

Integrated Assessment of the Impact of Trade Liberalization

A Country Study on the Colombian Rice Sector







Integrated Assessment of the Impact of Trade Liberalization

A Country Study on the Colombian Rice Sector

Copyright 2005 UNEP

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. UNEP would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from UNEP.

First edition 2005

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations Environment Programme concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreover, the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.

ISBN: 92-807-2448-7



Foreword

A trade liberalization movement to encourage economies with competitive advantages to produce tradable goods characterized the last decade of the 20th Century. Least Developed Countries (LDCs) adopted this neo-liberal model, making it easier to trade goods, reducing tariffs and unifying aids and commercial restrictions in subsidies. The illusion of these new paradigms was mainly to increase the share of LDCs in agricultural trade.

Yet, in the last years of the twentieth century almost all of the LDCs registered an economic recession with high unemployment rates, income concentration, and lower growth rates. According to the World Bank¹, food imports have grown spectacularly in these countries while developed countries have increased their exports of agricultural products and employment in agricultural activities.

The main interest of international institutions in monitoring welfare and development indicators is to evaluate the sustainability of the development model induced by trade liberalization between countries. One way to understand the impact of trade liberalization in the economies of the LDCs is to study agricultural commodities.

This study is an integrated assessment of the impacts of trade liberalization on Colombia's rice sector. Rice was chosen because it is a semi-annual crop, a basic food for low-income populations, and an employment generator in rural zones. Rice sector trends were evaluated over the last 13 years to measure the direct and indirect impacts of trade liberalization. It was found that the sector's performance was linked to international markets despite domestic protections. Exposition of the sector to new trade policies has generated negative social, economic and environmental impacts. As a complement, a mathematical model was calculated to evaluate input and output productivity in the sector.

The conclusion of this study is that, despite reductions in real costs and producer prices, the Colombian rice sector is more vulnerable each year to international markets because of distortions arising from aids and subsidies in major rice-exporting – and thus price-forming – countries.

¹ See Balcázar Alvaro et al., 2003.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

Several institutions and individuals cooperated in the fruitful completion of this Project. FEDEARROZ sponsored and presented the proposal to the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) for the evaluation of the impacts of trade liberalization on the Colombian rice sector. Technical staff from the Agriculture, Environment and External Trade Ministries contributed to this document through their criticisms and comments on the first drafts. Finally, the Universidad del Rosario allowed one of its Master in Economics students to finish his thesis with us.

Major acknowledgments must be made to the Technical Committee composed of Jaime Jiménez, Jairo Hómez, Diego Herrera, Edwin García and José Levis under the leadership of Néstor Gutiérrez. They are each responsible for one of the topics in the final document.

Other persons who helped out in the interested Commitee were Humberto Vásquez, Alberto Navarro, Henry Ramirez and Ciro Quintana from Usocoello; Yesid Castro, consultant; Samuel Zambrano and Oscar Ballesteros from the Departamento Nacional de Planeación; Jaime Pérez, José Ignacio Torres and Alejandro Peláez from DANE; Ivan Sombrerero and Sandra Avellaneda from Induarroz; Jorge Enrique Cubillos from Moliarroz; Alejandro Vélez and María Elvira Núñez from SAC; Francisco Acevedo and Irma Baquero from CORPOICA; Jorge Torres, Alberto Mejía, Juan José Sierra, Gonzalo Sarmiento, rice producers; Luis Eduardo Quintero and Ricardo Sánchez from the Ministry of Agriculture; Nohora Helena Cruz from Bolsa Nacional Agropecuaria; Ruth Suarez and Fabio Buitrago, NGO consultants; Milton Borrero, Lorena Prieto, Hernando Herrera, Rosa Lucia Rojas and Carlos Guzmán from FEDEARROZ; Fernando Barberi and Diana Martínez from Universidad del Rosario; Jorge Enrique Muñoz from Universidad de los Llanos; and Luis Sanint from FLAR.

At UNEP, the project was initiated and led by Hussein Abaza. Sophie Forster Carbonnier and Mariko Hara coordinated and provided technical and logistical support to the project. The country teams prepared the full studies as well as the summaries included in the synthesis report (Integrated Assessment of the Impact of Trade Liberalization on the Rice Sector, UNEP Country Projects Round III, A Synthesis Report). Thanks are due to Jan Joost Kessler, Konrad von Moltke and Fulai Sheng for having provided critical reviews of draft reports. This appreciation is also extended to the members of the international working group on rice set up by UNEP to guide and implement the projects and provide comments. The members of this group, who attended the two international expert meetings on 19-20 February and 17-18 November 2003 in Geneva, and provided useful contributions and comments on these occasions, are: Tunji Akande, Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research; Claude Auroi, IUED (Graduate Institute of Development Studies); Luisa Bernal, South Centre; Concepción Calpe, FAO; Céline Charveriat, Oxfam International; Martha Chouchena-Rojas, IUCN; Aliou Diagne, West Africa Rice Development Association; Salah El Serafy, Consultant, USA; Aimée Gonzales, WWF International; Dongmei Guo, State Environmental Protection Administration, China; Néstor Gutiérrez, FEDEARROZ, Bogota; Mark Halle, IISD; Dimitris Diakosavvas, OECD; Panos Konandreas, FAO; Doug Koplow, Earth Track, Inc.; Hans-Jörg Lehmann, Federal Office for Agriculture, Bern; Eric Peters, European Commission; Majda Petschen, WTO; Shishir Priyadarshi, South Centre; Sarah Richardson, Maeander Enterprises Ltd., Canada; Abdoulaye Sene,

Institut des Sciences de l'Environnement, Dakar; Shefali Sharma, IATP; Miho Shirotori, UNCTAD; Matius Suparmoko, Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia; Robert Teh, WTO; Gerard van Dijk, UNEP Regional Office for Europe; Truong van Tuyen, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry, Viet Nam; Scott Vaughan (ex-Carnegie Endowment) OAS; Rene Vossenaar, UNCTAD; Alex Werth, ICTSD.

Susan Broomfield edited the final study; however full responsibility for the content remains with the authors. Logistical support was provided by Desiree Leon and Rahila Mughal from UNEP.

Finally, UNEP/ETB wishes to gratefully acknowledge the funding from the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, which made this project possible.

United Nations Environment Programme

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) is the overall coordinating environmental organization of the United Nations system. Its mission is to provide leadership and encourage partnerships in caring for the environment by inspiring, informing and enabling nations and people to improve their quality of life without compromising that of future generations. In accordance with its mandate, UNEP works to observe, monitor and assess the state of the global environment, improve the scientific understanding of how environmental change occurs, and in turn, how such change can be managed by action-oriented national policies and international agreements. UNEP's capacity building work thus centres on helping countries strengthen environmental management in diverse areas that include freshwater and land resource management, the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, marine and coastal ecosystem management, and cleaner industrial production and eco-efficiency, among many others.

UNEP, which is headquartered in Nairobi, Kenya, marked its first 30 years of service in 2002. During this time, in partnership with a global array of collaborating organizations, UNEP has achieved major advances in the development of international environmental policy and law, environmental monitoring and assessment, and the understanding of the science of global change. This work also supports the successful development and implementation of the world's major environmental conventions. In parallel, UNEP administers several multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) including the Vienna Convention's Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (SBC), the Convention on Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade (Rotterdam Convention, PIC) and the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity as well as the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs).

Division of Technology Industry and Economics

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 11361