



iStockphoto/Robert Dant



Woiste Investing in energy and resource efficiency



Acknowledgements

Chapter Coordinating Author: **Dr Prasad Modak**, Executive President, Environmental Management Centre (EMC), Mumbai, India.

Vera Weick and Moustapha Kamal Gueye (in the initial stages of the project) of UNEP managed the chapter, including the handling of peer reviews, interacting with the coordinating author on revisions, conducting supplementary research and bringing the chapter to final production. Derek Eaton reviewed and edited the modelling section of the chapter. Sheng Fulai conducted preliminary editing of the chapter.

In order to ensure a global representation with a focus on sectoral, geographical and regional aspects of the subject, renowned waste management experts across different regions of the world have been involved as contributing authors in developing this chapter. These authors include Toolseeram Ramjeawon, Professor of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mauritius; C. Visvanathan, Professor, Environmental Engineering and Management Program, School of Environment, Resources and Development, Asian Institute of Technology (AIT), Thailand; Hardy M. Wong, Environmental Consultant for Global Organizations and President, EPM International Inc., Toronto, Canada; Shailendra Mudgal, Executive Director, BIO Intelligence Service (BIOIS), France and N.C. Vasuki, Environmental Consultant, United States). The chapter additionally benefited from contributions received from Louise Gallagher (UNEP) and Andrea M. Bassi, John P. Ansah and Zhuohua Tan (Millennium Institute).

Swati Arunprasad, Senior Environmental Specialist, EMC, provided technical and research assistance to the Coordinating Author in collation of inputs from contributing authors, preparation of the drafts and editorial checking for correctness and consistency. The following individuals are to be thanked for providing support in compiling data and providing inputs to various sections of the Chapter: Prem Ananth, Senior Research Associate, AIT for assisting C. Visvanathan and Sandeep Pahal, Consultant, BIOIS for assisting Shailendra Mudgal. T.

During the development of the Chapter, the Coordinating Author received valuable inputs from various regional and international workshops and expert meetings. These meetings include International Forum on Green Economy, co-organized by Ministry of Environment, People's Republic of China and UNEP, 6-7 November 2009; International Consultative Meeting on Expanding Waste Management Services in Developing Countries, Tokyo, Japan, 18-19 March 2010; Second Meeting of the Regional 3R Forum in Asia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 4-6 October 2010 and Intersessional Consultative Meeting on Waste Management in Africa, Rabat, Morocco, 25-26 November 2010. In addition to the interactions in these meeting, the Coordinating Author benefited from various presentations made by some of the leading experts in the field of waste management that provided useful data and case studies. These invaluable inputs are gratefully acknowledged.

Further comments were provided as part of the public review process from individuals in the International Chamber of Commerce and the World Steel Association.

We also would like to thank the many colleagues and individuals who commented on the Review Draft, including Rene van Berkel (UNIDO), Arlinda Cézar-Matos (Instituto Venturi Para Estudos Ambientais, Brazil), Surya Chandak (UNEP), James Curlin (UNEP OzonAction), Luis F. Diaz (CalRecovery, Inc.), Ana Lucía Iturriza (ILO), Vincent Jugault (ILO), Robert McGowan, Matthias Kern (Basel Convention Secretariat), Changheum Lee (Permanent Mission of the Republic of Korea), Antonios Mavropoulos (International Solid Waste Association), Rajendra Shende, (UNEP OzonAction), Guido Sonnemann (UNEP), and Henning Wilts (Wuppertal Institute, Germany).

Copyright © United Nations Environment Programme, 2011 Version – 02.11.2011

Contents

List of acronyms291Key messages292		
2 2.1 2.2	Challenges and opportunities in the waste sector296Challenges296Opportunities302	
3 3.1 3.2 3.3	Making an economic case for investing in greening the waste sector305The goals and indicators for greening the waste sector305Spending in the waste sector306Benefits from investment in greening the waste sector308	
4	Effects of increased investment in the waste sector	
5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Enabling Conditions319Financing319Economic incentives and disincentives320Policy and regulatory measures321Institutional arrangements between formal and informal sectors323	
6	Conclusions	
References		

List of figures

Figure 1: The waste management hierarchy	294
Figure 2: Composition of MSW by national income	296
Figure 3: GDP per capita vs. MSW per capita	297
Figure 4: Estimated generation of MSW across regions of the world	298
Figure 5: Relationship between private consumption and municipal waste in OECD countries	299
Figure 6: Trend in GDP and packaging waste growth from 1998 to 2007 in EU15	301
Figure 7: Trends in glass recycling from 1980 to 2005	304
Figure 8: Total public and private expenditure on reclamation of contaminated sites in Europe	307
Figure 9: Growing capacity of recycled aluminium industry in Western Europe	309
Figure 10: Energy production from renewable and non-renewable municipal waste in Europe	314
Figure 11: CDM projects registered by a few Non-Annex I Countries (as on December 2010)	315
Figure 12: The World Bank's estimated investments in MSW management across various regions	320

List of tables

Table 1: Estimates of e-waste generation (tonnes per year)	298
Table 2: Indicators to measure the greening of the waste sector	306
Table 3: Waste collection typologies by GDP per capita	308
Table 4: Energy savings and GHG flux savings due to waste recycling	309
Table 5: Community cooperation in waste management	323

List of boxes

Box 1: Global metal stocks and recycling rates 3	00
Box 2: Companies resorting to eco-friendly packaging due to increased consumer pressure	03
Box 3: Recession and the paper-recycling rate in the UK	03
Box 4: Cost savings and resource recovery from recycling	10
Box 5: The social dimension of waste management and recycling jobs – implications for decent work a	nd
poverty reduction	11
Box 6: Turning urban manure into organic fertiliser	12
Box 7: Rural energy supply from waste	13
Box 8: Waste-based carbon credits	15
Box 9: Incentives for private investment in brownfield clean-up and remediation	21
Box 10: Landfill diversion in the UK	22

List of acronyms

3Rs	Reduce, Reuse and Recycle
ADB	Asian Development Bank
BAU	Business-as-usual
BIR	Bureau of International Recycling
C&D	Construction and Demolition
CBO	Community-based organisation
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CE	Circular economy
CEIT	Countries with economies in transition
CER	Certified Emission Reductions
CO,	Carbon dioxide
DBOT	Develop, Build, Operate and Transfer
DfD	Design for Disassembly
DfE	Design for Environment
EAWAG	Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic
	Science and Technology
EEA	European Environment Agency
EoLV	End-of-life Vehicles
EPA	United States Environmental Protection
	Agency
EPR	Extended Producer Responsibility
EU	European Union
E-waste	Electronic waste
FFTC	Food and Fertilizer Technology Center
CER	Certified Emissions Reductions
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GIS	Geographic Information System
GMS	Greater Mekong sub-region
GPS	Geographic Positioning System
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HSWA	Federal Hazardous and Solid Waste
	Amendments (USA)
ICC	International Coastal Cleanup
IFC	International Finance Corporation
ILO	International Labour Organization
ILSR	Institute of Local Self Reliance
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
	Change
ISWM	Integrated Solid Waste Management
LDCs	Least Developed Countries
LDPE	Low Density Polyethylene
MBT	Mechanical and biological treatment
MEA	Multilateral Environmental Agreement
MIS	Management Information System

MLF	Multilateral Fund for the
	Implementation of the Montreal
	Protocol
MRF	Material Recycling Facility
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
NGO	Non-governmental organisation
NIMBY	Not in my back yard
NRDC	Natural Resource Defense Council
ODS	Ozone depleting substance
OEA	Waste and Resources Action
	Programme
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-
	operation and Development
OHS	Occupational Health and Safety
PAYT	Pay-as-you-throw
PFI	Private Finance Initiative
PPP	Public-private partnership
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery
	Act
RDF	Refuse Derived Fuel
RMB	Renminbi, currency in People's
	Republic of China
ROI	Return on investment
SCRAP	School and Community Reuse Action
	Project
StEP	Solving the e-waste Problem
SO,	Sulfur dioxide
TEÁP	Technology and Economic Assessment
	Panel of the Montreal Protocol
ТНВ	Thai Baht, currency in Thailand
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade
	and Development
UNDP	United Nations Development
	Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment
	Programme
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention
	on Climate Change
UNU	United Nations University
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VBWF	Volume Based Waste Fee
WEEE	Waste Electrical and Electronic
	Equipment Directive
WtE	Waste to Energy

Key messages

1. The increasing volume and complexity of waste associated with economic growth are posing serious risks to ecosystems and human health. Every year, an estimated 11.2 billion tonnes of solid waste are collected worldwide and decay of the organic proportion of solid waste is contributing to about 5 per cent of global Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions. Of all the waste streams, waste from electrical and electronic equipment containing new and complex hazardous substances presents the fastest-growing challenge in both developed and developing countries.

2. The growth of the waste market, increasing resource scarcity and the availability of new technologies are offering opportunities for greening the waste sector. The global waste market, from collection to recycling, is estimated at US\$ 410 billion a year, not including the sizable informal segment in developing countries. Recycling is likely to grow steadily and form a vital component of greener waste management systems, which will provide decent employment. While currently only 25 per cent of waste is recovered or recycled, under the green investment scenario modelled in the Green Economy Report (GER), the amount of waste destined for landfills would be considerably reduced. These gains, implying the development and expansion of new market opportunities, would be achieved through the doubling of the recycling rate of industrial waste (an increase from 7 to 15 per cent), near full recycling of e-waste (from a current estimated level of 15 per cent), and an increase of about 3.5 times over the current recycling rate of Municipal Solid Waste – the principal source of recycled materials, from 10 to 34 per cent. Furthermore, by 2050, effectively all organic waste would be composted or recovered for energy, compared with 70 per cent under a business–as-usual (BAU) scenario.

3. There is no one-size-fits-all when it comes to greening the waste sector, but there are commonalities. Most of the waste management related standards are national or local; however, as a common feature, greening the waste sector includes, in the first instance, minimisation of waste. Where waste cannot be avoided, recovery of materials and energy from waste, as well as remanufacturing and recycling waste into usable products should be the second option. The overall goal is to establish a global circular economy in which material use and waste generation is minimised, any unavoidable waste is recycled or remanufactured, and any remaining waste is treated in a manner least harmful to the environment and human health, or even in a way which generates new value such as energy recovered from waste.

4. Investing in greening the waste sector can generate multiple economic and environmental benefits. Recycling leads to substantial resource savings. For example, for every tonne of paper recycled, 17 trees and 50 per cent of water can be saved. By recycling each tonne of aluminium, the following resource savings could be accrued: 1.3 tonne of bauxite residues, 15 m³ of cooling water, 0.86 m³ of process water and 37 barrels of oil. These are in addition to the avoidance of 2 tonnes of CO₂ and 11 kg of SO₂ released. In terms of new products, the Waste to Energy (WtE) market was already

estimated at US\$ 19.9 billion in 2008 and projected to grow by 30 per cent by 2014. In terms of climate benefits, between 20 to 30 per cent of projected landfill methane emissions for 2030 can be reduced at negative cost and 30 to 50 per cent at costs of less than US\$ 20/tCO₂-eq/yr.

5. Recycling creates more jobs than it replaces. Recycling is one of the most important sectors in terms of employment creation and currently employs 12 million people in just three countries - Brazil, China and the United States. Sorting and processing recyclables alone sustain ten times more jobs than land filling or incineration on a per tonne basis. Estimations made in the context of this report suggest that with an average of US\$ 152 billion invested in waste collection as part of an overall green investment strategy over the period 2011 to 2050, global employment in waste collection activities by 2050 will be 10 per cent higher in a green economy scenario than projections under BAU. While higher rates of recycling may reduce employment opportunities in extraction of virgin materials and related activities, the overall net employment appears to be positive.

6. *Improving labour conditions in the waste sector is imperative.* The activities of collecting, processing and redistributing recyclables are usually done by workers with few possibilities outside the sector. Thus, despite the potentially significant contribution to employment creation, not all of the recycling and waste management related jobs can be considered green jobs. To be green jobs they also need to match the requirements of decent work, including the aspects of child labour, occupational health and safety, social protection and freedom of association.

7. Greening of the waste sector requires financing, economic incentives, policy and regulatory measures and institutional arrangements. Improved waste management and avoided environmental and health costs can help reduce the financial pressure on governments. Private sector participation can also significantly reduce the costs, as well as enhance service delivery. Micro-financing, other innovative financing mechanisms and international development assistance may, in addition, be tapped to support operational costs for waste treatment. A range of economic instruments can serve as incentives to green the sector (such as taxes and fees on waste, recycling credit and other forms of subsidies). Their use could be combined with policies and regulations such as targets for the minimisation, reuse, recycling and displacement of virgin materials in products; regulations relevant to the waste management market; and land-use policies and planning and regulations to set minimum safety standards that protect labour.

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 16335

