





SustainAbility United Nations Engaging Environment Stakeholders Programme

The Non-Reporting Report



Contents

Section	ons	
1.0	Introduction	04
2.0	Corporate environmental reporting explained	05
3.0	Why do some companies choose to report?	07
4.0	Why do so many companies choose not to report?	09
5.0	Who reports and who does not?	11
5.1	Reporting by sector	11
5.2 5.2. 1 5.2. 2 5.2. 3 5.2. 4	Case studies J Sainsbury vs Marks & Spencer NatWest vs Barclays Nortel vs Motorola Statoil vs Total	_ 12
5.3	Non-reporter s and voluntary codes of conduct	14
6.0	Next steps: starting to report	- 15
6.1	Where is environmental reporting headed?	16
7.0	Conclusions and recommendations	- 17
Appei	ndices	
1	Resources	18
2	Survey respondents	19
3	Non-reporters	20
4	Contact information	- 22

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the companies that took the time to respond to our survey and to be interviewed (list in Appendix 2). In addition, Ullrika Wennberg fro nLund University in Sweden gave many helpful suggestions, as did Thomas Loew and his colleagues fro mIOW in Germany. We would also like to thank Raymond Chan, Assistant Director of th **Environmental** Protection Department Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region Government. Frank Figge fro mBank Sarasin in Switzerland contributed some valuable ideas. Judy Kuszewski fro m CERES in the USA also provided us with comments. The work would have been impossible without the valuable help of Helen Stibbard, Tell Münzing, Christèle Delbé, Franceska van Dijk and others a tSustainAbility.

Engaging Stakeholders 1998 The Non-Reporting Report First Edition 1998 ISBN 0 95 21904 8 6

Copyright 199 8SustainAbility Ltd and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means: electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the copyright holders. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part o fUNEP concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreove r. the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of UNEP nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.

Information Design
Rupert Bassett +44 (0)1 81 960 9550
Production

JAMM +44 (0)1 71 231 9440
Paperstock
Paperback SylvanPrint 135gsm
100% post-consumer waste
NAPM HMSO 100v

Forewords



Jacqueline Alois i de Larderel



Nancy Bennet



John Elkington



Shelly Niklas Fennell Kreander



Daniel Halder



UNEP Foreword

Since 1993 whe nUNEP, in partnership with SustainAbility, began working in the field of environmental reporting, the numbers of companies issuing corporate environmental reports (CERs) has increased dramatically. More recently, the interest of other stakeholders - such as regulators and the financial sector - has also created a greater demand for CERs which has led to exciting developments in environmental performance indicators and standardised reporting formats. In addition, various groups are calling for 'responsible entrepreneurship' and greater transparency, and the review of voluntary initiatives to ensure effective results.

All these factors indicate that reporting needs to become more widespread — in all industry sectors, and globally — for reporting to remain a credible means to communicate environmental performance. In addition, reporting means self-assessment, and is strongly linked to environmental management systems and competitiveness.

In this context, the goal of this report is not to give bad marks. We are convinced that many of the companies listed here as non-reporters have good environmental performance records. Our goal is to identify barriers to reporting and to suggest ways and means to overcome them.

The next step will be to engage non-reporters, including SMEs and companies operating in developing countries UNEP is looking forward to continuing its cooperation with companies and industry associations which have a crucial role to play in encouraging reporting and benchmarking by member companies.

UNEP is a strong supporter of voluntary codes of conduct as they can be an important tool for improving industry 's environmental performance .UNEP has worked closely with the banking and the insurance sectors to develop th .eUNEP statement by Financial Institutions on Environment & Sustainable Development and the Statement of Environmental Commitment by the Insurance Industr y. UNEP also supports the ICC Business Charter for Sustainable Development.

As outlined in th eUNEP Technical Report entitled *Voluntary Codes of Conduct for the Environment*, what is critical is that these codes are effectively implemented and lead to improvements in environmental performance. Environmental reports can be an excellent means to identify progress and measure results achieved.

Jacqueline Aloisi de Larderel Director United Nations Environment Programme Industry and Environment

SustainAbility Foreword

Ever since we began working wit HUNEP on company environmental reporting and stakeholder engagement, we have had in mind a survey of non-reporting companies. The idea often ended up on the backburner, however, because of the sheer pace of development in reporting, with one sector after another coming 'onstream' and environmental reporting beginning to evolve into 'triple bottom line' or 'sustainability' reporting.

Obviously one way to deal with non-reporters is to pass legislation requiring them to disclose environmental information. The USA went this way some time ago, and is being followed by countries like Denmark, The Netherlands, Norway and Sweden. SustainAbility has always argued that, after a period of intense, competitive experimentation in disclosure, reporting and accounting, such regulatory pressures would be essential, indeed inevitable.

One driver is the 'free rider' problem, with non-reporting companies gaining unearned benefits from the efforts of reporters. But there will be others, too, as major companies try to build a picture of the life-cycle impacts associated with their value chains. So to help things forward, we have taken a deeper look at the non-reporting issue. In some cases, too, we consider non-reporters directly alongside their reporting competitors, to turn up the heat a little!

Thanks, as eve r, to Jacqueline Aloisi de Larderel and Nancy Bennet a tUNEP — and to our sponsoring companies. But my most heart-felt thanks go to Shelly Fennell, Daniel Halder and Niklas Kreander for taking the somewhat over-simmered idea and producing a key contribution to the *Engaging Stakeholders* series.

John Elkington Chairman SustainAbility

1.0 Introduction

'We must listen, learn and respond to the needs and values of our stakeholders. We believe that embracing a stakeholderled approach will provide us with the best basis for successful business in the future.' Mads Øvlisen President and CEO, Novo Nordisk Environmental Report 1996

Over the past decade, voluntary corporate environmental reporting has expanded rapidly. More than 600 companies have produced a Corporate Environment Report (CER), over 1,000 Eco-Management and Audit System (EMAS) environmental statements are now available, and a growing number of companies release information electronically, through websites and CD-Roms.

Despite the encouraging growth rate, we cannot lose sight of the fact that reporting companies still represent only a small percentage of companies overall.

In Engaging Stakeholders Volume 1 (1996), we concluded that the ultimate success of environmental reporting hinged on getting more companies to report. For this reason, the promotion of reporting around the world remains a high priority for the ongoing SustainAbility/UNEP Engaging Stakeholders programme.

Since then, support for greater public disclosure of environmental information has come from some rather unexpected quarters. The environment ministers from member nations of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) recently approved a recommendation that all member countries take all necessary steps to increase the public availability of environmental information held by public authorities.

Several European countries have already approved legislation requiring companies to publicly report on their performance, and others may soon follow.¹

Speaking at the The Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA) reporting awards in March 1998, UK Environment Minister Michael Meacher issued a direct challenge to British companies: report voluntarily, or it will become mandatory. He went on to, as one UK newspaper put it, 'name and shame' non-reporting companies in various sectors, while expressing appreciation for reporting companies' efforts.

Our goal in this publication is to promote reporting by exploring why some companies choose to produce CERs while others choose not to. Our focus is primarily on large — Fortune 500 — companies with significant environmental impacts. The majority of companies we looked at are headquartered in Europe or North America.

In the next sections we address the following questions:

- 1 What motivates companies to report in the first place?
- 2 Once they have reported, what benefits do they perceive?
- 3 Why have so many companies decided (so far) not to report?
- 4 Which companies produce reports and which do not?
- 5 How do the expectations of nonreporting companies compare to the experiences of reporting companies?
- 6 What would convince non-reporting companies to change course?

We also take a special look at corporate signatories of the International Chamber of Commerce Business Charter on Sustainable Development, which includes a commitment to publicly report a signatory company's progress against 16 key environmental principles.

Our conclusions are based on our recent international survey of over 50 reporting and non-reporting companies, and our continuous, worldwide discussions with companies over the advantages and disadvantages of corporate environmental reporting.

¹ Currently Denmark and The Netherlands, with Sweden and other countries also following suit in 1999.

The full version of the Non-Reporting Report is available directly from:

www.sustainability.co.uk

Or contact:

SustainAbility Ltd 49-53 Kensington High Street London W8 5ED UK

Telephone: +44 (0)20 7937 9996 Fax: +44 (0)20 7937 7447

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_12661

