

# The Economics of Land Degradation in Africa

**Benefits of Action Outweigh the Costs**

A report of ELD Initiative

**Report Director:**

Pushpam Kumar, UNEP

**Coordinator and Technical Editor:**

Aaron Vuola, UNEP

**Team of Lead Authors:**

Mesfin Tilahun, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, As & Mekelle University, Mekelle;  
Eric Mungatana, CEEPA, University of Pretoria;  
Ashbindu Singh, EPI, Washington DC;  
Eugene Apindi, EPI, Nairobi;  
Jane Barr, EPI, Montreal;  
Zinta Zommers, UNEP;  
Gyde Lund, EPI, Washington DC

**Reviewers:**

Tom Barker, Centre for Alternative Technology, Machynlleth;  
Chourabi Hassen, Ministry of Agriculture, Tunisia;  
Victor Chude, National Programme for Food Security, Nigeria;  
Vanja Westerberg, IUCN;  
Uriel Safriel, UNCCD;  
Steven Stone, UNEP;  
Terry L. Roberts, International Plant Nutrition Institute

This ELD report was published with the support of the partner organisations of the ELD Initiative and Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH on behalf of the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ).

**Photography:** Adam Cohn (Cover photo); Hernán Piñera (p. 19); Milo Mitchell, IFPRI (p. 31, 32, 49); CIAT (p. 65); P. Kimeli CCAFS (p. 76); T. Samson, CIMMYT (p. 45, 79); Giulio Napolitano, FAO (p. 88)

**Visual concept:** MediaCompany, Bonn Office

**Layout:** kippconcept GmbH, Bonn

**ISBN:** 978–92-808–6064-1

**For further information and feedback please contact:**

ELD Secretariat  
info@eld-initiative.org  
Mark Schauer  
c/o Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH  
Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 36  
53113 Bonn, Germany

Ecosystem Services Economics Unit  
UNEP Division of Environmental Policy Implementation  
P.O. Box , Nairobi, Kenya  
Aaron Vuola  
aaron.vuola@unep.org

**Suggested citation:**

UNEP (2015), The Economics of Land Degradation in Africa, ELD Initiative, Bonn, Germany  
Available from [www.eld-initiative.org](http://www.eld-initiative.org)

# **The Economics of Land Degradation in Africa**

## **Benefits of Action Outweigh the Costs**

A report of ELD Initiative

September 2015

[www.eld-initiative.org](http://www.eld-initiative.org)

#### **Acknowledgments:**

The Ecosystem Services Economics Unit is grateful for the financial and organizational support of The German International Development Cooperation (GIZ). The thanks is also due to Mr. Mark Schauer (coordinator of the ELD Secretariat), Mr. Hannes Etter, Mr. Tobias Gerhartsreiter, and rest of the team at ELD for their organizational support and Ms. Naomi Stewart (UNU-INWEH) for organizing the review process. Furthermore, we would like to thank Mr. Richard Thomas (UNU and ICARDA), and all the reviewers for their invaluable comments.

Finally, we would like to thank Ms. Mette L. Wilkie, Director and Mr. Neville Ash, Deputy Director of Division of Environmental Policy Implementation (DEPI), UNEP for their continuous support and encouragement.

## Foreword



Land degradation and desertification is one of the biggest environmental challenges of our time. In the last 40 years, we lost nearly a third of the world's arable farm land to erosion just as the number of people to be fed from it almost doubled.

That's why the UN General Assembly declared 2015 as the International Year of Soils. And the good news is that this new report shows that while Africa remains the most severely affected, the benefit of taking action across the continent outweighs the cost of implementing them: not just by a little, but by a factor of seven.

Land degradation and desertification, including soil erosion, are made worse by climate change and poor management of agricultural exports. This has serious implications for Africa and for those dependent on the 97% of global food supply coming from terrestrial ecosystems. In other words: anybody who eats.

Desertification already affects between a third and a half of the Africa's land area to some degree. Yet, this report shows that an additional 280 million tonnes of cereal crops could be produced every year, simply by preventing human induced soil erosion. This would be a significant leap towards increasing food security and national income, while reducing food import costs and poverty.

Gathering solid scientific data on these developments is crucial to progress and this report leverages one of the first studies of its kind, focusing on soil erosion and crop productivity on over 100 million hectares of crop lands across 42 African countries. It provides the base line for much needed imperial data gathering in the next 15 years. It shows that failure to act could impact over 12% of Gross Domestic Product. And, above all, it makes a credible economic and humanitarian case for Africa to achieve a number of Sustainable Development Goals.

That's why I am proud that UNEP, has been able to work with the Economics of Land Degradation Initiative supported by the by GIZ/BMZ, the European Commission and other valued partners to bring it to life.

I would like to thank all of them for their dedication in bringing this work to light. I sincerely hope it will justify much needed investment in the sustainable land management practices that are so crucial to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, not just in the region, but around the world.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Achim Steiner', written in a cursive style.

**Achim Steiner**

*UN-Under-Secretary-General and  
UNEP Executive Director*

# Table of contents

	Foreword .....	5
	Table of contents .....	6
	Acronyms and abbreviations .....	10
	Key messages .....	11
	Executive summary .....	12
<b>Chapter 1</b>	Overview and stocktaking of land degradation in general and in Africa .....	14
	1.1. Introduction .....	14
	1.1.1. What is land degradation? .....	14
	1.1.2. Overview of land degradation in Africa .....	15
	1.2. Objectives of the report .....	17
	1.3. State of knowledge .....	19
	1.3.1. Biophysical and socioeconomic drivers of land degradation in Africa .....	22
	1.3.2. Underlying biophysical drivers .....	24
	1.3.3. Underlying socioeconomic drivers .....	30
	1.3.4. Human pressures contributing to land degradation .....	32
	1.3.5. Impacts .....	35
	1.3.6. Topsoil Loss .....	43
	1.3.7. Literature review on approaches to value land degradation .....	44
<b>Chapter 2</b>	Methodological approaches to the economic valuation of land degradation ....	50
	2.1. Introduction .....	50
	2.2. Total economic value and valuation methods .....	52
	2.3. Materials and methods .....	54
	2.3.1. Data and the conceptual framework .....	54
	2.3.2. The empirical models .....	56
	2.3.3. Estimation of nutrient and crop production losses (crop seasons 2010–12) .....	57
	2.3.4. Valuation of costs of inaction and benefit of action .....	57
	2.4. Empirical model results and discussion .....	59
	2.4.1. The econometric model of nutrient balance .....	59
	2.4.2. Cereal Crop Production Function .....	61
	2.4.3. The base periods costs of inaction (2002–04) and 2010–12 .....	61

**Chapter 3**

The costs of sustainable land management in Africa .....	66
3.1. Introduction .....	66
3.2. Valuation of costs of action .....	66
3.3. Databases for estimating the costs of SLM in Africa by country .....	67
3.3.1. The WOCAT database .....	67
3.3.2. Other data bases queried .....	69
3.4. Case studies selected for estimating the meta-analytic transfer function ....	69
3.5. Description of variables used to estimate the meta-analytic transfer function .....	69
3.6. Empirical results and discussions .....	72
3.6.1. Descriptive Statistics .....	72
3.6.2. Estimating meta-analytic transfer functions from WOCAT data ....	73
3.6.3. Estimating meta-analytic transfer functions from the larger database .....	74
3.6.4. Selection of meta-analytic transfer functions .....	75
3.7. Limitations of using meta-analytic transfer function approach to estimating the cost of SLM in Africa by country .....	78

**Chapter 4**

Cost benefit analysis and benefit cost ratio .....	80
4.1. Introduction .....	80
4.2. Methods: the net present value and benefit cost ratio .....	80
4.3. Results of the cost benefit analysis .....	82
4.3.1. The present values of future costs of inaction (2016–2030) .....	82
4.3.2. The present value of future costs of action .....	83
4.3.3. Present values of benefits of action versus present values of costs of action and inaction .....	84
4.4. Sensitivity analysis .....	85

**Chapter 5**

Conclusions and policy recommendations .....	90
Bibliography .....	92

<b>Appendix 1</b>	Changes in crops and livestock yields, land use and land cover, and Literature Review on soil erosion in Africa .....	98
	Appendix 1a: Changes in crops and livestock yields that took place in Africa between 2000 and 2010 .....	98
	Changes in crop yields .....	98
	Changes in livestock .....	104
	Appendix 1b: Literature Review on soil erosion in Africa .....	120
	Lal 1995 .....	120
	Economic Commission for Africa (2007) .....	120
	Obalum et al. 2012 .....	121
	Kiptoo and Mirzabaev 2014 .....	121
	Economic losses of land degradation in Africa .....	122
<b>Appendix 2</b>	Notes on Data Sources and Description .....	132
<b>Appendix 3</b>	Model predicted costs of sustainable land management interventions by country in Africa .....	133
	Appendix 3a: Model predicted capital costs for SLM interventions .....	133
	Appendix 3b: Model predicted recurrent costs for SLM interventions .....	134
	Appendix 3c: Model predicted capital and recurrent costs of SLM in Africa .....	135
	Appendix 3d: Model predicted capital costs for SLM interventions .....	136
	Appendix 3e: Model predicted recurrent costs for SLM interventions .....	137
	Appendix 3f: Model predicted capital and recurrent costs for SLM interventions .....	138
<b>Appendix 4</b>	Present values of costs of inaction against soil erosion by erosion and	

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_15466](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_15466)

