




RESOURCE EFFICIENCY: POTENTIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

International Resource Panel Report



Acknowledgements

Editor: International Resource Panel.

Lead authors: Paul Ekins and Nick Hughes.

Contributing authors: Stefan Bringezu, Charles Arden Clarke, Marina Fischer-Kowalski, Thomas Graedel, Maarten Hajer, Seiji Hashimoto, Steve Hatfield-Dodds, Petr Havlik, Edgar Hertwich, John Ingram, Katja Kruit, Ben Milligan, Yuichi Moriguchi, Nabil Nasr, David Newth, Michael Obersteiner, Anu Ramaswami, Heinz Schandl, Sangwon Suh, Mark Swilling, Ester van der Voet, Brian Walsh, Jim West and Henk Westhoek.

We are grateful for the valuable comments received by Hezri Adnan, Shardul Agrawala, Jacqueline Aloisi de Larderel, Chika Aoki-Suzuki, Peter Borkey, Werner Bosmans, Hans Bruyninckx, Mariano Castro Sanchez Moreno, Minpeng Chen, Patrice Christmann, Jeffrey Herrick, Tim Kasten, Lea Kauppi, Pawel Kaźmierczyk, Vijay Kumar, Yonglong Lyu, Anne Miehe, Jonathan Murphy, Kazunobu Onogawa, Antonio M. A. Pedro, Tlou Ramaru, Astrid Schomaker, Birgit Schwenk, Yoshinori Suga, Ernst von Weizsaecker, Anders Wijkman and Erinc Yeldan.

Special thanks go to Janez Potočnik and Alicia Bárcena, Co-Chairs of the International Resource Panel, and Ashok Khosla, former Co-Chair, for their contributions, dedication and commitment.

The UN Environment Secretariat team provided essential coordination and support, especially Shaoyi Li, Christina Bodouroglou, Vera Gunther and Abraham Pedroza.

Copyright © United Nations Environment Programme, 2017. This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made.

UN Environment would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source. No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from the United Nations Environment Programme.

Disclaimer

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations Environment Programme concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreover, the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.

ISBN: 978-92-807-3645-8

Job No: DTI/2098/PA

The full report should be referenced as follows: *UNEP (2017) Resource Efficiency: Potential and Economic Implications. A report of the International Resource Panel.* Ekins, P., Hughes, N., et al.

Design/layout: UN Environment Communication Division

Printed by: UNESCO



International Resource Panel Report



RESOURCE EFFICIENCY: POTENTIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

A Report by the International Resource Panel

March 2017

Contents

Preface 18

Foreword..... 20

Executive summary 21

PART I. RATIONALE AND CORE TERMS..... 30

1. RESOURCE EFFICIENCY: BASIC CONSIDERATIONS 31

1.1. Introduction 31

1.2. Rationale for resource efficiency 33

1.3. Scope, objectives and limitations of this report 34

1.4. Structure of this report..... 36

2. DEFINITIONS AND METRICS..... 37

2.1. Introduction 37

2.2. Underpinning concepts: resources, natural resources and environmental indicators .. 38

2.3. Definitions of widely used metrics of natural resource use 41

2.3.1. Technical efficiency 41

2.3.2. Resource productivity 41

2.3.3. Resource intensity 42

2.3.4. Emission intensity 42

2.3.5. Resource efficiency 43

2.3.6. Economic efficiency 43

2.4. Measuring human well-being..... 45

2.5. Human preferences..... 46

2.6. Decoupling..... 47

2.7. Costs 52

2.7.1. Cost as expenditure 52

2.7.2. Cost as investment..... 52

2.7.3. External cost 52

2.7.4. Opportunity cost 52

2.7.5. Microeconomic cost 53

2.7.6. Macroeconomic cost 53

2.8. Conclusions..... 53

PART II. RESOURCE EFFICIENCY: TRENDS, ECONOMICS AND GOVERNANCE..... 54

1. TRENDS IN RESOURCE USE AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS 55

1.1. Use of materials 57

1.2. Land use and land-use change..... 66

1.3. Water use 69

1.4. Energy use and greenhouse gas emissions..... 72

1.5. The “resource nexus” 75

1.6. Conclusions..... 78

2. TRENDS IN RESOURCE EFFICIENCY, DECOUPLING AND ECO-EFFICIENCY 79

2.1. Resource efficiency trends in materials use 80

2.2. Resource efficiency trends in land use 83

2.3. Resource efficiency trends in water use 86

2.4. Resource-efficiency trends in energy use and GHG emissions 88

2.5. Conclusions..... 90

3. THE ECONOMICS OF RESOURCE EFFICIENCY 92

3.1. The microeconomic costs and benefits of resource efficiency 92

3.2. The microeconomic benefits of reducing externalities..... 96

3.3. The macroeconomic benefits of resource efficiency..... 99

3.3.1. Computable general equilibrium (CGE) models..... 99

3.3.2. Macroeconometric models..... 102

3.3.3. System dynamics models..... 104

3.3.4. The rebound effect 106

3.3.5. Resource efficiency and employment..... 107

3.4. Conclusions.....108

4. GLOBAL GOVERNANCE OF RESOURCES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE EFFICIENCY ..110

4.1. Introduction110

4.2. Key features of global resource governance	111
4.2.1. National resource governance.....	113
4.2.2. Regional resource governance.....	116
4.2.3. International resource governance.....	117
4.3. Governance constraints on resource efficiency and promising responses	120
4.3.1. Protection and definition of resource-related rights	121
4.3.2. Coordination across sectors, boundaries and value chains	122
4.3.3. Recognition of multiple benefits of resources.....	124
4.4. Towards better governance for resource efficiency	125
 PART III. INCREASING RESOURCE EFFICIENCY: BEST PRACTICES AND CASE STUDIES	 127
 1. SUSTAINABLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION (SCP)	 129
1.1. Background	129
1.2. Implementing the 10YFP	130
1.2.1. Sustainable Public Procurement	132
1.2.2. Consumer Information Programme.....	135
1.2.3. Sustainable Tourism	137
1.2.4. Sustainable Lifestyles and Education	140
1.2.4.1. Low-income urban mobility	140
1.2.4.2. Behaviour change in municipal buildings	141
1.2.4.3. New markets looking for sustainable and more resource-efficient consumption.....	141
1.2.5. Sustainable Buildings and Construction.....	142
1.2.6. Sustainable Food Systems.....	147
1.3. Conclusions	150
 2. THE 3RS: REDUCING, REUSING AND RECYCLING OF RESOURCES, MATERIALS, PRODUCTS AND WASTE	 150
2.1. Background and overview	150
2.1.1. The 3Rs and other material management hierarchies.....	151
2.1.2. Implementation of the 3Rs in national and international policy	152
2.2. Reducing material use	156
2.2.1. Dematerialization of material service demand.....	156
2.2.2. Reduction of materials through intensifying use	156
2.2.3. Reducing excess material use through lightweight design	156
2.2.4. Reducing excess material use through reducing scrap and wastage in production	157

2.2.5. Reducing materials through material substitution	158
2.2.6. Reducing materials through innovative technologies.....	159
2.3. Reusing materials.....	159
2.3.1. Remanufacturing	159
2.3.2. Reuse of industry waste and offcuts.....	161
2.3.3. Exchange, second-hand trading and repair	161
2.3.4. Reuse of containers and packaging.....	163
2.4. Recycling materials	164
2.4.1. Recycling of metals	164
2.4.1.1. Rates of metal recycling.....	164
2.4.1.2. Opportunities for metal recycling	165
2.4.1.3. Future scarcity of metals.....	168
2.4.1.4. Other benefits of metal recycling.....	168
2.4.1.5. Limiting factors and barriers for metal recycling	168
2.4.1.6. Infrastructure and other conditions for optimizing recycling.....	169
2.4.2. Recycling of other materials	169
2.4.3. Industrial symbiosis	171
2.5. Alternative business models.....	175
2.6. Conclusions.....	175
 3. RESOURCE-EFFICIENT URBANISM.....	 176
3.1. Introduction	176
3.1.1. The heterogeneity of urban settlements	178
3.1.2. Future growth and demographics	180
3.2. Cities as “urban metabolisms”	182
3.3. Practices and approaches	183
3.3.1. Urban morphology	185
3.3.2. Transit-oriented development (TOD).....	185
3.3.3. Efficient and renewable energy systems (ERES).....	186
3.4. Case studies	186
3.4.1. Improving the efficiency of energy and water use in cities	187
3.4.2. Use of renewable resources and ecosystem services/self-sufficiency.....	189
3.4.3. Reuse and recycling of waste and materials	190
3.4.4. Transport.....	191
3.4.5. Urban design and integrated visions and concepts	193
3.5. Overcoming barriers	195

3.6. Conclusions.....	195
4. RESOURCE-EFFICIENT FOOD SYSTEMS	196
4.1. Introduction.....	196
4.1.1. Dependence of food systems on natural resources.....	196
4.1.2. Food systems: a powerful lens to look at food consumption and production.....	196
4.1.3. Natural resources and environmental impacts.....	197
4.1.4. Importance of both “sustainable” and “efficient” use.....	199
4.1.5. Unsatisfactory food security and health outcomes	199
4.2. Potential	200
4.3. Evidence	202
4.4. Overcoming barriers.....	207
5. RESOURCE-EFFICIENT MOBILITY.....	208
5.1. Introduction: current status and trends in transportation demand	208
5.2. Life-cycle analysis of transport technologies.....	214
5.2.1. Fuel and technology choice	216
5.2.2. Fleet and modal choice.....	219
5.3. Urban systemic solutions to address transportation energy use in cities.....	222
5.3.1. Introduction	222
5.3.2. The five Ds	223
5.3.3. Urban planning in cities in developed countries	223
5.3.4. Urban planning in rapidly growing cities	224
5.3.5. The land-use effect of transit.....	225
5.4. Conclusions.....	226
6. RESOURCE-EFFICIENT ELECTRICITY SYSTEMS.....	227
6.1. Introduction.....	227
6.2. Life-cycle impacts of electricity generation technologies	229
6.2.1. Greenhouse gas emissions	230
6.2.2. Human health impacts.....	232
6.2.3. Impacts on ecosystems.....	233
6.2.4. Resource implications.....	236
6.2.5. Land occupation	237
6.2.6. Future scenarios	238
6.3. Resource efficiency aspects of electricity supply and demand	239
6.3.1. Resource-efficient electricity demand	239

6.3.2. Resource-efficient electricity generation	239
6.3.3. Resource-efficient system planning	240
6.3.3.1. Temporal.....	240
6.3.3.2. Spatial.....	244
6.4. Conclusions.....	248
7. OTHER AREAS OF GOOD PRACTICE IN RESOURCE EFFICIENCY	249
7.1. Water.....	249
7.1.1. Agriculture	249
7.1.2. Municipal	251
7.1.3. Industrial and commercial	252
7.2. Land degradation and restoration	255
7.3. Iron and steel energy efficiency	259
7.4. Oil and coal recovery.....	260
PART IV. RESOURCE USE AND RESOURCE EFFICIENCY IN THE FUTURE	262
1. PROJECTIONS AND TARGETS FOR RESOURCES AND THE ENVIRONMENT.....	263
1.1. Global aspirations on resources and the environment	263
1.1.1. The Stockholm Conference to Rio+20.....	263
1.1.2. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).....	265
1.1.3. National resource efficiency strategic objectives and targets.....	269
1.1.4. Case study: indicators and targets for Japan’s Sound Material-Cycle Society (SMCS)	270
1.2. Conventional and sustainable worlds	272
1.2.1. Population and economic activity.....	272
1.2.2. Climate change and energy	273
1.2.3. Land and food	274
1.2.4. Cities	275
1.2.5. Water	276
1.2.6. Forests and biodiversity.....	276
1.2.7. Fisheries.....	278
1.2.8. Metals and minerals	278
1.3. Conclusions on projections and the SDGs	279
2. ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL AND BENEFITS OF RESOURCE EFFICIENCY, AND SYNERGIES WITH AMBITIOUS ACTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE	280
2.1. Introduction: assessing the potential and benefits of resource efficiency.....	280

2.2. Summary of key findings	281
2.3. Scenarios considered in this assessment	282
2.4. Key findings: outcomes for G7 nations.....	283
2.4.1. G7 resource efficiency and natural resource use	283
2.4.2. G7 greenhouse gas emissions	283
2.4.3. G7 economic performance and synergies	284
2.5. Key findings: outcomes for the world as a whole	284
2.5.1. Global resource efficiency and use	285
2.5.2. Global greenhouse gas emissions	285
2.5.3. Global economic performance	285
2.6. Conclusions	286
2.7. Appendix: Analytical framework used for the modelling	287
2.7.1. Overview of approach	287
2.7.1.1. Advantages of approach	287
2.7.2. Terms and definitions used	288
2.7.3. Implementation of scenarios	288
2.7.3.1. Development of resource-use projections and the Existing Trends scenario	288
2.7.3.2. Modelling approach to achieving improved resource efficiency	289
2.7.3.3. Modelling approach to achieving greenhouse gas reductions	290
2.7.3.4. Overview of key results	290
2.7.3.5. Comparisons to other studies	290
2.7.4. Modelling framework	290
2.7.4.1. Component models and key linkages	290
2.7.4.2. Resource extraction and use categories	292
2.7.4.3. TEM regions and sectors	292
2.7.5. Modelling limitations	295
PART V. CONCLUSIONS	297
1. CONCLUSIONS	298
1.1. The imperative and opportunity of resource efficiency	298
1.2. Good practices for resource efficiency	299
References	306

List of figures

Figure 1: Current status of the control variables for seven planetary boundaries	22
Figure 2: Decoupling of resource use and environmental impacts from GDP growth	23
Figure 3: Per capita domestic material consumption (DMC) in the G7, the BRICS and the global economy, 1970–2010, in tonnes	24
Figure 4: Material productivity (MP) in the G7, the BRICS and the global economy, 1970–2010 in US\$ per kg	24
Figure 5: The top 15 categories of resource efficiency potential	26
Figure 6: Barriers to resource efficiency and drivers to address them.....	27
Figure 7: Projections of water withdrawals by sector under different scenarios.....	28
Figure 8: Scenarios for assessing resource and climate futures.....	29
Figure 9: IMF commodity price indices, 2010–2015	33
Figure 10: Decoupling of resource use and environmental impacts from GDP growth	48
Figure 11: Balance of emissions embodied in imports and exports of the largest net-importing/exporting countries (and Middle East region)	49
Figure 12: Current status of the control variables for seven planetary boundaries	56
Figure 13: Global material extraction in billion tons, and global GDP in trillion US dollars 2005 prices, 1970–2015	58
Figure 14: Per capita domestic material consumption (DMC) in the G7, the BRICS and the global economy, 1970–2010, in tonnes	59
Figure 15: Persistence and changes in net-importing and net-exporting countries, 1962–2010.....	60
Figure 16: Per capita domestic material consumption (DMC) in G7 economies and the global economy, 1970– 2010, in tonnes	61
Figure 17: Per capita domestic material consumption (DMC) in BRICS economies and the global economy, 1970–2010, in tonnes	62
Figure 18: Per capita material footprint (MF) of domestic final demand in the G7, the BRICS and the global economy, 1990–2010, in tonnes	63
Figure 19: Per capita material footprint (MF) of domestic final demand in G7 economies and the global economy, 1990–2010, in tonnes	64
Figure 20: Per capita material footprint (MF) of domestic final demand in BRICS economies and the global economy, 1990–2010, in tonnes	65
Figure 21: Area of arable land plus permanent crops per person (hectares per capita), 1961–2013.....	67
Figure 22: Cultivated land per capita, 2000 and 2050.....	67

Figure 23: Trend of global cropland expansion from 2005 to 2050 for satisfying food demand and compensation of soil loss	68
Figure 24: Average national per capita water footprint (m ³ /capita/year)	69
Figure 25: Total renewable water resources per capita (2013)	70
Figure 26: Linkages of water quality with selected Sustainable Development Goals	72
Figure 27: World total primary energy supply, 1971–2013	73
Figure 28: Global annual and cumulative greenhouse gas emissions at various dates	74
Figure 29: Total anthropogenic GHG emissions (Gt CO ₂ eq per year) by economic sector: energy, industry, transport, buildings, and agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU)	74
Figure 30: World food and energy price indices, April 2002 to March 2012	76
Figure 31: Material productivity (MP) in the G7, the BRICS and the global economy, 1970–2010 in US\$ per kg	80
Figure 32: Material productivity (MP) in G7 economies and the global economy, 1970–2010, in US\$/kg	81
Figure 33: Material productivity (MP) in BRICS economies and the global economy, 1970–2010, in US\$ per kg	82
Figure 34: Growth in cropland, agricultural inputs and crop yields, 1961–2013. Index: 1961=1	85
Figure 35: Trend of global cropland expansion from 2005 to 2050 for satisfying food demand and compensation of soil loss	85
Figure 36: Australia – absolute decoupling of economic growth from freshwater abstraction [100=2001 levels]	86
Figure 37: Singapore GDP, population and total water consumption growth (1965–2007) [1965=1]	87
Figure 38: Trends (left) of freshwater consumption, GDP and population in China; and the decoupling index (right) of freshwater consumption from GDP growth in China	87
Figure 39: Total primary energy supply ratios in world regions, 1974 and 2009	89
Figure 40: Carbon dioxide emission ratios, 1974 and 2009	90
Figure 41: The potential microeconomic costs and benefits of illustrative resource-efficient technologies	93
Figure 42: Barriers to businesses becoming more resource efficient	95
Figure 43: Global subsidies by product and subsidy component, 2013	96
Figure 44: Marginal carbon dioxide abatement benefits curve for 2030	98
Figure 45: The top 15 categories of resource efficiency potential	101
Figure 46: EU GDP impacts from increased resource productivity (RP)	103
Figure 47: Historical (1975–2010) and projected (2010–2050) growth rates for three scenarios	105
Figure 48: Drivers to stimulate businesses to become more resource efficient	109
Figure 49: Key components of global resource governance	111

Figure 50: The basic architecture of global resource governance	112
Figure 51: Variation of rights on two dimensions and key policy risks concerning resources	114
Figure 52: Key biophysical interactions between resources and national boundaries	115
Figure 53: Illustrative structures of global supply and value chains for resources	116
Figure 54: Illustrative modes of governance within global value chains for resources	118
Figure 55: Multiple benefit flows from resources	124
Figure 56: Key aspects of resource efficiency governance	126
Figure 57: Training and communications regarding Sustainable Procurement in Canadian Municipalities	134
Figure 58: Schematic representation of a highly linear product supply chain	154
Figure 59: Schematic representation of a more "circular" resource-efficient product supply chain, showing the benefits of the "3Rs"	155
Figure 60: Illustrations of optimized "fish belly" designs for steel beams, in which more material is located at the point of the maximum bending moment, with tapered designs reducing material where it is not needed	157
Figure 61: Left-over sheet metal following blanking of components in an unoptimized arrangement (top), and in an optimized arrangement (bottom), the latter creating much less left-over scrap	158
Figure 62: Total energy consumption of selected household appliances over the period of extended product life (EPL), comparing scenarios in which the products are replaced with new ones at the end of their normal life, with scenarios in which the products are given 50–100 percent life extensions (reuse)	162
Figure 63: A periodic table showing global average end-of-life (post-consumer) functional recycling rates (EOL-RR) for 60 metals	166
Figure 64: Percentage urban and urban agglomerations by size class, 2014	176
Figure 65: Cities as "urban metabolisms"	183
Figure 66: Illustration of the social-ecological-infrastructure systems (SEIS) framework	184
Figure 67: Conceptual framework of food systems, natural resources and environmental impacts	198
Figure 68: Development of the consumption of MSC-certified and non-certified fish in the Netherlands	203
Figure 69: Per capita food losses and waste, at consumption and pre-consumption stages, in different regions	204
Figure 70: Per capita final energy demand (tonnes of oil equivalent per capita) for transport in Senegal (0.05), India (0.06), China (0.19), the UK (0.61) and the USA (1.92)	208
Figure 71: Passenger light-duty vehicle sales in OECD and non-OECD countries	209
Figure 72: Motor gasoline consumption and vehicle miles travelled (VMT) (2000–2017)	210
Figure 73: World transportation sector liquid fuel consumption (2010–2040) (in quadrillion Btu)	210

Figure 74: World passenger air travel by volume, 1950–2012 211

Figure 75: Average fuel burn by commercial jet airplane (1960–2015) 212

Figure 76: Value of US international merchandise trade by coasts and borders 1951–2014..... 213

Figure 77: Modal composition of freight transportation by distance in the US 213

Figure 78: Energy efficiency progress in transport in the EU 214

Figure 79: Annual sales of passenger light-duty vehicles by technology in IEA 4DS, and under “improve” strategies 215

Figure 80: Passenger-kilometre transport demand by mode in IEA 4DS, and under “avoid / shift” strategies 215

Figure 81: Greenhouse gas emissions from well-to-pump (WTP), pump-to-wheels (PTW) and well-to-wheels (WTW) for vehicle-fuel combinations 218

Figure 82: Direct CO₂ emissions per passenger-km or tonne-km travelled by mode 220

Figure 83: Energy consumption and GHG emissions per passenger-km travelled 221

Figure 84: Historic trends in global electricity production 227

Figure 85: Global electricity production by energy source and by scenario 228

Figure 86: Life-cycle GHG emissions of different electricity generation technologies, in gCO₂e/kWh.. 230

Figure 87: Human health impact in disability adjusted life years (DALY) per unit of electricity generated (kWh) of selected electricity generation technologies..... 232

Figure 88: Ecosystem impacts in species-years affected per TWh of electricity following different damage pathways..... 234

Figure 89: Bulk material and non-renewable energy requirements per unit power produced 236

Figure 90: Comparison of the impact on land occupation in terms of m² per MWh/a of electricity production from different technology sources, in Europe, in 2010..... 237

Figure 91: A comparison of environmental pressures caused by pollution and resource pressures resulting from two different electricity scenarios, the IEA Baseline scenario, indicating a continuation of present development, and the IEA BLUE Map scenario, reflecting aggressive efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions 238

Figure 92: Capacity credit of wind power, results from eight studies 241

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_15866



Figure 97: Comparison of total wastewater generation of main industries in Capuava Petrochemical Hub, Sao Paolo state (m³/day) 255

Figure 98: Regional focus of land acquisitions, 2000–November 2011 (Mha) 259

Figure 99: Energy consumption and saving potential by equipment type in US mining industry 261

Figure 100: Projections of population and income growth through to 2050..... 272

Figure 101: Projections of greenhouse gas emissions in different scenarios 273

Figure 102: An example of growth in low-carbon energy sources and corresponding required emission reductions for a “sustainable world” 273

Figure 103: Sulphur emissions reductions consequent on a stringent climate policy 274

Figure 104: Food availability under different scenarios 274

Figure 105: Projected urban population growth 275

Figure 106: Agricultural land-use projections under different scenarios 275

Figure 107: Projections of water withdrawals by sector under different scenarios 276

Figure 108: Changes in the extent of forest up to 2050 in different global scenarios, and estimated rates of species loss..... 277

Figure 109: Marine catches with and without a reduction in fishing effort, 1950–2050 277

Figure 110: Global copper production by country and region (coloured areas) and historical and anticipated demand (dashed line)..... 278

Figure 111: Scenarios for assessing resource and climate futures 282

Figure 112: G7 Resource Use (DMC) per capita 283

Figure 113: G7 Greenhouse gas emissions 283

Figure 114: G7 GDP per capita 284

Figure 115: Global resource use (DMC) per capita..... 285

Figure 116: Global greenhouse gas emissions 285

Figure 117: Global GWP per capita 286