

Nile Basin Adaptation to Water Stress Comprehensive Assessment of Flood & Drought Prone Areas





Acknowledgments

This final report was prepared for "Adaptation to climate change induced water stress in the Nile River Basin" project with financial support by the Swedish Government. It documents the technical developments and assessments carried out under Work package WP1.1 "Comprehensive Assessments of Flood and Drought Prone Areas" by DHI and the UK Met Office in collaboration with the Nile Basin Initiative (NBI).

The contributions and support made by the following institutions in the preparation and publication of this report are gratefully acknowledged:

- Nile Basin Initiative (NBI)
- Climate Change Adaptation Unit, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)
- Swedish International Development Co-operation Agency (SIDA).
- UNEP-DHI Centre for Water and Environment

For their support during the course of this project the following people are gratefully acknowledged Dr. (Ms.) Musonda Mumba, Programme Officer, Ecosystem Based Adaptation (EBA) Flagship Programme Focal Point, Climate Change Adaptation Unit, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Dr. Wael Khairy, Executive Director (2010-2012), Nile Basin Initiative (NBI) Secretariat Eng. Teferra Beyene Asfaw, Executive Director (2012-date), Nile Basin Initiative (NBI) Secretariat Dr. Peter Koefoed Bjørnsen, Director, UNEP-DHI Centre for Water and Environment

The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of Carol McSweeney and Camilla Mathison in developing the climate model selection methodology used here, as well as Mark Wilson, Jill Chamberlain, Chang Wang and Andy Wiltshire.

Finally, for their practical and invaluable contributions to the project and the preparation of this report particular thanks are extended to:

Dr. Abdulkarim H Seid, Head, Water Resources Management; Nile Basin Initiative Secretariat (Nile-Sec) Dr. Mekuria Beyene, Regional Water Resources Modeller, Nile Basin Initiative, Water Resources Planning and Management Project.



Photo credits: All the photos in this publication, including front and back cover photos, have been provided by Jens Kristian Lørup

Acknowle	dgments	2
Executive	summary	13
1.0 Introd	uction 23	
1.1	Nile River Basin	23
1.2	Climate adaptation at the regional scale	25
1.3	Floods, high flows, droughts & water scarcity.	26
2.0	Approach & methodology 29	
2.1 Gener	al framework	29
2.2 Scenar	rio -based methodology	30
2.3	Regional climate modelling	31
2.4	Water demand (development) scenarios	31
2.5	Regional hydrological modelling	31
2.6 Indicat	rors	32
3.0	Regional climate change & modelling 32	
3.1 Emissio	on scenarios	32
3.2	Regional & global climate modelling	34
3.3 Ensem	ble modelling	36
3.4	Bias corrections & change factors	37
3.4.1	Derivation of the delta change factors	37
3.5	Evaluation & validation of the African climate simulations	38
3.6	Selection of ensemble members	48
3.6.1	Comparison of QUMP & CMIP3 climate simulations	49
3.6.2	Evaluation of the RCM simulations	53
3.0.3	Regional climate modelling of Lake Victoria	58
3.0.4 30	immary	02
4.0	Water demand (development) scenarios 63	
4.1	Agricultural water demand scenarios	63
4.1.1 Bo	iseline	63
4.1.2	Projections of future irrigation demand	68
4.2	Industrial & municipal water demand	71
4.2.1 Bc	iseline	71
4.2.2	Industrial & municipal water demand projections	/2
4.3	Representing water demands in a regional hydrological model	80
4.3.1	FAU INITE Information	80
4.3.Z	Spatial disaggregation of the EAO Nile data set	80
4.0.0	Spandi disaggregation of the LAC type and set	02
5.0	Regional hydrological modelling 89	
5.1	lypes of models used	89
5.2 Model	ling scales	91
2.3 K	aintail-runott modelling	91
530	Meter sub basing in which rainfall runoff models were developed	91
533	Delineation of catchments	95
534	Catchment precipitation & potential evapotranspiration	95
5 3 5 Co	atchment discharae	100
5.3.6 Co	alibration	100
5.4	River basin modelling	101
5.4.1 M	IKE BASIN	102
5.4.2	Reservoir & hydropower operations	103
5.4.3	Irrigation, domestic, & industry water use	103
5.4.4	River routing & losses	103
5.4.5 W	etland processes	104
5.5	Hydrological modelling of the major sub-basins	104
5.5.1 F	ocus areas	104
5.5.2	The Equatorial Lakes Basin (Lake Victoria Basin)	104
5.5.3 Th	le Sudd	116
5.5.4 BC	Inr -El-Ghazal	120
5.5.5 SC 5.5.4	The White Nile	120
0.0.0		124

5.5.7 5.5.8	The Ethopian Highlands (Blue Nile & Atbara sub-basins) The Main Nile	128 143
5.5.9 Egyp	t Posulta from the regional model	143
5.0 5.6.1.Sem	iki	144
5.6.2	Lake Victoria (Water level)	147
5.6.3	Lake Kyoga (Water level)	148
5.6.4 Jinja		149
5.6.5 K	amdini	150
5.6.6 Mon	galla	151
5.6./ Butto	ilo Cape	153
5.6.9 Male		154
5.6.10 Jeb	el Aulia	158
5.6.11 Ab	γε	159
5.6.12	, Khartoum (Blue Nile)	161
5.6.13 Atb	ara	162
5.6.14 Do	ngola	164
5.6.15 Ga	atra	165
5.6.16 Sur	nmary	166
6.0	Regional impact assessment for climate & water resources 167	
6.1 Hydro	-climatic setting	168
6.2	Regional climate projections – annual averages	168
6.3	Climate Moisture Index (CMI)	172
6.4	Coefficient of variation of the Climate Moisture Index (CV CMI)	176
6.5	Regional climate maps	179
0.5.1 4.5.2	Reference or baseline climate	1/9
653	Regional changes in rainfall (2020-2049)	186
6.5.4	Regional changes in potential evapotranspiration PET (2020-2049)	192
6.5.5	Regional changes in temperature (2070-2099)	197
6.5.6	Regional changes in precipitation (2070-2099)	197
6.5.7	Regional changes in potential evapotranspiration PET (2070-2099)	197
6.6	Regional flow impacts	208
6.6.1	White Nile stations	210
6.6.2	Blue Nile & Atbara stations	222
0.0.3	Main Nile stations Summary of the change in flow volume at key locations	222
0.0.4	Johnnary of the change in now volonie at key locations	220
7.0	Regional impact of water demand (development) scenarios 229	
7.1	Simulations of the baseline & scenarios	229
7.2 Scenario	results	233
8.0 0 1 Declares	Summary & conclusions 240	240
о. Г Баскуго. 8 2	Outcomes & henefits	240
8.3	Innovations in this study	240
8.4	Approach & methodology	241
8.5 K	ey findings	242
8.5.1	Regional changes in temperature	242
8.5.2	Regional changes in precipitation - GCM's	243
8.5.3	Regional changes in climate & water resources – Introduction	243
0.J.4 855	Regional changes in climate & water resources – White Nile Regional changes in climate & water resources – Rive Nile & Athere	Z44 046
856	Regional changes in climate & water resources – Bioe Mile & Albura	240
8.6 K	ey recommendations	250
9.0 R	eferences	252

Figures

Overview of the Nile Basin & the ten major sub-basins referred to in this report	24
Distribution of annual rainfall over the Nile Basin (Source Africa Water Atlas, UNEP 2010)	25
The climate change adaptation process for water resources systems (Source: Butts 2010).	27
Spatial scales for climate modelling, hydrological modelling, decision- making & implementation of climate adaptation measures.	28
Sources of flow into the Aswan dam [BCM/ day] (Source: http://www.marefa.org)	29
Schematic of the scenario-based methodology	30
The range of global greenhouse gas emissions (left) & corresponding global warming (right) for different SRES scenarios. The bars on the right show the likely range of temperature increase in 2100 (relative to the period 1980-1999).Source: IPCC AR 4 report (http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/syr/en/contents.html)	33
Comparison of CO2 emissions for SRES & RCP scenarios (van Vuuren et al., 2011)	34
Comparison of the spatial resolution of temperature simulations from a regional climate model (left) & a global climate model (right) over the Nile Basin. Data courtesy of the UK Met Office	35
Regions used in the validation of the QUMP GCM ensemble members	38
The annual variation of temperature (left) & precipitation (right) for Africa, North Africa & West Sahel. The black line shows the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0 & CMAP, respectively, while the coloured lines show the model outcomes. Note the differences in y-axis scaling, especially for precipitation.	39
The annual variation of temperature (left) & precipitation (right) for Horn of Africa, Southern Africa & East of Lake Victoria. The black line shows the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0 & CMAP, respectively, while the coloured lines show the model outcomes.	40
The annual variation in temperature (left) & precipitation (right) for Central Sahel, East Sahel & Western Tropical Africa. The black line shows the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0 & CMAP, respectively, while the coloured lines show the model outcomes	41
Comparison of the observed (CMAP) & simulated precipitation for Africa during JJAS. The observations were taken during the period 1979-1998 & the simulation data during the period 1961-1990. All values are in mm/d	43
A comparison of observed & simulated precipitation for Africa during DJF. The observations were taken during the period 1979-1998 & the simulation data during the period 1961-1990. All values are in mm/d.	44
A comparison of observed & simulated 850 hPa winds for Africa duringJJAS. The observations were taken during 1978-1998, & the simulated outcomes during the period 1961-1990.	46
A comparison of observed & simulated 850 hPa winds for Africa during DJF. The observations were taken during 1978-1998, & the simulated outcomes during the period 1961-1990.	47
Plots for the QUMP ensemble showing projected change in precipitation versus change in the temperature for all Africa, North Africa & West Sahel. The panels show the spread in projected outcomes during DJF, MAM, JJA, SON & annual (ANN). The data point labels (Q#) identify the GCM models & the red data points indicate the selected sample.	50
Plots for the QUMP ensemble showing projected change in precipitation versus change in the temperature for Horn of Africa, Southern Africa & East of Lake Victoria. The panels show the spread in projected outcomes during DJF, MAM, JJA, SON & annual (ANN). The data point labels (Q#) identify the models & the red data points indicate the selected sample.	51
Plots for the QUMP ensemble showing projected change in precipitation versus change in the temperature for Central Sahel, East Sahel & Western tropical Africa. The panels show the spread in projected outcomes during DJF, MAM, JJA, SON & annual (ANN). The data point labels (Q#) identify the models & the red data points indicate the selected sample.	52
The annual variation in temperature (left) & precipitation (right) for Africa, North Africa & West Sahel. The black lines show the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0 & CMAP, respectively, while the coloured lines show the selected RCM ensemble member simulations.	54
The annual variation in temperature (left) & precipitation (right) for central Sahel, East Sahel & Western Tropical Africa. The black lines show the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0 & CMAP, respectively, while the coloured lines show the selected RCM ensemble member simulations.	55
The annual variation in temperature (left) & precipitation (right) for the Horn of Africa, Southern Africa & East of Lake Victoria. The black lines show the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0 & CMAP, respectively, while the coloured lines show the selected RCM ensemble member simulations.	56
Comparison of the observed & simulated precipitation for Africa during JJAS. The observations cover the period 1983-2012 (CPC-FEWS) while the simulations cover the 1961-1990 period. All values are in mm/day	57
	Overview of the Nile Basin & the ten mejor sub-basins reterred to in this report Distribution of annual rainfall over the Nile Basin [Source Arice Water Atlas, UNEP 2010) The climate change adaptation process for water resources systems (Source: Butts 2010). Spatial scales for climate modelling, hydrological modelling, decision- making & implementation of climate adaptation measures. Sources of flow into the Aswan dam (BCW/ day) (Source: http://www.marefa.org) Schematic of the scenario-based methodology The range of global greenhouse gas emissions (left) & corresponding global warming (right) for different SRES scenarios. The bars on the right show the likely range of temperature increase in 2100 (leftilve to the period 1980.1999).Source: (PCC At 4 report (http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/syr/an/contents.html) Comparison of the spatial resolution of temperature simulations from a regional climate model (left) & global climate model (right) over the Nile Basin. Data courtey of the UK Mer Office Regions used in the validation of the QUMP GCM essemble members The annual variation of temperature [left] & precipitation (right) for Africa, North Africa & West Schel, The block line shows the observed values of temperature & precipitation. The Annual variation of temperature [left] & precipitation (right) for Horn of Africa, Southern Africa & East of Lake Victoria. The block line shows the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0.8 CMAP; respectively, while the coloured lines show the model outcomes. The annual variation in temperature [left] & precipitation (right) for Centrol Schel, East Schel & Western TorjocitAfrica. The block line shows the observed values of temperature & precipitation from CRU 3.0.8 CMAP; respectively, while the coloured lines show the model outcomes. The annual variation in temperature [left] & precipitation for Africa during DIF. The observations were taken during the period 1979-1998 & the simulated actores during JJAS. The observations were loken during the pen

Figure 3.19	Comparison of the observed & simulated precipitation for Africa during DJF. The observations cover the period 1983-2012 (CPC-FEWS) while the simulations cover the 1961-1990 period. All values are in mm/day	58
Figure 3.20	Daily precipitation averaged over each season over Africa (mm/day) for four observational datasets 1) CRU, 2) GPCP, 3) CMAP & 4) CPC-FEWS.	60
Figure 3.21	Average daily rainfall in mm/day for each season for the African continent (top) & the Lake Victoria region (second row) from the baseline model runs (average over 5 ensemble members) & model bias (bottom rows) when compared to CPC-FEWS	61
Figure 3.22	Convective rainfall in the model, averaged over each day in a baseline period of 1961-1990 & averaged over the RCM ensemble	62
Figure 4.1	Estimated spatial distribution of annual irrigation withdrawals for the baseline scenario	66
Figure 4.2	Projected changes in the annual irrigation withdrawals from the baseline to the 2020-2049 period represented by 2050 projection	70
Figure 4.3	Spatial distribution of baseline annual industrial withdrawals (2005)	73
Figure 4.4	Spatial distribution of baseline annual municipal withdrawals (2005)	74
Figure 4.5	Spatial distribution of projected annual industrial withdrawals (2020-2049 period represented by 2050 projection)	76
Figure 4.6	Spatial distribution of projected annual municipal withdrawals (2020-2049 period represented by 2050 projection)	77
Figure 4.7	Spatial distribution of projected annual industrial withdrawals (2070-2099 period represented by 2100 projection)	78
Figure 4.8	Spatial distribution of projected annual municipal withdrawals (2070-2099 period represented by 2100 projection)	79
Figure 4.9	Egyptian governorate boundaries	82
Figure 4.10	States of Sudan	83
Figure 4.11	Relationship between governorates & demand locations	84
Figure 4.12	FAO Nile annual water demand estimates for Egypt	85
Figure 4.13	Map of irrigation locations in Sudan, with water sources (Reservoir locations shown in as blue circles)	86
Figure 4.14	Interpretation of link between NBI baseline diversion locations & FAO Nile crop areas for Blue Nile & White Nile (reservoir locations shown as blue circles) for the 2005 baseline	87
Figure 4.15	Interpretation of link between NBI baseline diversion locations & projected FAO Nile crop areas for Blue Nile & White Nile (reservoir locations shown as blue circles) for the 2050 projection.	88
Figure 5.1	The Nile River Basin showing the major sub-basins, the minor sub-basins within each these sub-basins & showing the model river network linking the sub-basins that was used to represent the Nile river system	90
Figure 5.2	The conceptual model structure of the NAM model	92
Figure 5.3	The major rainfall-runoff processes modelled in NAM hydrological model	92
Figure 5.4	Catchments delineated for rainfall-runoff modelling of Blue Nile & Atbara basins	94
Figure 5.5	Spatial distribution of mean precipitation grids for the period 11/2000- 12/2009 estimated from a) CRU 3.1, b) TRMM 3B42, & c) RFE2.0 for the Nile basin.	97
Figure 5.6	Schematic of the MIKE BASIN network model including different water activities	102
Figure 5.7	The main lakes & rivers in the Equatorial Lakes Basin	105
Figure 5.8	Example of calibration plot from the Yala catchment in the Lake Victoria Basin. Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (black) discharge for the KE03 - Yala catchment for the period 1960-1979. It is possible to obtain a consistent calibration throughout the 20 year period indicating good data quality.	108
Figure 5.9	Example of calibration plot with duration curve from the Yala catchment in the Lake Victoria basin. Comparison of flow duration curves for the observed (blue) & simulated (red) discharge for KE03 – Yala for the period 1960-1979. There is reasonable reproduction of flows throughout the flow regime except for minor differences for very high flows where the uncertainty in observed flows is expected to be high.	108
Figure 5.10	An example of a calibration plot from the Wambabya catchment in the Lake Victoria Basin. Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (black) discharge for the Wambabya catchment, for the period 1970-1981. There is a reasonable agreement between the two hydrographs with the exception of 1980 where the observed data is probably not reliable. It should also be noted that for this particular station the model has difficulties in representing the flow pattern during the dry period.	109
Figure 5.11	Example of accumulated mass curves for the observed (red) & simulated (black) discharge for the Wambabya catchment. There is a reasonable agreement between the two hydrographs with the exception of 1980 where the observed data is probably not reliable	109

Figure 5.12	Comparison between simulated (black) & observed discharge (red) for KE03 – Yala for the period 1970-1988. It has been possible to obtain a reasonable an realistic representation thoughout the 20 years period, indicating good data quality	110
Figure 5.13	Comparison between flow duration curves for simulated (red) & observed discharge (blue) for KE03 – Yala forthe period 1960-80. It has been possible to obtain a reasonable reproduction of the flows throughout the flow regime except for some minor differences for the very high flows where the uncertainty related to the observed flows are substantial.	110
Figure 5.14	Comparison between simulated (black) & observed discharge (red) for KE02 – Nzoia for the period 1974-1983. Due to lack of observed discharge for other periods, 1974-83 was selected as the calibration period.	111
Figure 5.15	Comparison between simulated (black) & observed discharge (red) for UG20_84267_ Mitano for the period 1960-1980. It has been possible to obtain a reasonable & realistic representation throughout the 20 years period, indicating good data quality as well as the RR-models ability to reproduce the flow regime.	111
Figure 5.16	Comparison between simulated (black) & observed discharge (red) for UG13_85211_Muzizi for the period 1960-1980.	111
Figure 5.17	Comparison between simulated (black) & observed discharge (red) for UG41_87212_OraAtInde for the period 1960-1980.	112
Figure 5.18	Comparison between the actual releases at Owens Falls Dam (red) & the outflow from Lake Victoria as it would have been according to the agreed curve (black). Generally, there is an acceptable agreement between the observed & simulated hydrographs except for a few major deviations, mainly in 1968-69.	113
Figure 5.19	Comparison between observed (blue) & simulated (red) water levels at Lake Victoria for the modelling period 1960-80	114
Figure 5.20	Comparison between observed (blue) & simulated (red) water levels at Lake Kyoga for the modelling period 1960-80.	115
Figure 5.21	Comparison between observed (blue) & simulated (red) discharge at Kamdini (83206) for the modelling period 1960-80	115
Figure 5.22	Comparison between observed (blue) & simulated (red) discharge at Semliki for the modelling period 1960-80	115
Figure 5.23	Comparison between observed (blue) & simulated (red) discharge at Mongalla for the modelling period 1960-80	116
Figure 5.24	The Sudd	116
Figure 5.25	Observed discharge at Mongalla & Buffalo Cape	117
Figure 5.26	Location of Bahr el Zeraf with GOOGLE Earth images of the inlet & outlet to the Bahr el Jebel.	118
Figure 5.27	Simulated (black line) & observed (blue line) discharge at Kenisa	119
Figure 5.28	Observed discharge at Kenisa (black line) & Buffalo Cape (blue line)	120
Figure 5.29	Simulated (black line) & observed (blue line) discharge at Buffalo Cape	120
Figure 5.30	The Sobat catchment	121
Figure 5.31	Catchment for the part upstream of Gambeila	122
Figure 5.32	Simulated & observed runoff	123
Figure 5.33	Flow record at Gambeila & at the outlet to the Baro river	123
Figure 5.34	Observed flow at Gambeila (blue line), observed flow at the outlet to the Sobat river (black line) & the simulated flow at the outlet to the Sobat (green line).	124
Figure 5.35	Simulated (black line) & observed (blue line) from Sobat river (at the outlet to the White Nile)	124
Figure 5.36	Observed flow at Malakal (black line), observed flow at Melut (red line) & simulated flow at Melut (blue line)	126
Figure 5.37	Observed outflow from Jebel Aulia (black line), simulated outflow from Jebel Aulia (blue line) & simulated water level at Jebel Aulia (red line)	127
Figure 5.38	Observed flow at Mogren (black line), simulated flow at Mogren (blue line) & observed flow at Jebel Aulia (red line)	127
Figure 5.39	Blue Nile & Atbara basins	128
Figure 5.40	Spatial distribution of average annual PET (1960-90) for the Blue Nile & Atbara sub-basins from the CRU gridded dataset.	129
Figure 5.41	Average annual rainfall estimated from CRU data set for period 1960-90	131
Figure 5.42	Average July/August rainfall estimated from CRU data set, 1960-90	132
Figure 5.43	Average annual PET estimated from CRU data set, 1960-90	134
Figure 5.44	Approaches to estimating runoff for model calibration	135
Figure 5.45	Tributary catchment areas & proposed dam locations between the Shegoli & Kessie gauges	137
Figure 5.46	Simulated Roseires outflows ("Net flow to node" = total release, minimum release is routed through hydropower & therefore is a component of the hydropower release)	139

Figure 5.47	Simulated Sennar outflows ("Net flow to node" = total downstream release, minimum release is routed through hydropower & therefore is a component of the hydropower release, E306 = delivery to Gezira-Managil scheme)	140
Figure 5.48	Simulated Khashm El Girba outflows ("Net flow to node" = total downstream release, E283 = delivery to Khashm El Girba scheme)	141
Figure 5.49	Irrigation water demands in Blue Nile & Atbara representation	141
Figure 5.50	Observed (blue line) & simulated flow (black line) at Wadi Halfa	143
Figure 5.51	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow hydrographs at the Semliki station for the period 1960-1980.	146
Figure 5.52	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly flows at the Semliki station for the period 1960-1980.	146
Figure 5.53	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow duration curves at the Semliki station for the period 1960-1980.	146
Figure 5.54	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) water level hydrographs at the Lake Victoria station for the period 1960-1980.	147
Figure 5.55	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly water levels at the Lake Victoria station for the period 1960-1980.	147
Figure 5.56	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) water level hydrographs at the Lake Kyoga station for the period 1960-1980.	148
Figure 5.57	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly water levels at the Lake Kyoga station for the period 1960-1980	148
Figure 5.58	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow hydrographs at the Jinja station for the period 1960-1980.	149
Figure 5.59	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly flows at the Jinja station for the period 1960-1980.	149
Figure 5.60	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow duration curves at the Jinja station for the period 1960-1980.	150
Figure 5.61	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow hydrographs at the Kamdini station for the period 1960-1980	150
Figure 5.62	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly flows at the Kamdini station for the period 1960-1980	151
Figure 5.63	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow duration curves at the Kamdini station for the period 1960-1980.	151
Figure 5.64	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow hydrographs at the Mongalla station for the period 1960-1980.	152
Figure 5.65	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly flows at the Mongalla station for the period 1960-1980.	152
Figure 5.66	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow duration curves at the Mongalla station for the period 1960-1980.	152
Figure 5.67	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) flow hydrographs at the Buffalo Cape station for the period 1960-1980	153
Figure 5.68	Comparison of the observed (red) & simulated (blue) mean monthly flows at the Buffalo Cape station for the period 1960-1980	153

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_13087