SCP and EG in Eastern Europe and Caucasus: an overview of the progress, challenges and the way ahead

UNEP Regional Consultation Meeting with MGS, Baku, 13.11.2012





MAMA-86 - our approach

- Policy analysis and advocacy (environmental policy, SCP, participatory governance and related issues)
- Establishing long-lasting partnerships with counterparts
- Using different NGO cooperation platforms (national, regional, international) and channels to communicate our findings to Governments and other stakeholders (including EU institutions)
- Preparing comparative assessments of reform progress
- Quantitative representation of findings
- Recommendations promoted at different opportunities



6 countries in transition





Before 1990

Largely unsustainable production

Inefficient economy structure due to command economy (e.g., long production and supply chains)
Large share of military production and small share of consumers' goods production
Low pollution control
Heavy reliance on fossil fuels

Largely sustainable consumption

Low levels of consumption due to low income and consumer goods unavailability
Insignificant car fleet
Widespread reuse of goods
State-control system of waste collection and reprocessing

but what about happiness and well-being?

Now

Largely unsustainable production

little priority of sustainability concerns
Production facilities remain to be outdated (i.e. polluting and resource-intensive) in many industries
Inefficient pollution control
Reliance on fossil fuels remains, although some growth of energy

Largely unsustainable consumption

- □ Higher levels of consumption and increased environmental footprint (but still lower than in developed countries)
- □ An ever-growing car fleet (but lower than in developed countries)
- □ Sustainable consumption practices are not spread
- Low level of waste reuse/reprocessing

efficiency/renewables is taking place



The countries' common features

Aspiration to join the EU and, theretore (some) readiness to harmonize legislation, including on SCP
regionality mercuming on con-
Parties to most relevant MEAs, yet have to ensure their enforcement
Great potential for energy and resource efficiency improvement
Intellectual potential to develop eco-technologies though R&D underfunded

But

Low awareness of SD/SCP among the population and lack of understanding of green economy advantages among stakeholders

Lack of political will to promote SD/SCP

Lack of SD/SCP strategic documents/principles integrated at each policy level

Weak administrative mechanisms for ensuring SD/SCP

Where legislation is in place, implementation still insufficient

SCP policy documents not developed/adopted (Ukraine envisaged adoption of 10-year FP, strategy and action plan this year, but failed to do so; plans to

develop SCP framework in Moldova and Armenia)



The countries' peculiarities

- Ukraine, Azerbaijan and Belarus industry dominated
- Armenia, Georgia an Moldova large agricultural share
- Belarus is less involved into EU integration process and retains state control over economy
- Azerbaijan is the only country with sufficient oil and gas deposits resulting in higher GDP per capita and more opportunities for green economy investments
- Coal is an important source of energy only in Ukraine (around 30% of total energy production)
- Georgia is the only country in the region with the high renewable energy share due to hydropower(84,8%)
- Nuclear power stations operate in Ukraine and Armenia (Belarus considers construction)



The countries' successes

- Environmental policy integration in Moldova
- NSDS development and establishment of SD council in Armenia
- Introduction of green tariff on renewable energy in Ukraine
- Raising energy efficiency in Azerbaijan
- First stens towards areen produrement in Georgia

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 13243

