

First published in September 2009 by the United Nations Environment Programme. © 2009, United Nations Environment Programme.

ISBN: 978-92-807-3041-8 Job No.: DEP/1190/GE

United Nations Environment Programme P.O. Box 30552 Nairobi, KENYA

Tel: +254 (0)20 762 1234 Fax: +254 (0)20 762 3927 E-mail: uneppub@unep.org Web: http://www.unep.org

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder provided acknowledgement of the source is made. UNEP would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source. No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from UNEP. The designation of geographical entities in this report, and the presentation of the material herein, do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the publisher or the participating organisations concerning the legal status of any country, territory or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimination of its frontiers or boundaries.

Unless otherwise credited photographs in this report were taken by the UNEP Environmental Assessment Team

Cover Design and Layout: Matija Potocnik Maps and Remote Sensing: Yves Barthélemy Cover Image: © Mike Cowing/UNEP – Life resumes in Gaza City, January 2009 Printed on Recycled Paper

UNEP promotes
environmentally sound practices
globally and in its own activities. This
publication is printed on recycled paper
using vegetable-based inks and other ecofriendly practices. Our distribution policy aims
to reduce UNEP's carbon footprint.



Environmental Assessment of the Gaza Strip

following the escalation of hostilities in December 2008 – January 2009

Table of contents

Foreword		3
Acronyms a	nd abbreviations	4
Weights and	measures	5
1. Backgro	und	6
2. Environn	nental assessment of the Gaza Strip	11
2.1 Introdu	uction	11
2.2 Scope	of the environmental assessment	12
2.3 Enviro	nmental assessment methodology	13
2.4 Backg	round research	15
2.5 Remot	e sensing analysis	16
2.6 Field w	/ork	18
2.7 Labora	itory analysis	26
2.8 Limitat	ions and constraints	26
3. Results	and discussions	27
3.1 Introdu	ıction	27
3.2 Damaç	ges directly attributable to the recent escalation of violence	27
3.3 Enviro	nmental issues pre-dating the recent escalation of hostilities that were aggra	vated by it38
3.4 Institut	ional assessment	68
4. Recomm	nendations	69
4.1 Introdu	ıction	69
	nmendations for the restoration of damage d by the recent escalation of hostilities	70
	nmendations for the remediation of pre-existing environmental lation that was exacerbated by the recent hostilities	70
5. Econom	ic assessment	72
5.1 Introdu	uction	72
5.2 Scope	of the economic assessment	72
5.3 Econo	mic assessment methodology	73
5.4 Limitat	ions and constraints	74
5.5 Finding	gs	75
Endnotes		85
Appendix I:	UNEP Governing Council Decision 25/12: The environmental situation in the Gaza Strip	87
Appendix II:	•	e =
Appendix III	after the recent escalation of violence and hostilities	
Appendix IV	Bibliography	92
Appendix V:	List of contributors	96



Foreword

This report and its findings are based on field work by a team of international experts deployed by UNEP following its Governing Council's Decision 25/12 in February 2009, requesting UNEP to assess the environmental damage and carry out an economic evaluation of the rehabilitation and restoration of the environment in the Gaza Strip following the escalation of hostilities in December 2008 and late January 2009.

As part of this process, I personally travelled to the region in April 2009, and met with senior Palestinian and Israeli representatives. I also had the opportunity to visit various sites, including parts of the Gaza Strip.

The team of experts, coordinated by UNEP's Post-Conflict and Disaster Management Branch (PCDMB), concludes that a wide range of environmental challenges require urgent resolve.

These range from safe disposal of large amounts of rubble, some of which is contaminated with substances like asbestos, to sewage pollution of coastal waters. Some of the challenges have been aggravated by recent events but their roots predate the latest hostilities.

The most urgent and challenging finding is the state of the underground water supplies, upon which the Palestinian people – and to a large extent the people of Israel – rely for drinking and agricultural irrigation water.

Years of over-abstraction and pollution now mean that the sustainability of the Gaza Strip is now in serious doubt unless the aquifer is 'rested' and solutions such as improved sanitation and desalination are introduced.

This report outlines a range of economically costed options for managing the current situation and leading the Gaza Strip onto a sustainable path.

It is hoped that the facts and economic analysis presented here can assist and guide the relevant national and local authorities and the international community to design forward-looking recovery strategies and transformative investment decisions.

This report, which has been submitted to the UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon, is the latest post-conflict environmental assessment undertaken by UNEP. Others include those carried out in the Balkans, Iraq, Liberia, Afghanistan and Sudan.

As in previous studies, UNEP's report on the Gaza Strip could not have been possible without the support of a wide range of UN agencies operating in the region.

I would thus like to thank colleagues including UNSCO, UNRWA, UNDP, OCHA, WHO, UNDSS and UNMAS. UNEP stands ready to work with the UN family and relevant authorities in the region in forging a more sustainable and peaceful future for the people of this region.

Achim Steiner

United Nations Under-Secretary General Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme

Acronyms and abbreviations

BOD biological oxygen demand

BTEX benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene and xylene

CAMP Coastal Aquifer Management Plan

CAPEX capital expenditure

CMWU Coastal Municipalities Water Utility

COD chemical oxygen demand

DDD dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
DDE dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene
DDT dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
EPA Environmental Protection Agency
EQA Environmental Quality Authority
EWC European Waste Catalogue

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization

GPS Global Positioning System

GSSO German Sewage Sludge Ordnance

HHCW hazardous healthcare waste

IDF Israeli Defence Forces

LAGA Laender-Arbeitsgemeinschaft Abfall (German Federal States' Working Group on Waste)

NGO non-governmental organization

OCHA Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs

OPEX operational expenditure

PAH polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon

PAPP Programme of Assistance to the Palestinian People

PCB polychlorinated biphenyl

PCEA post-conflict environmental assessment

PCM phase contrast microscopy
PWA Palestinian Water Authority

SPCSO soil protection and contaminated sites ordinance

TPH total petroleum hydrocarbon

UK United Kingdom
UN United Nations

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNDSS United Nations Department of Safety and Security

UNEP United Nations Environment Programme

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

UNITAR United Nations Institute for Training and Research

UNMAS United Nations Mine Action Service

UNOSAT United Nations Operational Satellite Applications Programme

UNRWA United Nations Relief and Works Agency

USD United States dollar
UXO unexploded ordnance
VOC volatile organic compound
WHO World Health Organization

Weights and measures

cfu colony forming unit

cm centimetre

cm3 cubic centimetre

ft foot

kg kilogramme km kilometre

I litre

m2 square metre m3 cubic metre milligramme mg ml millilitre millimetre mm metre/second m/s ng nanogramme microgramme μg μ m micrometre microsiemens μs

1 Background

The Gaza Strip has been a theatre of conflict for decades. Each of these conflicts has left its mark, and over time, a significant environmental footprint has developed in the Gaza Strip. During the most recent fighting – between 27 December 2008 and 18 January 2009 – Israeli Defence Forces (IDF) conducted a major combined military operation in the Gaza Strip. The operation comprised bombardment by land, sea and air, and incursions into the Gaza Strip by Israeli troops. Before and during that period, Hamas and other Palestinian militant groups fired rockets from Gaza into Israel and engaged Israeli troops in Gaza during the ground invasion.

The fighting resulted in extensive casualties and the destruction of homes, livelihoods and infrastructure. With fighting taking place in densely populated areas, and with hospitals and UN facilities being hit by shells, there was almost no safe space in the Gaza Strip. As the borders were sealed, civilians had no place to flee, and bore the brunt of the fighting.

Homes and public infrastructure throughout the Gaza Strip sustained extensive damage. Gaza City was the worst hit. A unilateral Israeli ceasefire on 18 January, followed a day later by a unilateral ceasefire by Hamas and other Palestinian factions, put an end to the fighting. The Israeli army completed its withdrawal from the Gaza Strip on 21 January.

The environmental situation in the Gaza Strip was already serious prior to these events, due to underinvestment in environmental systems, lack of progress on priority environmental projects and the collapse of governance mechanisms. The recent escalation of hostilities caused additional damage and increased the pressure on environmental facilities and institutions. Two of the most striking examples are the significant volume of demolition debris that was generated and the serious damage done to the sewage system. Other adverse environmental impacts include the widespread destruction of agricultural areas, damage to smaller industrial enterprises and an increase in pollution discharged into the Mediterranean and into the groundwater.

According to a United Nations (UN) damage assessment carried out using satellite imagery, 2,692 buildings and 180 greenhouses were destroyed or severely damaged during the hostilities and 167 kilometres of road were damaged. The assessment revealed 220 impact craters on roads and bridges and more than 700 craters on open or agricultural land. Utilities infrastructure in energy (fuel and electricity), transportation and telecommunications also sustained severe damage during the crisis. Water supplies were affected by damage to water wells and drinking water pipes, as were wastewater systems.

Box 1. The Gaza Strip: geography, climate and population

The Gaza Strip is a narrow strip of land on the Mediterranean coast. It borders Israel to the east and north and Egypt to the south. It is approximately 41 kilometres long, and between 6 and 12 kilometres wide with a total area of 378 square kilometres.

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 10549



