


Modelling global green investment scenarios

Supporting the transition to a global green economy



Acknowledgements

Chapter Coordinating Author: **Dr Andrea M. Bassi**, Deputy Director, Project Development and Modelling, Millennium Institute, USA, with support from John P. Ansah and Zhuohua Tan, Millennium Institute.

Contributing Author: Matteo Pedercini, Millennium Institute.

Derek Eaton and Sheng Fulai (in the initial stages of the project) of UNEP managed the chapter, including the elaboration of modelling scenarios, the handling of peer reviews, interacting with the coordinating authors on revisions, conducting supplementary research and bringing the chapter to final production.

Peter Poschen and numerous colleagues at the International Labour Organization (ILO), including among others, Ekkehard Ernst and Mathieu Charpe, contributed substantially with insights, data and critique, particularly on employment-related aspects. Ana Lucia Iturriza provided support to the chapter managers and coordinated ILO's contributions.

The following members of chapter author teams contributed to the refinement of the model and provided feedback on results: Bob Ayres, Amos Bien, Holger Dalkmann, Maryanne Grieg-Gran, Hans Herren, Andreas Koch, Cornis van der Lugt, Prasad Modak, Lawrence Pratt, Luis Rivera, Philipp Rode, Ko Sakamoto, Rashid Sumaila, Arnold Tukker, Xander van Tilburg, Peter Wooders; and Mike D. Young.

During the development of the modelling analysis, the Chapter Coordinating Author received invaluable advice and inputs from the following: Alan AtKisson (AtKisson Group, Sweden); Laura Cozzi (International Energy Agency); Paal Davidsen and Erling Moxnes (University of Bergen, Norway);

Prakash (Sanju) Deenapanray (Ecological Living in Action); Prakash (Sanju) Deenapanray (Ecological Living in Action); Alan Drake (USA); Joseph Fiksel and Emrah Cimren (Ohio State University, USA); Michael Goodsite (National Environmental Research Institute, Denmark); Cornis van der Lugt (UNEP); Desta Mebratu (UNEP); Donatella Pasqualini (Los Alamos National Laboratory USA); Mark Radka (UNEP); Kenneth Ruffing (Consultant); Guido Sonnemann (UNEP); Serban Sriciu (UNEP); William Stafford (Council for Scientific Industrial Research, South Africa); Niclas Svenningsen (UNEP); Mathis Wackernagel (Global Footprint Network); Jaap van Woerden (UNEP GRID); and Joel Yudken (High Road Strategies, USA).

We would like to thank those who provided detailed comments on the review draft, including Santiago Arango Aramburo (National University of Colombia); Simon Buckle (Grantham Institute for Climate Change, Imperial College London, UK); Jean Chateau (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development); Jeanneney Guillaumont (CERDI, University of Auvergne, France); Li Shantong (Development Research Center, State Council, China); Peter Poschen (International Labour Organization); Mohamed Saleh (Cairo University, Egypt); and Stefan Speck (European Environment Agency).

We would also like to thank individuals and organizations who offered comments on the advance copy, including Tim Jackson (University of Surrey, UK); Peter Victor (York University, Canada); the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the US Department of Commerce; the Global Footprint Network; Novozymes; and the United Nations Fund for Population Activities (UNFPA).

Contents

List of acronyms	503
Key messages	504
1 Introduction	506
2 Understanding the green economy	507
3 Modelling the green economy	509
3.1 A characterisation of modelling approaches.....	509
3.2 The Threshold 21 World model.....	509
4 Scenario definition and challenges	511
4.1 Defining investments and methodology	513
5 Results of the simulations and analysis	515
5.1 Baseline projection (BAU).....	515
5.2 Green economy projections	518
6 Conclusions	533
Annex 1. Technical specifications of the Threshold 21 (T21) World model	537
References	541

List of figures

Figure 1: The relations between economic growth and natural resources	507
Figure 2: Conceptual overview of T21-World.....	510
Figure 3: Representation of the main underlying assumptions of green and BAU investments.....	512
Figure 4: Simulation of population in BAU compared with population values of WPP.....	515
Figure 5: Simulation of total volume of crop yield in BAU compared with values of FAOSTAT.....	515
Figure 6: Simulation of oil demand in BAU compared with values of WEO*.....	516
Figure 7: Simulation of arable land and forestland in BAU compared with values of FAOSTAT	516
Figure 8 and Figure 9: Simulation of fossil-fuel CO ₂ emissions in BAU compared with WEO values; Simulation of footprint/bioproductivity in BAU compared with values of Global Footprint Network	517
Figure 10: Results of the G1 scenario relative to the BAU1 case in 2015, 2030 and 2050 (per cent).....	519
Figure 11: Results of the G2 scenario in 2015, 2030 and 2050 relative to BAU2 (per cent)	519
Figure 12: Trends in GDP growth rate (right axis) and stocks of natural resources (left axis: oil discovered reserves, fish stock and forest stock, relative to 1970 levels), in the BAU and G2 scenarios ..	520
Figure 13: Trends in annual GDP growth rate, historical data (WDI, 2009) and projections in BAU, BAU2 and G2 scenarios	523
Figure 14: Fossil fuel CO ₂ emissions in additional BAU and green scenarios relative to the BAU case.	524
Figure 15: Composition of ecological footprint in 2050 in various scenarios, relative to 1970 value and indication of the projected footprint-bioproductivity ratio in 2050	524
Figure 16: Causal loop diagram (CLD) representing the main factors influencing crop yield in the agriculture sector of the model	525
Figure 17: Land allocation in 2050 under BAU and the G2 scenario, in billion hectares and as a share of total land	526
Figure 18: Total forest stocks and flows of deforestation and reforestation in BAU, BAU2 and G2 scenarios	526
Figure 19: Fish stock relative to 1970 level and fish catch in BAU, BAU2 and G2 scenarios	527
Figure 20: Results of the sensitivity analysis for (a) fish stock relative to 1970 level and (b) fish catch in tonnes/year.....	527
Figure 21: Global conventional oil production scenarios considered in the GER	528
Figure 22: Trends in BAU and G2 scenarios (a) in total energy consumption and renewable penetration rate (right axis), (b) power generation and renewable penetration rate in power sector ...	529
Figure 23: Composition of power supply employment in 2050 in various scenarios in power plants (in manufacturing, construction, installation and operation and management), power supply fuels, energy efficiency	530
Figure 24: Total employment in the energy sector, and its disaggregation into fuel and power, and energy efficiency	531
Figure 25: Water supply by source and water demand by sector (km ³), under BAU baseline and G2 scenarios	532
Figure A1: Spheres and sectors of T21-World.....	538

List of tables

Table 1: Comparison of scenarios for selected sectors and objectives	512
Table 2: Allocation of investments across sectors in the G1 and G2 scenarios as a share of total investment and GDP (2011 – 2050 average) and sectoral targets of green scenarios	513
Table 3: Transport emissions by mode in business-as-usual scenarios of GER and IEA.....	517
Table 4: Main indicators, BAU and green investment scenarios	518
Table 5: Comparison of energy mix in 2030 and 2050 in various GER and IEA scenarios.....	529
Table 6: Transport energy consumption in green scenarios of GER and IEA, in selected years	531
Table 7: Main indicators in BAU and green investment scenarios	534
Table 8: Comparison (per cent) of main indicators in G1 scenario relative to BAU1 scenario (1 per cent case) and G2 scenario relative to BAU2 scenario (2 per cent case).....	536

List of boxes

Box 1: Changes in natural capital stocks	522
Box A1: The Cobb-Douglas production function in T21 for agriculture, industry and services macro sectors.....	539

List of acronyms

AR4	Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC
BAU	Business-as-usual
CCS	Carbon capture and storage
CD	Cobb-Douglas
CGE	Computable General Equilibrium
CLD	Causal loop diagram
CO ₂ -eq	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DC	Disaggregated Consistency
ETP	Energy Technology Perspectives
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
FAOSTAT	Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Database
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GER	Green Economy Report
GFN	Global Footprint Network
GGND	Global Green New Deal
GHG	Greenhouse gas
HDI	Human Development Index
IEA	International Energy Agency
IIASA	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis
ILO	International Labour Organization
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
Lge	Litres of gasoline equivalent
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
ME	Macro-Econometric
MoMo	Mobility Model (Transport Model of IEA)
Mtoe	Million tonnes of oil equivalent
NDP	Net Domestic Product
O&M	Operations and maintenance
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
R&D	Research and development
RE	Renewable energy
ROI	Return on investment
SD	System Dynamics
T21	Threshold 21 model
TFP	Total factor productivity
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
WDI	World Development Indicators
WEO	World Energy Outlook
WPP	World Population Prospect

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_16369

