





The Experience of Governance Innovations in South Africa



The Inquiry

The Inquiry into the Design of a Sustainable Financial System has been initiated by the United Nations Environment Programme to advance policy options to improve the financial system's effectiveness in mobilizing capital towards a green and inclusive economy—in other words, sustainable development. Established in January 2014, it published its final report, *The Financial System We Need*, in October 2015 and is currently focused on actions to take forward its findings.

More information on the Inquiry is at: www.unep.org/inquiry and www.unepinquiry.org or from: Ms. Mahenau Agha, Director of Outreach mahenau.agha@unep.org

The Global Green Growth Institute

The Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) is an international organization dedicated to supporting and promoting strong, inclusive and sustainable economic growth in developing countries and emerging economies. Established in 2012, at the Rio+20 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, GGGI is accelerating the transition toward a new model of economic growth – green growth – founded on principles of social inclusivity and environmental sustainability.

About this report

This report has been written by Sharmala Naidoo (GGGI) and Alison Goldstuck. It draws on a series of semi-structured interviews, with 21 individuals undertaken between October 2014 and January 2015. Organizations included experts from and stakeholders South African universities, asset management companies, asset consultants, industry associations, consultants, international non-governmental organizations based in South Africa and parastatal organizations. Furthermore, the Inquiry recognizes the support of critical reviewers who gave insightful comments. Errors and omissions in this paper are the responsibility of the authors.

Comments are welcome and should be sent to simon.zadek@unep.org, naidoo.sharmala@gmail.com and algold73@gmail.com.

Copyright © United Nations Environment Programme, 2016

Disclaimer

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations Environment Programme concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreover, the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.



CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 5 |
|--|----|
| ACRONYMS | 7 |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 9 |
| 2 SOUTH AFRICA'S CONTEXT | 11 |
| 2.1 A CORPORATE GOVERNANCE INNOVATOR | 11 |
| 2.2 THE CHALLENGE OF THE TRANSITION TO A GREEN ECONOMY | 11 |
| 2.3 THE STATE OF ESG INVESTMENT IN SOUTH AFRICA | 12 |
| 3 REGULATION 28 | 15 |
| 3.1 DEVELOPMENT | 15 |
| 3.2 DESIGN | 16 |
| 3.3 IMPACT | 17 |
| 3.4 POTENTIAL NEXT STEPS FOR EVOLUTION | 19 |
| 4 CODE FOR RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT IN SOUTH AFRICA | 21 |
| 4.1 DEVELOPMENT | 21 |
| 4.2 DESIGN | 22 |
| 4.3 IMPACT | 23 |
| 4.4 POTENTIAL NEXT STEPS FOR EVOLUTION | 25 |
| 5 INTEGRATED REPORTING | 27 |
| 5.1 DEVELOPMENT | 27 |
| 5.2 DESIGN | 27 |
| 5.3 IMPACT | 29 |
| 5.4 POTENTIAL NEXT STEPS FOR EVOLUTION | 30 |
| 6 THE FINANCIAL SECTOR CHARTER | 31 |
| 6.1 DEVELOPMENT | 31 |
| 6.2 DESIGN | 32 |
| 6.3 IMPACT | 33 |
| 6.4 KEY LESSONS | 34 |



| 7 CONCLUSION | 35 |
|---|----|
| 7.1 EMERGING LESSONS FROM EXPERIENCE OF THE ESG GOVERNANCE INNOVATIONS | 35 |
| 7.2 COMPLEMENTARY REAL ECONOMY MEASURES TO STRENGTHEN THE BUSINESS CASE | 36 |
| 7.3 LESSONS FROM THE FINANCIAL SECTOR CHARTER | 37 |
| REFERENCES | 38 |
| ANNEX I: INTERVIEW PROCESS | 41 |



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper explores the impact of four financial governance policy innovations in South Africa that have aimed to encourage the integration of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors into investment decisions:

- Regulation 28 requires that trustees of pension funds take ESG factors into consideration in their investment decision-making process.
- The Code for Responsible Investment in South Africa (CRISA) provides a voluntary set of principles and guidance for institutional investors and their service providers on how to execute investment analysis and be active investors to promote sound governance.
- Integrated reporting has been adopted by the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE), making it a mandatory requirement for listed companies to provide both backward- and forwardlooking analysis and data describing how the company creates and distributes value, and how it mitigates negative impacts.
- The Financial Sector Charter (FSC), focusing on black economic empowerment (BEE), was developed through sector leadership, but has a more formal monitoring approach, with outcome-based targets, backed up by incentives built into public procurement criteria.

The ESG governance innovations Regulation 28, CRISA and integrated reporting are based on a common disclosure-transparency-accountability model that encourages shareholders and stakeholders to ask questions, companies to disclose information and investors and analysts to consider ESG risks and related opportunities. The Financial Sector Charter, while sharing some elements of this model such as reporting on performance, also has a direct public incentive element as it is linked to public procurement criteria.

To date, while the governance innovations have successfully increased awareness of the interrelationship between ESG factors and financial performance, the issues are not systematically integrated into the investment process and outcomes. This finding implies they have had only made a limited contribution towards achieving their objectives.

Three specific weaknesses are highlighted:

- Weak trustee capacity: trustees have low financial literacy and little time, leading to reliance on outdated benchmarks and mandates
- Lack of common information standards: poor comparability of information makes it harder for stakeholders to compare information and hold actors accountable.
- Lack of clear implementation standards and monitoring: actors have considerable leeway to interpret the principles, and often their interpretation supports business-as-usual practices.

Interventions proposed by interviewees to strengthen the innovations focused on introducing stronger incentives (especially a stronger monitoring and evaluation system), while continuing to function within the free market system. They do not advocate for shifting to a system prescribing investment in particular assets, but one that strengthens the market mechanism.





ACRONYMS

ABSIP Association of Black Securities and Investment Professionals

ASISA Association of Savings and Investment South Africa

ATMs Automatic Transaction Machines

BASA Banking Association of South Africa

BEE Black Economic Empowerment

B-EEE Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment
CRISA Code of Responsible Investment in South Africa

E&S Environmental and Social

ESG Environmental, Social and Governance

EY Ernst and Young
FS Code Financial Sector Code
FSB Financial Services Board
FSC Financial Sector Charter

FTSE Financial Times Securities Exchange
GEPF Government Employees Pension Fund
IBA International Banks Association

ICGN International Corporate Governance Network
IIRC International Integrated Reporting Council

IMASA Institute of Management Associations of South Africa

IoDSA Institute of Directors in Southern Africa

JSE Johannesburg Stock Exchange

Nedlac National Economic Development and Labour Council

NGO Non-Governmental Organizations
PIC Public Investment Corporation
POA Principal Officers Association

PRI Principles for Responsible Investment
SAIA South African Insurance Association

SARB South African Reserve Bank SMEs Small Medium Enterprises WWF World Wildlife Foundation





预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_15890



