ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

Mapping of the United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources

Report of the Economic Commission for Europe Task Force on Mapping

ENERGY SERIES No. 33



UNITED NATIONS
New York and Geneva, 2009

NOTE

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of capital letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Mention of any firm, licensed process or commercial products does not imply endorsement by the United Nations.

ECE/ENERGY/71

UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION

Sales No. E.09.II.E.6

ISBN 978-92-1-117002-3

ISSN 1014-7225

Copyright © United Nations, 2009 All rights reserved

PREFACE

In July 2007, the Ad Hoc Group of Experts on Harmonization of Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources Terminology charged a special Task Force to prepare a mapping of the United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources (UNFC) with the classification systems of: the Society of Petroleum Engineers/World Petroleum Council/American Association of Petroleum Geologists/Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (SPE-PRMS); the Committee for Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards (the CRIRSCO Template); and, the Russian Federation. The Task Force's mandate also included consideration of possible changes to the UNFC that would facilitate the mapping between these systems.

Although this document represents the final report of the Mapping Task Force, it should be seen as an interim step in an on-going process. In particular, the mapping work was completed in the context of proposed changes to some of the definitions of the UNFC and, as these changes have yet to be adopted, it is therefore provisional in that sense. Further, it is recognised that as more detailed mapping work is undertaken, it may be necessary to make some adjustments to the mapping between systems.

The views presented in the report are solely those of the Task Force members in their capacity as individual professional experts. The report does not purport to represent the views of the employers of the Task Force members nor the views of any of the organizations that they represent or are associated with. Specifically, it should be noted that the report has not been formally endorsed by any of the organizations whose classification systems were included in the mapping exercise.

The Task Force was led by Ms. Mücella Ersöy and Mr. Per Blystad, with Mr. Niall Weatherstone, Mr. Ferdi Camisani-Calzolari, Mr. John Etherington, Mr. Kirill Kavun, Mr. James Ross, and Mr. Andrej Subelj as members.

CONTENTS

Acr	onym	s and abbreviations	vi
Intr	oducti	on	1
I.	Prop	oosed changes to category and sub-category definitions	3
II.	Min Stan	oping of the United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and eral Resources with the Committee for Mineral Reserves International Reporting dards Template and Society of Petroleum Engineers Petroleum Resources agement System classifications	13 14 18
III.	-	ping of the new Russian Federation classification system to the United Nations nework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources	25 27 27 29
IV	Disco A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I.	Defining reserves and resources Clarifying in-place versus recoverable quantities Accommodating national inventory approaches Attributing mineral reserves based on pre-feasibility studies Stage of exploration versus level of confidence in estimates Mixing of incremental and scenario terms. Maintaining simplicity in the 3D matrix approach Developed and undeveloped reserves Sales and non-sales production Impact of prior applications of UNFC.	32 32 33 36 37 38 39 39 40 40
V.	Con	clusions and recommendations	42.

Annexes

I.	United Nations Framework Classification / Committee for Mineral Reserves	
	International Reporting Standards/Petroleum Resources Management System	
	Category definitions	44
II.	Mapping of petroleum (Petroleum Resources Management System) and minerals	
	(Committee for Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards) reserves and	
	resources definitions	51
III.	Comparison of terms used in petroleum and minerals evaluations	56
Figu	ures	
1	CRIRSCO Template for solid minerals	15
2	High level mapping of UNFC to CRIRSCO Template	15
3	Project development status mapping	17
4	Detailed mapping of UNFC to CRIRSCO Template	18
5	PRMS classification	19
6	High level mapping of PRMS and UNFC classifications	21
7	Detailed mapping of PRMS and UNFC classifications	21
8	UNFC codification for developed and undeveloped reserves	23
9	Russian Federation minerals classification system (2006)	27
10	Preliminary mapping of Russian Federation Minerals Classification (2006)	
	to UNFC	28
11	Russian Federation Classification for Petroleum (2005)	29
12	Preliminary mapping of Russian Federation Classification for Petroleum	
	to UNFC	31

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AAPG American Association of Petroleum Geologists

CRIRSCO Committee for Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards

IASB International Accounting Standards Board

LOM Life-of-Mine

PRMS SPE/WPC/AAPG/SPEE Petroleum Resources Management System

QRE Qualified Reserves Evaluator

ROM Run-of-Mine

SPE Society of Petroleum Engineers

SPEE Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers

SRO Self-Regulating Organization

UNFC United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral

Resources

USGS United States Geological Survey

WPC World Petroleum Council

Introduction

The United Nations Framework Classification for Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources (UNFC) is a universally applicable scheme for classifying petroleum and solid minerals (including energy minerals) reserves and resources. The Classification is designed to allow the incorporation of currently existing terms and definitions into this framework and thus to make them comparable and compatible. This approach has been simplified through the use of a three-digit code clearly indicating the essential characteristics of energy and mineral commodities in market economies.

The Ad Hoc Group of Experts on Harmonization of Fossil Energy and Mineral Resources Terminology has always recognized the need for supplementary guidelines to assist users in interpreting the UNFC beyond the definitions, but has refrained from developing these in order to retain flexibility to align with other systems. The Ad Hoc Group of Experts requested the Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE), on behalf of the petroleum industry, and the Committee for Mineral Reserves International Reporting Standards (CRIRSCO), on behalf of the solid minerals industry, to compare their respective systems and the UNFC with a view to harmonizing terminology and providing the required guidelines.

In July 2007, the Ad Hoc Group of Experts charged a special Task Force to prepare a draft mapping of the SPE, CRIRSCO, Russian Federation and UNFC systems. The Task Force was led by Ms. Mücella Ersöy and Mr. Per Blystad with Mr. Niall Weatherstone, Mr. Ferdi Camisani-Calzolari, Mr. John Etherington, Mr. Kirill Kavun, Mr. James Ross, and Mr. Andrej Subelj as members. The mandate stated:

The team is to complete the mapping of the various classifications and definitions to the UNFC. Specifically, this will be the CRIRSCO Template, New Russian Classification and SPE PRMS terminologies. The results of this initial effort can then be leveraged to support mapping of other national and international classification systems to the UNFC.

In doing this work, you are invited to consider the changes that will be required to bring the classifications and definitions together for wide acceptance and global adoption with United Nations support. This should include changes to the UNFC for minerals and for petroleum to align these on a project status based framework. It should also include recommended changes to the three underlying classifications for further consideration. It is important to recognize that it is costly to change any of the systems. It may therefore be wise, in the first instance, to exploit flexibility inherent in the current systems in the form of specifications and guidelines that may affect practices within the current systems. Substantive changes to classifications and definitions would probably be best handled in the context of an International Financial Reporting Standard

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 2179

