


Guidelines for the Assessment of Environmental Flows in the Western Indian Ocean Region



Guidelines for the Assessment of Environmental Flows in the Western Indian Ocean Region



**Published by the United Nations Environment
Programme/Nairobi Convention Secretariat.**

Copyright © Nairobi Convention 2020.

All rights reserved:

The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Contracting Parties to the Nairobi Convention.

Rights and Permissions:

The information in this report is copyrighted, therefore, copying and/or transmitting portions of this report without permission of the Nairobi Convention may be a violation of applicable law. However, the Nairobi Convention encourages dissemination and use of the materials in this report.

Disclaimer:

This publication has been produced by the United Nations Environment Programme-Nairobi Convention and WIOMSA with the kind assistance of various regional governments, Non-Governmental Organizations, Civil Society Organizations, as well as of individuals through the Global Environment Facility (GEF) funded WIOSAP project and SIDA funded MASMA project executed by the Convention and WIOMSA respectively. The report is copyrighted entirely to the Nairobi Convention and WIOMSA.

Compiled and prepared by:

Cate Brown and Jackie King, with contributions from Lara Van Niekerk and Susan Taljaard.

Series Editor:

Matthew D. Richmond

Designed by:

Marco Nunes Correia

Coordinated by:

Jared Bosire, Julius Francis and Timothy Andrew

Citation:

UNEP-Nairobi Convention/WIOMSA (2020). Guidelines for the Assessment of Environmental Flows in the Western Indian Ocean Region. UNEP, Nairobi, 79 pp.

Western Indian Ocean Ecosystem Guidelines and Toolkits

ISSN: 2714-1942

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background to the development of the Guidelines	1
1.2 Structure of the Guidelines	3
1.3 Definitions	3
2. Environmental Flows	5
2.1 The need for EFlows	5
2.2 The effects of human developments on rivers and estuaries	6
2.3 Climate change and EFlows	8
2.4 Negotiating objectives for river and estuarine ecosystem status	9
2.5 An integrated river basin management approach	10
3. EFlows Assessments	13
3.1 EFlows Assessment methods	13
3.2 Trends in EFlows Assessments in the WIO region	18
3.3 Overview of information provided by EFlows Assessments	20
3.3.1 EFlows information to support the sustainability of marine ecosystems	22
4. Undertaking an EFlows Assessment	25
4.1 Nature of the assessment, budget, method and team	25
4.1.1 Purpose and scope	25
4.1.2 Budget	25
4.2 Select an appropriate EFlows Assessment method	27
4.2.1 Supporting models	30
4.2.2 Consideration for the selection of methods for WIO EFlows Assessments	30
4.3 EFlows Assessment team	32
4.4 Spatial and temporal units of assessment	32
4.4.1 Site selection	32
4.4.2 Time-scales for analysis	34
4.4.2.1 Climate change	34
4.4.2.2 Sediment	35
4.4.3 Baseline conditions	35
4.5 Stakeholder engagement	35
4.6 Scenarios	36
4.7 Biophysical and social indicators	37
4.7.1 Mapping indicator links	37
4.8 Data requirements	37
4.8.1 Physical/chemical	37
4.8.1.1 Hydrology	37
4.8.1.2 Hydraulics	45
4.8.1.3 Water quality	46
4.8.1.4 Sediment and geomorphology	46
4.8.2 Biology	46
4.8.3 Social	47
4.9 Field visits	47
4.10 Set-up and calibrate EFlows models	47
4.11 Analyse the scenarios	48
4.12 Reporting	48
4.12.1 Other deliverables	50

5. Managing data limitations _____ 51

6. Mainstreaming the uptake of EFlows Assessments _____ 53

6.1 Deciding on EFlows allocations _____ 53

6.2 Harmonizing policies and working with government agencies _____ 54

6.3 Building managerial and technical capacity in EFlows Assessment _____ 55

6.4 EFlows information systems _____ 55

6.5 Funding to support EFlows _____ 56

7. References _____ 59

List of Tables

Table 1. Commonly-used EFlows Assessment methods for rivers. _____ 16

Table 2. Commonly-used EFlows Assessment methods for estuaries. _____ 17

Table 3. Actions required for including the nearshore marine environment into an EFlows Assessments. _____ 18

Table 4. Definitions of the ecological condition categories. _____ 21

Table 5. Strengths and weakness of categories of EFlows Assessment methods. _____ 23

Table 6. Personnel time (days) for different resolution levels of flow assessments per river, excluding travel and stakeholder liaison time, and disbursements. _____ 26

Table 7. Personnel time (days) for different resolution levels of flow assessments per estuary, excluding travel and stakeholder liaison time, and disbursements. _____ 27

Table 8. Decision matrix for selection of a suitable EFlows Assessment method. _____ 28

Table 9. Potential members of an EFlows Assessment technical team. _____ 33

Table 10. Example of biophysical EFlows indicators used for a site on the Zambezi River and for the Great Berg estuary. _____ 38

Table 11. Basic data/information used for five different EFlows Assessment methods for rivers. _____ 42

Table 12. Basic data/information requirements for five different EFlows Assessment methods for estuaries. _____ 44

Table 13. Examples of ecologically relevant summary statistics that can be calculated from hydrological time-series of different time-steps. _____ 46

Table 14. Sustainable use of rivers: key attributes of EFlows implementation. _____ 53

List of Plates

Plate 1. Sampling macro-invertebrate in the Zambezi River. _____ 2

Plate 2. Mangrove fringed estuary in Zanzibar, providing a natural barrier to sea-level rise. _____ 9

Plate 3. Setting nets to sample river biota in the Ruhudji River, Tanzania. _____ 15

Plate 4. Measuring depths and velocities associated with microhabitat as part of EFlows training exercises in the Ruvu River, Tanzania in 2003. _____ 19

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_13802

