

CITATION

Ancrenaz, M., E. Meijaard, S. Wich, J. Simery. 2016. Palm Oil Paradox: Sustainable Solutions to Save the

Great Apes. 2nd edition. UNEP / GRASP. 2016

LAYOUT AND DESIGN

Laura Darby

EDITORIAL SUPPORT

Conor Gask, Daria Pushkareva, Christopher Wade, Koray Yilmaz

COPYRIGHT

UNEP / GRASP, 2016

ISBN

978-92-807-3623-6

PHOTO CREDITS

Creative Commons Licensed Photos

Special Photo Credit to GRASP Partners, Achmad Rabim Taim, BKSDA West Kalimantan, CEPhoto, Cokemono, Delphine Bruyere, Friends of the Earth, Gary Wales, Jabruson, Laura Darby, Lian Pin Koh, Madeleine Hardus, Michael Nichols, NASA, Nick Hobgood, OneVillage Initiative, Rainforest Action Network, Sutanta Aditya, Terry Sunderland, Uwe Aranas, Wildlife Asia, and Zanna Clay.



UN Environment promotes environmentally sound practices globally and in its own activities. This publication is printed on fully recycled paper, FSC certified, post-consumer waste and chlorine-free. Inks are vegetableased and coatings are water-based. Our distribution policy aims to reduce UN Environment's carbon footprint.

PALM OIL PARADOX

SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS TO SAVE THE GREAT APES

EDITORS

Marc Ancrenaz

Erik Meijaard

Serge Wich

Julien Simery

CONTRIBUTORS

Max Houghton

Elizabeth Greengrass

Nicola Abram

Harjinder Kler

David Jay

Ian Redmond

Doug Cress





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Editors

Marc Ancrenaz, Hutan, Malaysia and Borneo Futures, Indonesia Erik Meijaard, Borneo Futures, Indonesia and University of Queensland, Australia Serge Wich, Liverpool John Moores University, UK and University of Amsterdam, Netherlands Julien Simery, Great Apes Survival Partnership, Kenya

Contributors

Max Houghton, Liverpool John Moores University, UK Elizabeth Greengrass, The Born Free Foundation, UK Nicola Abram, Living Landscape Alliance, UK Harjinder Kler, Hutan, Malaysia David Jay, Ape Alliance, UK Ian Redmond, Ape Alliance, UK Doug Cress, Great Apes Survival Partnership, Kenya



The editors wish to thank the scientific, conservation, and oil palm industry professionals who contributed their time and expertise to this report.

PALM OIL PARADOX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Text Boxes ii

Images iii

Summary 1

In a Nutshell: Business as Usual Versus Alternative Stategies 2

Findings 3

Recommendations 4

Glossary 93

Abbreviations 94

References 95

6 Introduction: The Rapid Rise of Palm Oil

Palm oil: a global commodity on the rise Powerful economic driver Threats to biodiversity and perception

14 Part One: Oil Palm in Southeast Asia and Implications for Orangutans and People

Oil palm in Southeast Asia
Oil palm and orangutan populations
Mitigation measures to reduce the impact of oil
palm development on orangutan populations

40 Part Two: Expansion of Oil Palm in Africa and Impacts on Great Apes

Expansion of oil palm in Africa African great apes and oil palm plantations Case studies: Africa

66 Part Three: Supporting Great Ape Conservation Through Responsible Palm Oil

The role of policy and certification
Best Management Practices in oil palm with regard
to great ape conservation
HCV assessments and great apes

82 Part Four: Development of Great Apes Guidelines on Lessons Learned from RSPO

A pragmatic approach for creating mosaic landscapes compatible with great ape conservation Promoting stronger and more effective regulations and policies for great ape conservation in oil palm development

90 Part Five: Recommendations

Key Recommendations for Government Key Recommendations for the oil palm Industry Key Recommendations for Conservation Organizations Key Recommendations for Researchers Key Recommendations for Financial Institutions

i

TEXT BOXES IMAGES

| Text Box 1. | Vegetable | oil consum | ntion 8 |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| IEAL DUA I. | VEECLADIC | on consun | ibtioii. O |

Text Box 2. Conservation planning for orangutans: an integrated approach. 19

Text Box 3. Villagers' perceptions of the benefits they receive from forests. 20

Text Box 4. Oil palm development, orangutan killing and orphaned orangutans. 23

Text Box 5. Forest conversion and industrial oil palm development in Borneo. 29

Text Box 6. The Rajang Delta in Sarawak. 30

Text Box 7. Wilmar in Africa, 62

Text Box 8. The market value of certification. 67

Text Box 9: Criticism of the RSPO. 68

Text Box 10, RSPO NEXT, 70

Text Box 11. RSPO P&C and great ape conservation. 72

Text Box 12. Certification and beyond. 73

Text Box 13. Oil palm production and orangutan conservation: a polarized debate. 77

Text Box 14. Great ape monitoring. 79

Text Box 15. Great ape translocations: A last resort solution. 80

Text Box 16. Collaboration to reduce great ape killing. 84

Text Box 17. How small is too small? 86

Text Box 18. Indonesia and the oil palm industry disagree on the 'No-Deforestation Pledge'. 87

Image 1. Annual increase in the extent of cultivated oil palm across Africa, the Americas and Asia, as well as globally, from the year 2000 to 2012. 8

Image 2. Industrial-scale oil palm is grown in large plantations in which few natural forests and other ecosystems are retained. 12

Image 3. 2013/2014 Unprotected forest cover on Country Lease and Native Titles, and on areas with unknown titles in the Kinabatangan region of Eastern Sabah (Malaysian Borneo). 22

Image 4. Estimated extent of failed oil palm if all unprotected forest is converted to oil palm cultivation in the Kinabatangan region of Eastern Sabah (Malaysian Borneo), 22

Image 5. Flooding in Ujoh Bilang Kutai Barat East Kalimantan in June 2006 as an example of a of the social impacts of floods. 24

Image 6. Forest loss between 1973 and 2010 and current forest extent in Borneo. 31

Image 7. An orangutan nest in an oil palm plant and pith of a leaf after being consumed by an orangutan. 31

Image 8. An adult unflanged orangutan male plucking mature fruits directly from a bunch of seeds in an oil palm

Image 9. The Kinabatangan landscape is dominated by oil palm, with remaining forest patches tentatively connected

through forest corridors. 37

Image 10. Example of a conservation land use plan in an oil palm plantation, with conservation set-asides varying between a few hundred ha to nearly 2,500 ha, and riverine forest set-asides and forest corridors providing connectivity. 37

Image 11. All land in Africa that is suitable for oil palm plantations, 42

Image 12: Five largest palm oil producers in Africa. 42

Image 13. The five largest palm oil exporters in Africa in 2014, 43

Image 14. The five largest palm oil importers worldwide in

Image 15. Annual palm oil production of Nigeria and Côte d'Ivoire from 1964-2014. 44

Image 16. The distribution of apes across Western and Central Africa versus contracted or intended land deals. including oil palm. 51

Image 17. Areas within the ranges of the four great ape species that are suitable for oil palm development from a climatological point of view. 51

Image 18. Percentage of overlap between ape species' distribution and oil palm suitability. 52

Image 19. The percentage overlap between ape distribution and land suitable for oil palm. 53

Image 20. Percentage overlap of oil palm concessions with ape distribution for five countries. 53

Image 21. Herakles Farms planned oil palm concessions in Cameroon. 63

Image 22. Golden Veroleum oil palm concession area in the southeast of Liberia. 63

Image 23. 13 countries now have RSPO certified producers. 73

Image 24. Great ape rescues are a frequently-used tool to save individual animals stranded in forest patches in plantations, often without prosecuting companies for illegally destroying great ape habitat. 79

Image 25. Fictional example of how responsible oil palm companies could play a role stabilizing the forest frontier and reducing other wildlife threats by providing a buffer of well-managed zones adjacent to and overlapping with areas of high conservation importance. 85



The palm oil industry has grown rapidly over the past 20 use planning and plantation management, but also policy years. From a subsistence crop in Africa to its establishment as a major global commodity in Southeast Asia, this agroindustry has had tremendous positive impacts, but also dramatic negative consequences. The surface of land suitable for oil palm production is shrinking in Southeast Asia, forcing the palm oil industry to return to Africa, and develop new horizons in Central and South America. This expansion requires a careful examination of the advantages and disadvantages of oil palm development and the identification of more effective ways to maximize benefits bonobo is only found in the Democratic Republic of Congo while minimizing social and environmental costs.

The conservation community cannot afford to sit on the fence with the issues posed by oil palm developments. A strong consensus must be reached on whether the community wants to reject the industry because of its negative impact on ecosystems and wildlife, or if it can accept to co-exist if certain conditions are in place. Based on the industry's trajectory in Southeast Asia and its negative impact on orangutan populations, it is clear that the palm oil industry is here to stay and that without careful planning that same industry could dramatically affect the long-term survival of great apes. A key strategic objective for great ape conservation will be to seek support from the industry to for the benefit of humankind and biodiversity. embed stricter responsible practices for the development of oil palm, which can also be applied to other agricultural commodities.

To develop meaningful recommendations for oil palm development, a better understanding of what drove its expansion in Southeast Asia is needed. From there, it is possible to assess the different strategies that exist to reduce the environmental impacts of oil palm, in particular when they affect orangutans, the only Asian great ape. Focusing on studies produced on Southeast Asia, this report makes specific recommendations for better land

support and market-based incentives such as certification.

To highlight the potential risks to biodiversity in Africa, special attention was given to the anticipated effects on three African great apes: the gorilla, the chimpanzee, and the bonobo. These species inhabit 21 countries in equatorial Africa, but some species and subspecies are confined to small areas, in which case large-scale land conversion could seriously threaten their survival in the wild. For example, the and about 98% of its habitat is situated in areas suitable for oil palm cultivation. Looking at different case studies in Cameroon, Nigeria, Liberia and Gabon, where oil palm developments have increased in recent years, this report highlights a range of issues, from legislation surrounding land leases to the local impacts of hunting and loss of great

With this insight into the development of the industry in Southeast Asia and Africa, it is imperative that the conservation community and the oil palm industry find common ground on which to collaborate, and works towards the development of a global sustainable palm oil strategy

IN A NUTSHELL

| BUSINESS AS USUAL | | ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES | |
|---|---|---|--|
| ACTIVITIES | CONSEQUENCES | OPPORTUNITIES | |
| Boycotts and anti-palm oil campaigns | Polarization of the debate that leads to absence of transparency in the industry and a civil society poorly aware of the real challenges and solutions; severe economic impact in terms of image and market | Engaging with the industry directly to promote greater transparency and educate consumers to make more informed choices | |
| No proper land use planning | Concessions allocated on an ad hoc basis; lack of spatial analysis at the landscape level results in fragmented and degraded landscapes with sharp reductions in biodiversity | Jurisdictional approach': land use decisions are made at the highest possible administrative level, including at national, state, and provincial levels | |
| Conversion of great ape habitat / sensitive areas | Destruction and endangerment of ape populations; no certification possible; negative image | Priority ape habitats and populations need to be recognized and set aside as 'no-go' zones; no conversion of peat, flood prone areas, or mangroves; strictly certified companies allowed in 'certified' oil palm zones close to great ape habitats | |
| Destruction of all forests and environmental services | Increased ecological problems, including flooding, pollution, erosion, disease, and negative image of social conflicts; loss of productivity over time | Precise spatial analysis that identifies HCVs, HCSs and other values and keep them 'set-aside'; development of oil palm that retains high yields | |
| Poor consultation with local communities | Social conflict and economic losses | Proper FPIC (Free and Prior Informed Consent) processes are conducted and adhered to; real engagement and empowerment | |
| Poor management of HCVs / ecosystem services | Erosion of ecosystem services; species loss | Need to employ a team of trained professionals that will be in charge of monitoring and managing all HCVs and ecosystem services | |
| Poaching and conflict-related killing | Species loss; extremely negative image | Develop and enforce a strict 'no-kill' policy | |

2

FINDINGS

Oil palm industry will continue to expand, and saying 'no' to oil palm development will be unlikely to yield positive conservation outcomes in Africa.

Oil palm plantation management and great ape conservation objectives can be reconciled to some extent through bestmanagement practices.

Orangutans require well managed forests within the oil palm matrix to survive, and corridors of natural forest within plantations are essential to allow apes to disperse throughout the entire landscape.

Land use planning must avoid highpriority orangutan habitats if the species are to survive, and avoiding forest areas and peat lands that contain viable populations is the best way to protect the species.

Ecological expertise is required to manage orangutan populations in oil palm areas, and positive outcomes can be achieved through careful management of areas where orangutans and oil palm overlap.

Peat swamp areas, mangroves, water catchments, and floodplains must not be developed for oil palm production as conversion of these areas can lead to outcomes such as increased flooding, soil erosion and temperature increases, which have negative impacts on local communities



RECOMMENDATIONS

Oil palm plantations should not be developed in priority great ape habitat ranges, and 'No-Go' zones for oil palm development must be classified.

Suitable areas for oil palm development should not be ignored, and responsible sustainable oil palm is best concentrated in 'certified zones'.

Locating 'certified oil palm zones' close to great ape habitats minimizes the overall impacts of irresponsible production on great apes habitats.

Multi-stakeholder processes must be undertaken for oil palm planning near areas with priority populations of great apes, and strict 'no-kill' policies must be enforced.

Environmental teams must be established in each plantation that are trained to monitor, manage, and protect great apes and high conservation value (HCV) forests.

Land use planning exercises should be developed at national, state, or provincial levels.

Support food security by avoiding areas used for small-scale agriculture or natural resource extraction by local communities.



RAPE PALM

The global palm oil (*Elaeis guineensis*) industry grew from virtually non-existent in the 1960s to the fourth biggest agricultural commodity in the early 2010s (after soy beans, wheat, and prepared foods) and was worth US\$42 billion in 2011 (FAO 2015). It is one of the most rapidly expanding crops in the world today (Fitzherbert et al. 2008; Sheil et al. 2009; Wich et al. 2014). In 2012, over 17.1 million hectares of permanent cultivated cropland worldwide consisted of oil palm, compared with 15 million in 2009, and 9.97 million in 2000 (Image 1).

Oil palm is now grown in over 40 countries (FAO 2012) and contributes significantly to the global supply of edible oils. In 2013, palm oil accounted for 40% of the 169 million tons of global vegetable and fruit oils produced (RSPO 2014), with predicted global consumption estimated to increase to about 80 million tons by 2020 (Mielke 2013). Of all the palm oil produced globally in 2013, 91% originated from Southeast Asia, with Indonesia and Malaysia contributing 51% and 36% respectively (FAO 2015). Some estimates suggest that as much as half of packaged consumer goods contain palm oil, indicating the great versatility of palm oil, its high yields, and its low production costs. The huge opportunities in terms of socio-economic development for exporting countries represented by this tropical crop and the high global demand explain why this



TEXT BOX 1: VEGETABLE OIL CONSUMPTION

At the global scale, the palm oil industry is competing with producers of other vegetable oils, produced from olives, rape seed, maize, coconut and soy. The expansion or reduction of the oil palm sector therefore needs to be considered in the light of its impact on other oilproducing crops, each of which has its own environmental and social impacts. Based on past trends and the projection of oil crop output to 2025, the global demand for vegetable oil crops will be achieved through the global expansion of 73 million hectares of oil crop: 36 million for soy, 22 million for rapeseed, 11 million for oil palm and 4 million for sunflower (HCS 2015). Considering that oil palm can produce 3–8 times more oil than any tropical or temperate crop (Sheil et al. 2009), replacing this output with another type of vegetable oil would require larger areas of land: for the period 2013-2025, an additional 85 million ha of soy would be necessary to produce the same amount of oil as that originating from oil palm (HCS 2015).

industry is currently expanding further into tropical Africa and Central and South America (FAO 2012: Gilbert 2012)

Oil palm plantation development has been exceptionally high in recent years in response to high prices for crude

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 15668



Image 1. Annual increase in the extent (ha) of cultivated oil palm across Africa, the Americas and Asia, as well as globally, from the year 1990 to 2012 (data sourced from FAO, 2012).

