

**MONTREAL PROTOCOL
ON SUBSTANCES THAT DEplete
THE OZONE LAYER**



UNEP

**REPORT OF THE
TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT PANEL**

**MAY 2006
PROGRESS REPORT**

UNEP
MAY 2006 REPORT OF THE
TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC
ASSESSMENT PANEL

PROGRESS REPORT

**Montreal Protocol
On Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer**

Report of the
UNEP Technology and Economic Assessment Panel

May 2006

PROGRESS REPORT

The text of this report is composed in Times New Roman.

Co-ordination: **Technology and Economic Assessment Panel**

Composition of the report: Lambert Kuijpers

Layout: Meg Seki, Ozone Secretariat, UNEP

Reproduction: UNON Nairobi

Date: May 2006

Under certain conditions, printed copies of this report are available from:

UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME
Ozone Secretariat, P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi, Kenya

This document is also available in portable document format from the UNEP
Ozone Secretariat's website:

http://www.unep.org/ozone/teap/Reports/TEAP_Reports/

No copyright involved. This publication may be freely copied, abstracted and
cited, with acknowledgement of the source of the material.

ISBN:92-807-2731-1

Foreword

In May 2006, TEAP produced two reports as follows:

1. May 2006 TEAP Progress Report

The Progress Report contains an Executive Summary of all TEAP Progress Report topics. Volume 1 contains the essential use report, progress reports of all TOCs, the MB QPS report, the MB CUN report, an update report on military uses, draft Terms of Reference for case studies, TEAP organisation and working modalities issues, as well as TEAP member biographies and TEAP and TOC membership lists.

2. Special Report: 'Validating the Yield Performance of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide for Preplant Fumigation'

The Special Report is a meta-analysis validating the yield performance of alternatives to methyl bromide. The conclusions of the analysis were used by the MBTOC in the evaluation of some Critical Use Nominations for 2008.

The UNEP Technology and Economic Assessment Panel:

<i>Stephen O. Andersen, co-chair</i>	USA	<i>Thomas Morehouse</i>	USA
<i>Jose I. Pons, co-chair</i>	VEN	<i>Marta Pizano</i>	COL
<i>Lambert Kuijpers, co-chair</i>	NL	<i>Ian Porter</i>	AUS
<i>Radhey Agarwal</i>	IND	<i>Miguel Quintero</i>	COL
<i>Paul Ashford</i>	UK	<i>Ian Rae</i>	AUS
<i>Jonathan Banks</i>	AUS	<i>K. Madhava Sarma</i>	IND
<i>Jiang Biao</i>	PRC	<i>Helen Tope</i>	AUS
<i>Mohamed Besri</i>	MOR	<i>Dan Verdonik</i>	USA
<i>David Catchpole</i>	UK	<i>Ashley Woodcock</i>	UK
<i>Tamás Lotz</i>	HUN	<i>Masaaki Yamabe</i>	JPN
<i>Michelle Marcotte</i>	CDN	<i>Shiqiu Zhang</i>	PRC

Disclaimer

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) Co-chairs and members, the Technical Options Committees Co-chairs and members, the TEAP Task Forces Co-chairs and members, and the companies and organisations that employ them do not endorse the performance, worker safety, or environmental acceptability of any of the technical options discussed. Every industrial operation requires consideration of worker safety and proper disposal of contaminants and waste products. Moreover, as work continues - including additional toxicity evaluation - more information on health, environmental and safety effects of alternatives and replacements will become available for use in selecting among the options discussed in this document.

UNEP, the TEAP Co-chairs and members, the Technical Options Committees Co-chairs and members, and the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel Task Forces Co-chairs and members, in furnishing or distributing this information, do not make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or utility; nor do they assume any liability of any kind whatsoever resulting from the use or reliance upon any information, material, or procedure contained herein, including but not limited to any claims regarding health, safety, environmental effect or fate, efficacy, or performance, made by the source of information.

Mention of any company, association, or product in this document is for information purposes only and does not constitute a recommendation of any such company, association, or product, either express or implied by UNEP, the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel Co-chairs or members, the Technical and Economic Options Committee chairs, Co-chairs or members, the TEAP Task Forces Co-chairs or members or the companies or organisations that employ them.

Acknowledgements

The Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, its Technical Options Committees and the Task Forces Co-chairs and members acknowledges with thanks the outstanding contributions from all of the individuals and organisations that provided support to Panel, Committees and Task Forces Co-chairs and members. The opinions expressed are those of the Panel, the Committees and Task Forces and do not necessarily reflect the reviews of any sponsoring or supporting organisation.

The TEAP thanks the College of Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, for hosting the TEAP meeting, 24-28 April 2006, where this report was composed and reviewed, and thanks in particular its member Shiqiu Zhang, for making all necessary arrangements in a very forthcoming and outstanding way.

UNEP
MAY 2006 REPORT OF THE
TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC
ASSESSMENT PANEL

PROGRESS REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROGRESS REPORT	1
REVIEW OF ESSENTIAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METERED DOSE INHALERS	1
MEDICAL TOC TECHNICAL PROGRESS	1
FOAMS TOC TECHNICAL PROGRESS	3
REFRIGERATION, AC AND HEAT PUMPS TOC TECHNICAL PROGRESS	5
CHEMICALS TOC TECHNICAL PROGRESS	7
PROGRESS IN THE ODS PHASE-OUT IN THE MILITARY SECTOR	14
METHYL BROMIDE TOC PROGRESS REPORT	15
METHYL BROMIDE QPS TASK FORCE REPORT	17
CRITICAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METHYL BROMIDE: INTERIM REPORT	18
1 ESSENTIAL USES	23
1.1 ESSENTIAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METERED DOSE INHALERS	23
1.1.1 <i>Criteria for Review of Essential Use Nominations for MDIs</i>	23
1.1.2 <i>Review of Nominations</i>	23
1.1.3 <i>Summary of Parties' Essential Use Nominations and Quantities for 2007 and 2008</i>	23
1.1.4 <i>Observations on nominations</i>	23
1.1.4.1 Specific concerns relevant to nominations from the European Community and the	23
1.1.4.2 Note on the management of pre- and post-1996 surplus CFCs	24
1.1.5 <i>Committee Evaluation and Recommendations</i>	24
1.1.5.1 European Community	24
1.1.5.2 United States	26
1.1.6 <i>Reports on status in transition</i>	27
1.1.6.1 Australia	27
1.1.6.2 Russian Federation	27
1.2 ESSENTIAL USE NOMINATION FOR SOLVENT CLEANING WITH CFC-113	28
1.2.1 <i>Russian Federation</i>	28
2 MEDICAL TECHNICAL OPTIONS COMMITTEE (MTOC) PROGRESS	
REPORT	31
2.1 TRANSITION TO ALTERNATIVES TO CFC MDIS	33
2.2 TRANSITION STRATEGIES	36
2.2.1 <i>Progress reports on transition strategies</i>	36
2.3 GLOBAL DATABASE	36
2.4 TRANSITION IN ARTICLE 5(1) COUNTRIES	36
2.4.1 <i>Decision XVII/14 – Difficulties faced by some Article 5(1) countries with respect to</i>	36
2.4.2 <i>Progress in transition in Article 5(1) countries</i>	38
2.4.3 <i>Regional analysis</i>	39
2.4.3.1 Africa	39
2.4.3.2 Bangladesh	41
2.4.3.3 China	41
2.4.3.4 India	42
2.4.3.5 Indonesia	44
2.4.3.6 Iran	44
2.4.3.7 Jordan	44
2.4.3.8 Latin America and Central America	44

2.4.3.9	Pakistan.....	46
2.4.4	Patents and transition in Article 5(1) countries.....	47
2.4.5	CFC Production for MDIs and the Montreal Protocol phase-out in Article 5(1) countries.....	48
3	FOAMS TECHNICAL OPTIONS COMMITTEE (FTOC) PROGRESS REPORT.....	49
3.1	GENERAL.....	49
3.2	TECHNOLOGY STATUS.....	50
4	HALONS TECHNICAL OPTIONS COMMITTEE (HTOC) PROGRESS REPORT.....	53
4.1	UPDATE ON DECISION XV/11.....	53
4.2	REVIEW OF HTOC HALON BANK ESTIMATES.....	53
4.3	IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES IN ARTICLE 5(1) COUNTRIES.....	54
4.4	HALON-2402 PHASE-OUT.....	54
4.5	DESTRUCTION CHALLENGES.....	55
5	REFRIGERATION, AIR-CONDITIONING AND HEAT PUMPS TECHNICAL OPTIONS COMMITTEE (RTOC) PROGRESS REPORT.....	57
5.1	REFRIGERANT DATA.....	57
5.2	DOMESTIC REFRIGERATION.....	58
5.3	COMMERCIAL REFRIGERATION.....	59
5.4	LARGE SIZE REFRIGERATION (INDUSTRIAL, COLD STORAGE AND FOOD PROCESSING).....	59
5.5	TRANSPORT REFRIGERATION.....	60
5.6	UNITARY AIR CONDITIONING.....	60
5.7	CHILLER AIR CONDITIONING.....	61
5.8	WATER-HEATING HEAT PUMPS.....	61
5.9	VEHICLE AIR CONDITIONING.....	62
5.10	CONSERVATION.....	63
6	CHEMICALS TECHNICAL OPTIONS COMMITTEE (CTOC) PROGRESS REPORT.....	65
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	65
6.2	PROCESS AGENTS.....	65
6.2.1	Use of CTC in Manufacture of vinyl chloride monomer (VCM) by Brazil.....	66
6.2.2	Use of BCM in Manufacture of Sultamicillin Tosylate Dehydrate by Turkey.....	66
6.2.3	Alternatives to Process agents.....	66
6.3	FEEDSTOCKS.....	67
6.3.1	Application of Halon-1301 as a feedstock.....	67
6.4	LABORATORY AND ANALYTICAL USES.....	69
6.4.1	Laboratory and analytical uses of methyl bromide.....	69
6.4.1.1	Introduction.....	69
6.4.1.2	Laboratory uses.....	70
6.4.1.3	Analytical uses.....	71
6.4.2	Laboratory and analytical procedures with ODS.....	72

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_11175

