UNREDD/PB12/2014/VI/6b/Argentina





National Programme Submission Form Argentina

UN-REDD PROGRAMME TWELFTH POLICY BOARD MEETING

7-9 July 2014 Lima, Peru

In accordance with the decision of the Policy Board, hard copies of this document will not be printed to minimize the environmental impact of the UN-REDD Programme processes and contribute to climate neutrality. The UN-REDD Programme's meeting documents are available on the internet at: <u>www.unredd.net</u> or <u>www.un-redd.org</u>.

National Joint Programme (NJP) Submission Form to the UN-REDD Programme Policy Board

1. Policy Board Submission	
Policy Board Meeting 🛛 No. 12	Inter-sessional Meeting
Date of Meeting: 7 - 9 July 2014	Date of Inter-sessional Decision:

2. National Joint Programme Summary				
	Details of Nation	al Joint Programme		
Country Argentina				
Programme ¹ Title	Argentina R-PP			
Implementing Partner(s) ²	Secretariat for Environment and Sustainable Development			
Deta	ils of Participating UN (Organizations' Representatives		
UN Resident Coordinator:Contact details:Name: Mr Rene Mauricio ValdésTelephone: 54114-3208701/2Email: rene.mauricio.valdes@undp.org				
FAO:Contact details:Name: Mr Martin SantiagoTelephone: +5411 4349-1976 / +5411 4349-1985Title: FAO Representative in ArgentinaEmail: FAO-AR@fao.o				
UNDP:Contact details:Name: Mr Benigno RodriguezTelephone: +54 11 4320 8704Title: Deputy President RepresentativeEmail: benigno.rodriguez@undp.org				
UNEP: Contact details: Name: Mr Gabriel Labatte Telephone: + 507 305-3100 Title: Regional coordinator Email: gabriel.labbate@unep.org Type of National Joint Programme				
Full NJP: Initial NJP				
New Full NJP		New Initial NJP		
Continuation from a	an Initial NJP	Continuation from previous funding		
Other (explain)		Other (explain)		

¹The term "programme" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes. ²Refers to National counterparts. List the lead entity first.

3. Executive Summary

The first National Native Forest Inventory, completed in 2005, estimated a total of more than 31 million hectares of native forests in Argentina. Between 1996 and 2011, more than 4 million ha of forests were lost. This loss is increasing, and in the past decade deforestation rate amounted to 1.22%. Deforestation hotspots are in the Parque Chaqueño and Selva Misionera ecosystems in the north; with serious degradation in the Yungas and the Patagonian Andean forests.

Action to reduce deforestation is demonstrated by the approval by National Congress of two acts: (1) Act 26331 on Minimal Standards for Native Forest Environmental Protection at the end of 2007; and (2) rules of procedure under National Decree 91. A pilot project on Native Forest Management and Conservation was begun in 2009. Thirty environmental and social civil organizations participated in the enactment of Act 26331, supported by 1.5 million signatures from citizens all over the country.

The Forests Act establishes the Native Forest Land-use Management (OTBN) system and promotes the investment of financial resources in forest protection and management, in all provinces in a participatory manner. A National Fund aims to strengthen technical and control capacities among provincial governments, and compensate owners for preserving their forests and the environmental services they provide to society. Between 2010 and 2014, US\$300 million was invested, financing 2,475 sustainable management and native forest preservation plans (implemented by owners, indigenous peoples or European descendants, among others).

Further work is needed to sstrengthen the institutional capacity of provincial governments, improving land use sustainability, further technical and financial support on drivers of deforestation, national forest monitoring and improving dispute resolution mechanisms, land tenure systems, benefit distribution systems and a national accountability system including information on social and environmental benefits.

With respect to climate change, a Governmental Committee on Climate Change (CGCC), a crosssectoral group, has elaborated a national strategy for mitigation and adaptation to be integrated in national policies under a structured, ongoing interaction process with the relevant ministries. Another institutional arrangement relevant to the coordination of cross-sectoral policies is the National Advisory Commission for the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biological Diversity (CONADIBIO).

Summary of Roadmap components

The UN-REDD Programme will be implemented in coordination with several initiatives related to the Forest Act; with other forest management-related national activities; and with other agencies and international cooperation programmes, e.g. the World Bank's Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF). The US\$ 3,591,000 requested by Argentina to the UN-REDD Programme are a contribution to the national REDD+ readiness, considering that there are other initiatives that work for the same purpose in the country and with whom synergies will be established.

The current UN-REDD National Programme (NP) proposal is based on the analysis and guidelines established in Argentina's R-PP and those emerging from discussions with various stakeholders during the UN agencies' missions in the country since 2008. This allowed to identify the country's needs concerning REDD+ and, afterwards, prioritize UN-REDD Programme's axes of support.

This Roadmap sets out how Argentina will implement its REDD+ Readiness activities and develop a comprehensive National REDD+ Strategy in Phase 1 of REDD+. Considering progress made and challenges faced in the forestry and climate change sectors in the country, the Government's and other local stakeholders' (including indigenous peoples and peasant communities) concerns and the comparative advantages of the United Nations organizations constituting the UN-REDD Programme, Programme support will focus on four components consistent with the REDD+ decisions adopted by the UNFCCC – particularly, those of Cancun and Warsaw – and also respond to what was set forward in the R-PP. These four components are described as follows:

The Roadmap has four components described below.

1. Drafting of a REDD+ National Strategy (REDD+ NS)

Work will be carried out to disseminate information by means of a communication platform between REDD+ and stakeholders, and to implement a consultation protocol (currently under development) on the REDD+ Strategy and its implementation – specifically geared towards indigenous peoples to generate inputs for the development of the Strategy. The second aspect consists of developing and analyzing dialogue spaces, specific technical inputs and operative aspects for implementing the strategy. These technical inputs will emerge as a result of activities that are specific to this component, as well as from working on thematic areas described below. The third aspect refers to the assembling of these inputs in order to elaborate a first draft of the REDD+ Strategy. The Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development (SAyDS) and UNDP will guide this component in collaboration with FAO and UNEP to develop relevant technical inputs and support their structuring. Based on its traditional collaboration with ministries of Agriculture, FAO will also support inter-agency coordination with the agricultural sector.

2. Establishment of the National Forest Reference Emissions Level

In order to measure country performance concerning its activities for reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation, the working program will focus on building a national reference level disaggregated by eco-regions. Data inputs will be sourced from the satellite system and the national forest inventory (INF), as well as other data coming from several academic institutions.

Technical capacities in the country will be strengthened so as to obtain an adjusted and robust reference level through a step-by-step approach, building on national capacities and progress in this respect. The development of a joint methodology to define a reference level will be supported; afterwards, we will move on to the establishment or reference levels through a gradual process leveraging basic available information until a more accurate indicator for a specific eco-region is

generated. FAO will guide this process together with the relevant units within SAyDS, and it will endeavour to ensure that the country has the necessary capacity to continue the process (or reproduce it) once Programme support concludes.

3. Strengthening of the National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS)

Based on the progress made by the country so far, the objective is to strengthen NFMS capacities under the following pillars: (1) satellite land monitoring system, (2) national forest inventory and (3) greenhouse gas inventory. Work will also be carried out to develop a NFMS web dissemination platform, including an application for keeping record of REDD+ activities. The end product is a NFMS that can be used as a domestic tool, but also responds to REDD+ guidelines. In the context of this component, the technical strengthening of forest degradation monitoring will be looked into closely.

Also, support will be provided to revise the design of the INF through piloting and arrangement proposals responding to REDD+ features. Technical capacity strengthening will be supported, both for collecting and analyzing data, in order to develop allometric equations and volume charts. Regarding the third pillar, the Programme will also support capacity strengthening to carry out GHG inventories by backstopping the creation of an integrated system between National Communications, the national forest monitoring system and the reference level, among others. FAO will guide work on this component in collaboration with the relevant units within SAyDS. Other national institutions will become involved where necessary and relevant. Work will be carried out both at a national and ecoregional level, for instance, through SAyDS regional monitoring hubs.

4. Development of a Safeguard Information System (SIS)

The UN-REDD Programme will partially contribute to the development of an SIS through the identification and analysis of possible legal and institutional gaps existing in Argentina, in order to address REDD+ safeguards. Additionally, activities will be carried out for strengthening capacities and identifying the risks of implementing a REDD+ mechanism in the prioritized eco-regions. The three UN agencies participating in the UN-REDD Programme will support these specific activities related to SIS.

Component	Agency	USD
	FAO	160,000
1. Drafting of a REDD+ National Strategy	UNDP	808,200
	UNEP	530,000
	Sub-total	1,498,200
	FAO	433,000
2. Development of a national forest reference	UNDP	
emission level/reference level	UNEP	
	Sub-total	433,000
	FAO	1,165,000
. Development of a National Forest Monitoring	UNDP	
System	UNEP	
	Sub-total	1,165,000

	FAO	10,000
4. Development of a Safeguard Information System	UNDP	50,000
(SIS)	UNEP	70,000
	Sub-total	130,000
5. Costs of the Programme operations unit, and of	Shared costs (three	
monitoring and evaluation	Agencies)	364,800
	TOTAL	3,591,000
	Indirect costs	251370
	Programme TOTAL	3,842,370

NOTE: A breakdown of the budget allocations using the UNDG "harmonized input budget categories" must be provided to the UN-REDD Secretariat (for onward transmission to the Administrative Agent) with the signed NJP document. Please see Annex 1.

Submission Criteria (a) Is the NJP consistent with the UN-REDD Programme Framework Document? Yes No Unclear (b) Has the UN Resident Coordinator been involved in submitting the NJP? Yes No Unclear (c) Is documentation of the in-country validation meeting(s) included? Yes No Unclear (d) Did the validation include the national government counterpart (or designate)? Yes No Unclear (e) Did the validation include civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance ³ ? Yes No Unclear (f) Does the NJP comply with the required format (<i>incl., cover page, results framework, etc.</i>)? Yes No Unclear (g) relevant Operational Guidance? Yes No Unclear (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes No Unclear (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes No Unclear (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes No Unclear (k) Is the Progress Report include? (for supplementary funding only) Yes	5. Secretariat Review				
(a) Document? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (b) Has the UN Resident Coordinator been involved in submitting the NJP? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (c) Is documentation of the in-country validation meeting(s) included? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (d) Did the validation include the national government counterpart (or designate)? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (e) Did the validation include civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance ³ ? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (f) Does the NJP comply with the required format (incl., cover page, results framework, etc.)? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (g) Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes □ No □ Unclear □	Submission Criteria				
(b) the NJP? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (c) Is documentation of the in-country validation meeting(s) included? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (d) Did the validation include the national government counterpart (or designate)? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (e) Did the validation include civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance ³ ? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (f) Does the NJP comply with the required format (incl., cover page, results framework, etc.)? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (g) Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes ⋈ No Unclear	(a)	-	Yes 🗌	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(c) included? Yes ⋈ No □ Unclear □ (d) Did the validation include the national government counterpart (or designate)? Yes ⋈ No □ Unclear □ (e) Did the validation include civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance ³ ? Yes ⋈ No □ Unclear □ (f) Does the NJP comply with the required format (incl., cover page, results framework, etc.)? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (g) Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance? Yes □ No □ Unclear □ (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes ⋈ No □ Unclear □ (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes ⋈ No □ Unclear □ (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes ⋈ No □ Unclear □	(b)		Yes 🔀	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(d) (or designate)? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (e) Did the validation include civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance ³ ? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (f) Does the NJP comply with the required format (incl., cover page, results framework, etc.)? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (g) Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes ⋈ No Unclear (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes ⋈ No Unclear	(c)	,	Yes 🔀	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
 (e) representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance³? (f) Does the NJP comply with the required format (incl., cover page, results framework, etc.)? (g) Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance? (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) (ii) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) (ii) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? 	(d)		Yes 🔀	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(f) results framework, etc.)? Yes No Unclear (g) Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance? Yes No Unclear (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes No Unclear (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes No Unclear (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes No Unclear	(e)		Yes 🖂	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(g) relevant Operational Guidance? Yes No Unclear (h) Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)? Yes No Unclear (i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes No Unclear (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes No Unclear	(f)		Yes 🗌	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(i) Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate? Yes No Unclear (j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes No Unclear	(g)		Yes 🗌	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(j) Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website) Yes No Unclear	(h)	Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
	(i)	Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
(k) Is the Progress Report included? (for supplementary funding only) Yes No Unclear	(j)	Is the Programme Summary completed? (for posting on website)	Yes 🖂	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
	(k)	Is the Progress Report included? (for supplementary funding only)	Yes 🗌	No 🗌 Unclear 🗌	
If the answer is 'No' or 'Unclear' to any question, or further explanation is required, please provide here: Items a, f and g will be completed at submission of the NP document as at this stage the R-PP itself is					

being submitted and following PB approval the NPD will be developed.

³In this context the representative(s) will be determined in one of the following ways:

i. Self-determined representative(s) meeting the following requirements:

[•] Selected through a participatory, consultative process

[•] Having national coverage or networks

[•] Previous experience working with the Government and UN system

[•] Demonstrated experience serving as a representative, receiving input from, consulting with, and providing

feedback to, a wide scope of civil society/Indigenous Peoples organizations

ii. Representative(s) who participated in a UN-REDD Programme scoping and/or formulation mission and sit(s) on a UN-REDD Programme consultative body established as a result of the mission

iii. Individual(s) recognized as legitimate representative(s) of a national network of civil society and/or Indigenous Peoples organizations (e.g. the GEF Small Grants National Steering Committee or National Forest Programme Steering Committee)

6. Secretariat Review		
	Review Issues	
(I)	Ownership of the NJP by government and non-government stakeholders	
Deve	R-PP has been prepared and presented by the Secretariat of Environment and Sustainable elopment. It is aligned with a number of national policies and processes at country level, indicating ribution to and alignment with national priorities.	
(m)	Level of consultation, participation and engagement	
R-PP	records of the validation meeting, the framework for consultation with Indigenous Peoples and the itself demonstrate a certain level of consultation, notably as REDD+ nationally is now framed in the ext of the broader governmental committee on climate change.	
as is some fund	ever, it is also noted that inputs and consultations have been largely focused with technical people the R-PP itself largely focused on technical matters. While it is recognized that the NP covers only e elements of the overall R-PP, it would be important to clarify how, by whom and with which ing stakeholder engagement processes with the private sector, CSOs, Indigenous Peoples, farmers others will be engaged so that they embrace the approach and contribute to Argentina's efforts in D+.	
(n)	Programme effectiveness, coherence with country strategies and other relevant initiatives, and cost-efficiency	
fede posit howe	ntina being a large federal country, the R-PP aims at working at both the provincial and ral/national levels. Comparably with the NP in Nigeria, this kind of approach will definitely yield tive dividends by engaging the right decision-making structures for different types of interventions, ever will require a significant amount of coordination among scales, of coherence and of stments.	
	amount requested for the NPD, is realistic given the results aimed at, however there might be as with absorption capacity. This might create a perverse effect of accelerating implementation and	

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_8839