

Land Rights Reform and Governance in Africa

How to make it work in the 21st Century?

UNDP Oslo Governance Centre is UNDP's global thematic facility on democratic governance. The Centre has been established to position UNDP as a champion of democratic governance, as an end in itself, and as a means to achieve the Millennium Development Goals. This is done through knowledge networking and multi-disciplinary team work, as well as through close partnerships with leading policy and research institutions, both in the 'north' and the 'south'. The Centre has a special focus and competency in the areas of: governance and poverty reduction; governance and conflict prevention; civil society, empowerment and governance; and learning and capacity development.

oslogovcentre@undp.org

UNDP Drylands Development Centre is part of the United Nations Development Programme. It is a unique global thematic centre that provides technical expertise and practical policy advice and programme support for poverty reduction and development in the drylands of the world.

The Centre's work bridges between global policy issues and on-the-ground activities, and helps governments to establish and institutionalize the link between grassroots development activities and pro-poor policy reform. The main areas of focus are mainstreaming of drylands development issues into national development frameworks; land tenure/reform and sustainable land management; marking markets work for the poor; decentralized governance for natural resources management; drought and complex food security and payment for environmental services.

ddc@undp.org

Author: Liz Alden Wily, Independent Expert lizaldenwily@wananchi.com

Photo Credit: UNDP

October 2006

"The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the United Nations, including UNDP, or UN Member States."

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$ 2006 United Nations Development Programme, All right reserved One UN Plaza, New York, NY, 10017, USA

United Nations Development Programme

Land Rights Reform and Governance in Africa

How to make it work in the 21st Century?



Table of contents

Abst	ract	1
	Resumo	2
	Resumen	3
	Résumé	5
	mary	7
The (Contex	7
	Tenure insecurity is a socio-political condition engineered intentionally or otherwise by policies – and is remediable by policies	
Lool	sing for Root Causes	7
	The denial of customary lands as private property lies at the heart of insecurity	t
	Wrongful attrition has especially affected common properties and the poor	8
	The commons as the capital of the rural poor	8
	20th Century Remedy	9
The	Promised Reform	9
	Liberation of customary tenure from a century of suppression	9
	Recognising customary land interests as private property rights	10
	The helping hand of governance and natural resource management reforms	10
	Constraints	11
	Helping customary owners get hold of investment - or helping investors get	11
	hold of customary lands?	11
Enal	bling Reform	12
	Adopting developmentally-sound and poverty-focused change	12
	Focusing upon the commons due to the losses they face and their value for	
	the majority poor	12
	Clarifying collective private rights	13
	Helping the poor make use of their capital	13
	Shaping land reform in the right directions and with public will	14
Ι.	Introduction: Tenure Insecurity, Poverty and Power Relations	17
	Tenure insecurity is a socio-political condition engineered by policies –	
	and remediable by policies	17
<i>II</i> .	The Subordination of Customary Land Rights	23
/ //.	Attempts to Make Amends	29

3

.....

IV.	An End of Century Turn-Around: Towards the Liberation of Customary Land Rights	33
V.	Launching Reform through New Policy and Law	37
VI.	The Need to Assure Success	45
VII	How To Make Land Reform Work?	57
Cited References		63
Вох	Box 1. Trends in the Treatment of Customary Rights in Sub-Saharan Africa	37

.....

Abstract

The main argument of this paper is that insecurity of land tenure is a sociopolitical condition that can be made – and unmade. Its origins lie in 19th and 20th century policies which failed to accord indigenous and customary occupancy their deserved status as private property interests. This has deprived millions of poor of the protection they need to withstand the worst effects of social transformation and the commoditisation of land. Lands and resources owned in common have been most affected, the more valuable having been withdrawn from local custodianship or reallocated to outsiders and investors. Reforms of the 20th century often improved the access of poor to land through land redistribution and other schemes but made customary rights less secure. Entitlement programmes that converted customary occupancy into individualised European-derived tenure forms have widely extinguished secondary and common property interests.

In Africa (the focus of this paper) over 90 percent of the rural population access to land through indigenous customary mechanisms, and around 370 million of them are definably 'poor'. With exceptions, customary access to land has been no more than permissive and often remains so. People with customary rights to land often live on land that is actually classified as government or public land. While rights over farms and houses are not routinely interfered with, common property ownership of pastures, forests and woodlands see constant attrition through state appropriation and reallocation to investors or interest-holders of its choice. Yet these lands provide substantial support to livelihoods, especially of the poor who often have no or little farmland. The lucrative and rising values of pasture, forest and woodland are still typically captured by governments in the form of logging, agribusiness land leasing and other fees. This deprives poor communities of a crucial capital base which could help them escape poverty.

A new wave of global land reform is underway within which the legal status of customary rights held by rural Africans and other indigenous populations around the world is improving. In a small but growing number of cases in Africa, customary rights are now accorded equivalent legal force with those acquired through non-indigenous systems and may be registered under state law. Support for the devolved governance of these rights at local levels, and building upon customary norms, is also growing.

Constraints upon the delivery of real security abound. The paper points to the need for a more action-based and community driven evolutionary process. This, it is argued, will better resolve conceptual confusions that still surround customary tenure and which frustrate sound policy development. It will also better trigger the local level empowerment and institution-building needed to more appropriately shape, drive and sustain political will towards real removal of the chronic tenure insecurity of the poor. It will also help limit the impacts of reform that is broadly market-driven and in the African context, often seeks more to bring as much customary land into the market place for investor acquisition than to secure customary rights and benefits. Approaches which work from the community level and which focus upon the security of least secure properties will also bring threatened commons to the centre of reform, facilitating the evolution of stronger constructs for the ordering and protection of collective rights. Securing those rights in clear and inclusive ways will lay a foundation from which their generally poor shareholders of these properties may begin to reap the benefits.

Resumo

A principal hipótese deste documento é que a insegurança quanto a posse da terra é uma situação socio-política que pode ser construída – e desfeita. A sua origem encontrase nas políticas dos Séculos XIX e XX, que não concederam à ocupação indígena e tradicional o estatuto de propriedade privada que mereciam. Esta situação privou milhões de pobres da protecção de que tinham necessidade para resistir aos piores efeitos da transformação social e da comercialização da terra. As terras e os recursos detidos em comum foram os que mais sofreram e os mais valiosos foram retirados à posse local ou atribuídos a pessoas exteriores ou a investidores. As reformas do Século XX melhoraram muitas das vezes o acesso geral dos pobres à terra, mas tornaram também os direitos tradicionais menos seguros. Os programas de criação de direitos que converteram a ocupação tradicional em formas de posse individualizada de tipo europeu extinguiram quase totalmente os direitos de propriedade secundários ou comuns.

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_13184