

Mercury Emissions Capture Efficiency with Activated Carbon Injection at a Russian Coal-Fired Thermal Power Plant

Scientific Report



Prepared by:

All-Russia Thermal Engineering Institute (VTI), Moscow, Russia
Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry (IOC), Moscow, Russia

Funding

This project was funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and administered by the International Science and Technology Center (ISTC, Moscow, Russia) with financial contributions from the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) via a grant from the European Union (EU), and the Swedish Environmental Research Institute (IVL). Albemarle Corporation (Baton Rouge, LA, USA) donated the activated carbon used in the testing.

Disclaimer

Information provided in this report is based on data derived from public sources and by experts that participated in the study. The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the USEPA, UNEP, the IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute or the Russian Government. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use of a specific product.

Reproduction

Material in this report can be freely quoted or reprinted. However, acknowledgment is requested together with a reference to the report. Participating organizations and institutions would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this report as a source.

The electronic version of the report can be found on UNEP Chemicals' website at:

<http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Mercury/PrioritiesforAction/Coalcombustion/Reports/tabid/4492/language/en-US/Default.aspx>

or can be requested from:

United Nations Environment Programme
Chemicals Branch
International Environment House
11-13 Chemin des Anémones
CH-1219 Châtelaine
Geneva, Switzerland
Phone: +41 22 917 1234
E-mail: mercury@unep.org

Authors Principal authors of the report are: Mr. A.M. Zykov and Mr. L.G. Anichkov, VTI, Moscow, Russia; and L.K. Korshevets, Ms. E.D. Strel'tsova, and Ms. M. Yu. Leonova, Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia.

Cover photo: Cherepetskaya Thermal Power Plant, Russia (Gunnar Futsater).

Questions concerning this document or its application should be addressed to:

Shannon Serre, Ph.D.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
Mail Code E343-06
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 USA
Phone: +1 919 541 3817
Email: serre.shannon@epa.gov

or:

Gunnar Futsaeter
United Nations Environment Programme,
Division of Technology, Industry and Economics,
Chemicals Branch,
International Environment House, 11-13 Chemin des Anémones
CH-1219 Châtelaine, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel: +41 (0) 22 917 81 85
Email: gunnar.futsaeter@unep.org

Project Steering Group:

Russian Federation

A.M. Zykov¹
L.G. Anichkov¹
L.K. Korshevets²
E.D. Strel'tsova²
M. Yu. Leonova²

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)

S. Serre³
N. Hutson³
S. Durkee⁴
M. Livingood⁴

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

G. Futsaeter⁵
W. Jozewicz⁶

Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute

J. Munthe⁷

1. All Russia Thermal Engineering Institute (VTI), Moscow, Russia
2. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
3. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., USA
5. United Nations Environment Programme, Geneva, Switzerland
6. ARCADIS (Consultants to UNEP), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA
7. IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Reviewers

In addition to reviews by the Project Steering Group members, the report has been reviewed by S. Thorneloe, USEPA, who provided helpful comments on the leaching test portions of the report, and by J. Cuje, USEPA.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AAS	Atomic absorption spectroscopy
AC	Activated carbon
ACAP	Arctic Council Action Plan
ACI	Activated carbon injection
CCR	Coal combustion residuals
CFR	United States Code of Federal Regulations
CO	Carbon monoxide
CTRL	Chronic toxicity reference level
DAF	Dilution attenuation factor
DOE	United States Department of Energy
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
ESP	Electrostatic precipitator
h	Hour
Hg	Mercury
Hg(0)	Elemental mercury
Hg(II)	Oxidized (+2) mercury
IOC	Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry
ISTC	International Science and Technology Center (Moscow)
kg	Kilogram
kg/h	Kilogram per hour
kg/t	Kilogram per metric ton
kV	Kilovolt
L/S	Liquid-to-solid ratio
lb	Pound
lb/MMacf	Pounds (of AC) per million actual cubic feet of flue gas
LEAF	Leaching Environmental Assessment Framework
LSP	Liquid-solid partitioning
m	Meter
m/s	Meter per second
m ³	Cubic meter
m ³ /h	Cubic meter per hour
mA	Milliampere
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
mg	Milligram
mg/m ³	Milligrams per cubic meter

mg/t	Milligrams per metric ton
mL/g	Milliliter per gram
MMacf	Million actual cubic feet
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
MW	Megawatt
MW _e	Megawatt electric
ng	Nanogram
Nm ³ /h	Normal cubic meter per hour
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
NRMRL	USEPA National Risk Management Research Laboratory
NSDWR	National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations
OH	Ontario-Hydro Method
OSWER	USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
PAC	Powdered activated carbon
PM	Particulate matter
ppm	Parts per million
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
RfD	Reference dose
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RSD	Risk specific dose
SCR	Selective catalytic reduction
SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide
TC	Total carbon
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TPP	Thermal Power Plant
TT	Treatment technique
U.S.	United States
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VI	Voltage-current
VTI	All-Russia Thermal Engineering Institute
ZIOC	Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry
µg/m ³	Micrograms per cubic meter

Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations	iv
1 Executive Summary	1
2 Introduction	3
3 Description of the Cherepetskaya pilot plant	4
3.1 Characteristics of the experimental ESP.....	5
3.2 Description of the injection and distribution system	5
4 Measuring devices	9
5 Methods	10
5.1 Determination of necessary AC consumption	10
5.2 Test of distribution lattice	10
5.3 Measurement of flue gas composition, temperature and flow rate.....	10
5.4 Characterization of experimental ESP performance.....	11
5.5 Measurement of Hg content in flue gas	12
6 Test results.....	13
6.1 First set of tests	13
6.1.1 Baseline tests.....	13
6.1.2 Tests with standard AC injection.....	14
6.2 Second set of tests.....	15
6.2.1 Baseline tests.....	15
6.3 Third set of tests.....	16
6.3.1 Baseline tests.....	16
6.3.2 Tests with standard AC injection.....	17
7 Tests with injection of brominated AC	19
7.1 Measurement of flue gas composition, temperature, and flow rate.....	19

7.2	Baseline tests	19
7.3	Tests results with brominated AC injection (first set of tests).....	20
7.4	Tests results with brominated AC injection (second set of tests).....	20
7.5	Findings and conclusions – Hg emission reduction	21
8	Leaching tests	22
8.1	Method 1311 Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP).....	22
8.2	Additional tests with Method 1311.....	24
8.3	Discussion of Method 1311 results.....	25
8.4	USEPA Methods 1313 through 1316	25
9	Leaching Discussion.....	35
9.1	Findings and conclusions – leaching of particulate fly ash wastes	35
10	References	37

ANNEX I: Fly Ash Leaching Test Results

ANNEX II: Descriptions of USEPA’s TCLP and Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG)/Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_15621

