natural resource revenue sharing led to wasteful public spending, exacerbation of regional inequalities, or even escalation of violence.

Yet, to date, there has only been sporadic research on this topic, often focused on a specific country or region. This Natural Resource

Governance Institute (NRGI) and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) policy paper represents a comprehensive global survey of natural resource revenue sharing regimes. One of our aims is to summarize these global experiences and make them accessible to policymakers, academics and public finance, resource governance and conflict experts.

Further, this paper provides policymakers with key recommendations to guide the establishment of technically and economically sound natural resource revenue sharing systems (or to reform existing ones), while recognizing that revenue sharing systems are the result of political processes. It is our hope that the case studies, lessons and principles contained in this report will help steer policymakers and negotiators through complex decision making processes, and contribute to the establishment of revenue sharing regimes that help achieve sustainable development and national accord.



Daniel Kaufmann
President and CEO, NRGi



Magdy Martínez-Solimán
*Assistant Secretary-General, United Nations
Director of the Bureau for Policy and
Programme Support, UNDP*



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In nearly every country, subnational governments receive public funds through a combination of direct tax collection and transfers from the national government. In most, non-renewable natural resource revenues are apportioned no differently than other revenues. However, in more than 30 countries—most of them resource-rich—distribution of non-renewable natural resource revenues is governed by a set of rules that are distinct from those governing distribution of general revenues.

In a majority of these countries, revenues from the oil, gas and mineral sectors are collected by the national government and transferred back to their area of origin or adjacent areas. Angola, Bolivia, Brazil, Cameroon, Canada (some regions), Chad, China, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Ecuador, Ethiopia, Ghana, Guinea, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Italy, Kyrgyzstan, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mexico, Mongolia, Niger, Nigeria, Papua New Guinea, Peru, the Philippines, South Sudan, Uganda, the United States (some regions) and Venezuela each have enacted a ‘derivation-based’ intergovernmental transfer system for all or part of their mineral, oil or gas revenues.

Some resource-rich subnational governments are extremely dependent on these transfers. In Nigeria and Peru, for instance, more than 80 percent of the budgets of some subnational governments depend on resource revenue transfers from the central government.

A few countries also transfer some of their natural resource revenues to subnational governments using an ‘indicator-based’ formula. In these countries, the national government distributes natural resource revenues to subnational authorities based on a set of objective indicators—such as population, revenue generation, poverty level or geographic characteristics (e.g. remoteness)—irrespective of where the natural resources are extracted. Ecuador, Mongolia, Mexico and Uganda are examples of countries which use indicator-based resource revenue sharing formulas.

In another set of countries—including Argentina, Australia, Canada, China, India, the United Arab Emirates and the United States—subnational governments collect substantial revenues directly from oil, gas or mining companies. Direct tax collection from the natural resource sector can constitute a significant proportion of local budgets. For example, from 2012 to 2014 more than



RESOURCE REVENUE SHARING CAN RAISE STANDARDS OF LIVING AND REDUCE POVERTY IN PRODUCING REGIONS. IT CAN ALSO CONTRIBUTE TO LASTING PEACE IN REGIONS SUFFERING FROM RESOURCE-

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_12412

