

Utilization of preferential trade areas in Africa



Utilization of preferential trade areas in Africa

Under the project "*Capacity-building for inclusive and equitable African trade arrangements*" (FED/2019/405-346)

November 2020

To order copies of ***Utilization of preferential trade areas in Africa***, please contact:

Publications and Conference Management Section
Economic Commission for Africa
P.O. Box 3001
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
Tel: +251 11 544-9900
Fax: +251 11 551-4416
E-mail: eca-info@un.org
Web: www.uneca.org

© 2021 United Nations
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

All rights reserved
First printing November 2021

Material in this publication may be freely quoted or reprinted. Acknowledgement is requested, together with a copy of the publication.

The designations employed in this report and the material presented in it do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Designed and printed in Addis Ababa by the ECA Printing and Publishing Unit. ISO 14001:2015 certified. Printed on chlorine free paper

Cover image: Shutterstock.com

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	vi
Executive summary and key recommendations	vii
A. Overview.....	vii
B. Summary: review of existing research.....	vii
C. Summary of primary research findings	viii
D. These findings on utilization resonate with those of other ECA research into preference utilization in SADC and Rwanda (ECA 2021, forthcoming). Policy recommendations aimed at improving preferential trade arrangement utilization.....	x
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Literature review.....	3
A. Dimensions and benefits of preferential trade arrangements	3
B. Estimation of preferential trade arrangement utilization	5
C. Barriers to preferential trade arrangement utilization	11
III. Primary research findings on preferential trade arrangement utilization in Africa	13
A. Scope of the research	13
B. Methodology	13
C. Findings related to transaction-level customs data.....	13
D. Findings related to field surveys conducted in Ghana, South Africa and Uganda.....	20
E. Specific findings related to gender, youth and micro-, small and medium-sized enterprise dimensions.....	23
F. Findings related to aggregated data on imports into the European Union and the United States of America	28
IV. Annex.....	31
References.....	39

Figures

Figure 1:	Uganda – Left-hand side: “Did the exporter to EAC use the PTA? (15 responses)”; Right-hand side: “Did the exporter to COMESA use the PTA?” (15 responses)	21
Figure 2:	Uganda exporter to EAC: Ease of preferential trade arrangement requirements (14 responses).....	21
Figure 3:	Uganda exporters to COMESA: Ease of preferential trade arrangement requirements (3 responses).....	21
Figure 4:	Ghana – Left-hand side: “Did the exporter to ECOWAS use the PTA?” (33 responses); Right-hand side: “Did the importer from ECOWAS use the PTA?” (32 responses).....	22
Figure 5:	Left-hand side: Ghana exporters to ECOWAS: Ease of preferential trade arrangement requirements (27 responses); Right-hand side: Ghana importers from ECOWAS: Ease of preferential trade arrangement requirements (9 responses)	22
Figure 6:	South Africa – Left-hand side: “Did the exporter to SADC use the PTA?” (19 responses); Right-hand side: “Did the importer from SADC use the PTA?” (2 responses)	23
Figure 7:	South African traders with SADC: Ease of preferential trade arrangement requirements (22 responses).....	23
Figure 8:	Response to question “Did you use the PTA?” (percentage)	24
Figure 9:	Summary of reasons for non-utilization of preferential trade arrangements: women-represented, youth-represented and micro-, small and medium-sized enterprises.....	24
Figure 10:	Responses by dimension – Left-hand side: Ease of customs procedures; Right-hand side: Ease of rules of origin requirements	25
Figure 11:	Responses by dimension – Left-hand side: Ease of technical requirements; Right-hand side: Ease of sanitary and phytosanitary requirements	25

Tables

Table 1:	Information resources on preferential trade arrangements	xiii
Table 2:	Areas of challenge explicitly cited by specific groups in gaining access to preferences.....	xiv
Table 3:	Suggested policy interventions to promote greater preference access for designated exporters.....	xiv
Table 4:	Preference utilization research: European Union focus	7
Table 5:	Preference utilization research: United States of America	8
Table 6:	Preference utilization research: East Asia, ASEAN and Australia focus	9
Table 7:	Uganda imports HS chapters 25, 27 and 72: aggregates – utilization rates and ad valorem tax paid	14
Table 8:	Uganda imports HS 25, 27 and 72: per chapter utilization and ad valorem tax paid	15
Table 9:	Correlations between indicators.....	16
Table 10:	Ethiopia imports HS 27, 31 and 33: aggregates – utilization rates and ad valorem tax paid.....	17
Table 11:	Ethiopia imports HS 27, 31 and 33: per chapter utilization and ad valorem tax paid.....	17
Table 12:	Imports by Ethiopia under HS 27, 31 and 33 – Correlations between indicators.....	18
Table 13:	Imports by Ghana from Nigeria: HS 19 and 22 aggregates – utilization rates and ad valorem tax paid	19
Table 14:	Imports by Ghana from Nigeria: HS 19 and 22 – per tariff line utilization	19
Table 15:	Imports by Ghana from Nigeria: HS 19 and 22 – Correlations between indicators.....	20
Table 16:	Ease of use, by gender dimension	26

Table 17:	Highlighted responses to the question “What made exporting to the PTA especially challenging?” (all respondents)	27
Table 18:	Top 24 imports into the United States of America under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, preferential imports versus total, ranked from largest value (United States dollars, 2018)	29
Table 19:	Top 24 Africa imports into the European Union, preferential imports versus total, ranked from largest value (Euros, 2019)	30
Table 18:	Top 24 imports into the United States of America under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, preferential imports versus total, ranked from largest value (United States dollars, 2018)	29
Table 19:	Top 24 Africa imports into the European Union, preferential imports versus total, ranked from largest value (Euros, 2019)	30
Table 18:	Top 24 imports into the United States of America under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, preferential imports versus total, ranked from largest value (United States dollars, 2018)	29
Table 19:	Top 24 Africa imports into the European Union, preferential imports versus total, ranked from largest value (Euros, 2019)	30
Table 20:	Business demographics - Uganda (15 responses)	31
Table 21:	Uganda exporters to EAC: written responses to specific questions	31
Table 22:	Uganda exporters to COMESA: written responses to specific questions	32
Table 23:	Business demographics - Ghana (32 responses)	32
Table 24:	Ghana exporters to ECOWAS: written responses to specific questions	33
Table 25:	Ghana importers from ECOWAS: written responses to specific questions	34
Table 26:	Business demographics - South Africa (20 responses)	35
Table 27:	South African exporters to SADC: written responses to specific questions	35
Table 28:	South African importers from SADC: written responses to specific questions	36
Table 29:	Reasons for non-utilization of preferential trade arrangements: women-represented and youth-represented exporters (duplicates shaded in green)	36
Table 30:	Reasons for non-utilization of preferential trade arrangements: non- women and youth represented micro-, small and medium-sized enterprises exporters	37
Table 31:	Simulation of export from Kenya to Uganda under EAC and COMESA rules of origin.....	38

Acknowledgements

The present report was prepared by John Stuart (ECA consultant), under the supervision of Jamie MacLeod (Trade Policy Expert, ECA) and the overall guidance of David Luke (Coordinator, Africa Trade Policy Centre). Invaluable input, advice and contributory suggestions were provided by Trudi Hartzenberg, Na-

dia Hasham, Andrew Mold, Lily Sommer, Yash Ramkolowan, Komi Tsowou, Antoine Bouet, Tsotetsi Makong, Mustafa Sadni Jallab and Ahmed Ndyeshobola. Valued programmatic and administrative support for the preparation of the report was provided by Batanai Chikwene, Hanna Getachew and Eden Lakew.

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_31053

