



iStockphoto/Arpad Benedek



# Buildings Investing in energy and resource efficiency

## Acknowledgements

Chapter Coordinating Authors: **Philipp Rode**, Senior Research Fellow and Executive Director, LSE Cities, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK; **Ricky Burdett**, Professor of Urban Studies and Director, LSE Cities, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK; **Joana Carla Soares Gonçalves**, Professor, Departamento de Tecnologia da Arquitetura, University of São Paolo, Brazil.

Vera Weick and Moustapha Kamal Gueye (in the initial stages of the project) of UNEP managed the chapter, including the handling of peer reviews, interacting with the coordinating authors on revisions, conducting supplementary research and bringing the chapter to final production. Derek Eaton reviewed and edited the modelling section of the chapter. Sheng Fulai conducted preliminary editing of the chapter.

Contributing authors: Ludger Eltrop, Head of Department, Institute of Energy Economics and Rational Use of Energy, Dep. SEE, IER, University of Stuttgart, Germany/ Visiting Professor, University of Johannesburg, South Africa; Duygu Erten, City Director-Istanbul, Clinton Climate Initiative (CCI), Istanbul, Turkey; Jose Goldemberg, Professor, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil; Andreas Koch, Researcher, European Institute for Energy Research (EIFER), Karlsruhe, Germany; Tom Paladino, President, LEED AP, PE, Paladino and Company; Brinda Viswanathan, Associate Professor, Madras School of Economics, Chennai, India; Gavin Blyth, LSE Cities, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK.

Additional authors: Sebastien Girard, European Institute for Energy Research (EIFER), Karlsruhe, Germany; Barbara Erwine, Senior Consultant, Paladino and Company, Seattle, U.S.A.; Klaus Bode, Founding Partner, BDSP Partnership of Environmental Engineers, London, UK; Sandro Tubertini, BDSP Partnership, London, UK; Ishwarya Balasubramanian, Madras School of Economics, Chennai, India; Marlies Härdtlein, Institute of Energy Economics and Rational Use of Energy, Dep. SEE, IER, University of Stuttgart, Germany; Till Jenssen, Institute of Energy Economics and Rational Use of Energy, Dep. SEE, IER, University of Stuttgart, Germany; Leonardo Marques Monteiro, PhD researcher, Departamento de Tecnologia da Arquitetura, University of São Paulo, Brazil; Roberta Consentino Kronca Mulfarth, Professor, Departamento de Tecnologia da Arquitetura, University of São Paulo, Brazil; Renata Sandoli, Researcher, Departamento de Tecnologia da Arquitetura, University of São Paulo, Brazil; Etienne Cadestin, James Schofield, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK; Cornis van der Lugt (UNEP); Jacob Halcomb (UNEP SBCI); Peter Graham (UNEP SBCI); Andrea M. Bassi, John P. Ansah and Zhuohua Tan (Millennium Institute); Edmundo Werna (ILO); Abdul Saboor (ILO); and Ana Lucía Iturriza (ILO).

Project coordinators: Daniela Tanner and Gesine Kippenberg, LSE Cities, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK.

We would like to thank the many colleagues and individuals who commented on the Review Draft, including Laura Altinger (UNECE), Christopher Beaton (IISD), Karin Buhren (UN Habitat), Chia-Chin Cheng (UNEP Risoe), Matthew French (UN Habitat), Greg Kats (Capital e), Robert Kehew (UN Habitat), Kian Seng Ang (Building and Construction Authority Singapore), Christophe Lalande (UN Habitat), Robert McGowan, Donna McIntire (UNEP), Kevin Mo (The Energy Foundation), Jeffery Kwei Sung Neng (Building and Construction Authority Singapore), Synnove Lyssand Sandberg, Niclas Svenningsen (UNEP), Mark Swilling (University of Stellenbosch, South Africa), Tan Tian Chong (Building and Construction Authority Singapore), Kaarin Taipale (Marrakech Task Force on Sustainable Buildings and Construction), Oesha Thakoerdin (Building and Construction Authority Singapore), Benjamin Henry Towell (Building and Construction Authority of Singapore), and the following members of the UNEP FI Property Working Group and the UNEP Sustainable Buildings & Climate Initiative (SBCI) who commented in their personal capacity: members of UNEP FI Property Working Group: Paul McNamara (PRUPIM), Blaise Debordes (Caisse de Dépôts), and Preston R. Sargent (Kennedy Associates); and members of UNEP SBCI: Maria Atkinson (Lend Lease Corporation, Australia), Robert Beauregard (Canada Wood), Caroline Frenette (Canada Wood), Paravasthu Jagannathan (EHS, UAE), Sylvain Labbé (Canada Wood), Rodney Milford (CIDB, South Africa), Dominik Oetiker (SIKA, Switzerland), and Sarah Turner (Lend Lease Corporation, Australia).

We also would like to thank the individuals who assisted in the research and/or editing process, including Omer Cavusoglu (LSE), Miranda lossifidis (LSE), Hanif Kara (AKT), Irina Kraicheva (LSE), Emma Rees (LSE), Guido Robazza (LSE), Liz Rusbridger (LSE), and Natza Tesfay (LSE).

Copyright © United Nations Environment Programme, 2011 Version – 02.11.2011

### Contents

List of acronyms					
Key	messages				
1	Introduction				
1.1	The aim of this chapter				
1.2	Scope and definition				
1.3	Structure of the chapter				
2	Challenges and opportunities				
2.1	Challenges				
2.2	Opportunities				
3	The case for investment in green buildings				
3.1	Investment needs				
3.2	Measuring the costs and benefits				
3.3	Economic, environmental and social impacts				
3.4	Investment scenarios for increased energy efficiency in buildings				
4	Enabling conditions and policy instruments				
4.1	Barriers to green buildings				
4.2	Policy instruments and tools				
5	Conclusions				
Refe	rences				

#### List of figures

Figure 1: Commercial and residential floor space in China, the EU, Japan and the USA (2003)	340
Figure 2: IPCC projections of CO <sub>2</sub> mitigation potential in 2030	342
Figure 3: Investment potential for new construction and building retrofits relative to the current	
sustainability level of building construction in representative countries	345
Figure 4: Fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in the building sector: current, reference	
and mitigation scenarios	352
Figure 5: Total power demand per year in buildings sector 2010–2050	358
Figure 6 : Total CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per year in buildings sector 2010–2050	358

#### List of tables

Table 1: Projected CO, emissions from buildings to 2030	341
Table 2: Summary of the major opportunities for green buildings in different sectors	345
Table 3: The economics of global building transformation	347
Table 4: Financial benefits of green buildings (US\$ per sq.m)	355
Table 5: Twenty-year net economic impact of a US\$ 1 million investment in green building	
improvements: Illustrative examples	357
Table 6: Emissions intensity in the GER model simulations	359

#### List of boxes

Box 1: Life cycle cost for a commercial office in a tropical climate	348
Box 2: Residential construction in China	350
Box 3: Retrofitting existing office buildings in the USA	351
Box 4: Water savings in a 4-person single house	353
Box 5: The social dimension of green buildings: implications for decent work and poverty reduction	356
Box 6: The rebound effect	359
Box 7: Reliable measurement and accounting	363
Box 8: Tools to promote the greening of buildings	366

#### List of acronyms

ADB	Asian Development Bank	IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
ADEME	French Environment and Energy		Change
	Management Agency	ITUC	International Trade Union
BAU	Business-as-usual		Confederation
BCA	Building and Construction Authority	KfW	German Development Bank
	(Singapore)	LCA	Life-cycle assessment
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism	LED	Light emitting diode
CEDEFOP	European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training	LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
CEU	Central European University	LPG	Liquefied petroleum gas
CFL	Compact fluorescent lamp	LTCR	Lost time case rates
CHP	Combined heat and power	MEPS	Minimum efficiency performance
CO2	Carbon dioxide		standards
CRC	Carbon Reduction Commitment	MURE	Mesures d'Utilisation Rationnelle de
CSIR	Council of Scientific and Industrial		l'Energie
	Research	NPV	Net Present Value
DVD	Digital versatile disc	O&M	Operation and management
EC	European Commission	OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-
EEFS	Energy Efficiency Co-Financing		operation and Development
	Scheme	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health
EPBD	Energy Performance of Buildings		Administration (USA)
	Directive (EU)	PV	Photovoltaic
EPC	Energy performance contracting	PwC	PricewaterhouseCoopers
ESCO	Energy service company	RIRs	Recordable incident rates
EU	European Union	SB	Sustainable Buildings
FIDE	Fund for Electric Energy Savings	SEEP	Serbian Energy Efficiency Programme
	(Mexico)	TBL	Triple bottom line
G2	Green Scenario 2	TCO	Total cost of ownership
GBC	Green Building Council	UN DESA	United Nations Department of
GDP	Gross Domestic Product		Economic and Social Affairs
GER	Green Economy Report	UN Habitat	United Nations Human Settlements
GHG	Greenhouse gas		Programme
GRIHA	Green Rating for Integrated Habitat Assessment	UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
HVAC	Heating ventilation and air	UNEP SBCI	United Nations Environment
	conditioning		Programme Sustainable Buildings and
ICT	Information & Communication		Climate Initiative
	Technology	UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention
IEA	International Energy Agency		on Climate Change
ILO	International Labour Organization	WBCSD	World Business Council for Sustainable
INFONAVIT	National Workers' Housing Fund	14/1-0	Development
	Institute (Mexico)	WHO	World Health Organization
IOE	International Organisation of Employers		
	· •		

### Key messages

**1. The Buildings sector of today has an oversized ecological footprint.** The buildings sector is the single largest contributor to global greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), with approximately one third of global energy end use taking place within buildings. Furthermore, the construction sector is responsible for more than a third of global resource consumption, including 12 per cent of all fresh water use and significantly contributes to the generation of solid waste, estimated at 40 per cent of the total volume. Therefore, the building sector is central to any attempt to use resources more efficiently.

**2.** Constructing new green buildings and retrofitting existing energy- and resource intensive buildings stock can achieve significant savings. There are significant opportunities to improve energy-efficiency in buildings, and the sector has the greatest potential, out of those covered in this report, to reduce global GHG emissions. Various projections indicate that investments, ranging from US\$ 300 billion to US\$ 1 trillion (depending on assumptions used) per year to 2050, can achieve savings of about one-third in energy consumption in buildings worldwide. In addition, these investments can significantly contribute to the reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions needed to attain the benchmark 450 ppm concentration of GHGs. Emission reductions through increased energy efficiency in buildings can be achieved at an average abatement cost of -US\$ 35 per tonne, reflecting energy cost savings, compared to -US\$ 10 per tonne costs in the transport sector or positive abatement costs on the power sector of US\$ 20 per tonne.

**3.** Greening buildings also brings significant health and productivity benefits. Greening buildings can also contribute significantly to health, liveability and productivity improvements. The increased productivity of workers in green buildings can yield savings higher than those achieved from energy-efficiency. In residential buildings in many developing countries, indoor pollution from poorly-combusted solid fuels (e.g. coal or biomass), combined with poor ventilation, are a major cause of serious illness and premature death. Lower respiratory infections such as pneumonia and tuberculosis linked to indoor pollution are estimated to cause about 11 per cent of human deaths globally each year. Women and children tend to be most at risk due to their daily exposure. Improved access to water and basic sanitation are other significant benefits that come with green building programmes.

**4. Greening the building sector can lead to an increase in jobs.** Investments in improved energyefficiency in buildings could generate additional employment in developed countries where there is little growth in building stock. It is estimated that every US\$ 1 million invested in building efficiency retrofits creates ten to 14 direct jobs and three to four indirect jobs. If the demand for new buildings that exists in developing countries is considered, the potential to increase the number of green jobs in the sector is still higher. Various studies point to job creation through different types of activities, such as new construction and retrofitting, production of resource-efficient materials and appliances, the expansion of renewable energy sources and services such as recycling and waste management. Greening the building industry also provides an opportunity to engage the informal sector and improve working conditions across the industry, by implementing training programmes targeting new skill requirements and improving inspection approaches.

**5.** Developing countries have the opportunity to lay the foundation of energy-efficient building stocks for decades to come. Significant new construction is expected in the developing world in order to provide adequate housing for over 500 million people, while providing access to electricity for some 1.5 billion people. Urbanisation and economic growth in emerging economies also point to the rapid growth of new building stock. In developing countries, taking into account sustainable building considerations at the time of design and construction makes good economic sense. Green retrofitting at a later stage invariably carries higher costs, both financially and environmentally, than integrating sustainability considerations already at the early stages of design and construction. For developed countries, which account for the majority of the existing building stock, the priority is to put in place measures and incentives that will enable large-scale investments in retrofitting programmes.

6. The role of public policy and leadership by example is vital in triggering the greening of the building sector. A life-cycle approach is required covering the building design, the manufacturing of material supplies, the construction process, buildings operation and maintenance as well as the disposal, recycling and reuse of building, construction and demolition waste. Considering, in particular, the hidden costs and market failures that characterise the building industry, regulatory and control measures are likely to be the most effective and cost-efficient in bringing about a green transformation of the sector. These need to be combined with other pricing instruments for greater impact, given realities such as the level of development of the local market and household income-levels. Additionally, government-owned buildings such as public schools, hospitals and social housing units are ideal locations to begin implementing greener building policies, including

### 预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 16351