# Digital and Sustainable Trade Facilitation: GLOBAL REPORT 2019

Based on the United Nations Global Survey on Digital and Sustainable Trade Facilitation



Copyright © United Nations 2019 All rights reserved

The report is available at: <u>https://unnext.unescap.org/content/un-global-survey-digital-and-sustainable-trade-facilitation-2019</u>

#### **Disclaimers:**

The designation employed and the presentation of the material in the Report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The United Nations bears no responsibility for the availability or functionality of URLs.

Opinion, figures and estimates set forth in this publication are the responsibility of the authors and should not necessarily be considered as reflecting the views or carrying the endorsement of the United Nations. Any errors are the responsibility of the authors. Mention of firm names and commercial products does not imply the endorsement of the United Nations.

The report has been issued without formal editing.

#### **FOREWORD**

This report presents the results of the third UN Global Survey on Digital and Sustainable Trade Facilitation, jointly conducted by five United Nations Regional Commissions for Africa (ECA), Europe (ECE), Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) and West Asia (ESCWA). The Survey aims to gather information from countries worldwide on implementation of digital and sustainable trade facilitation measures. The results of the survey enable countries and development partners to better understand and monitor progress on trade facilitation, support evidence-based public policies, share best practices and identify capacity building and technical assistance needs.

The first and second global surveys were conducted in 2015 and 2017 as a key initiative under the Joint UNRC Approach to Trade Facilitation agreed upon in Beirut, Lebanon in 2010 by the Executive Secretaries of all five United Nations Regional Commissions. The joint approach was designed to enable the Regional Commissions to present a joint and global view on trade facilitation issues in the context of the negotiations of the Doha Round at the World Trade Organization. (WTO). This initiative has benefitted from the input of many partners such as the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), the International Trade Centre (ITC), Asian Development Bank (ADB), Oceania Customs Organization Secretariat (OCO), Association of South East Asia Nations (ASEAN) and Eurasian Economic Commission (EEC).

This third survey is built upon the earlier surveys and includes new forward-looking measures related to trade digitalization, trade finance and sustainable development. Indeed, the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development recognizes international trade – along with science, technology and innovation – as one of the key means of implementation of the agreed Sustainable Development Goals.

Against this background, we hope that this report further supports the economies around the world to make trade simpler, cheaper and more sustainable through the use and application of technology and innovation in international trade procedures.

Mr. Stephen Karingi, Director, Regional Integration and Trade Division, **ECA** 

Ms. Maria Ciccarelli, Directorin-Charge, Economic Cooperation and Trade Division, ECE

Coccercelli Mais forme Maris bil.

Mr. Mario Cimoli, O.i.C., International Trade and Integration Division, ECLAC

Miz hibic

Ms. Mia Mikic, Director, Trade, Investment and Innovation Division,

**ESCAP** 

Mr. Mohamed El Moctar El Hacene, Director, **Economic Development** and Integration Division,

**ESCWA** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The third UN Global Survey was jointly conducted by five United Nations Regional Commissions for Africa (ECA), Europe (ECE), Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) and West Asia (ESCWA). The initiative was led and coordinated by ESCAP. Staff members from the Regional Commissions who directly contributed to the global report include: Heini Suominen and David Luke from ECA; Khan Salehin, Charles Frei, Maria Teresa Pisani and Maria Rosaria Ceccarelli from ECE; Jiangyuan Fu, Chorthip Utoktham, Yann Duval and Yuhua Zhang from ESCAP; Sebastian Herreros from ECLAC; and Souraya Zein and Mohamed Chemingui from ESCWA. The report was prepared by Jiangyuan Fu and Chorthip Utoktham under the guidance of Yann Duval and the overall supervision of Mia Mikic, all from the Trade, Investment and Innovation Division (TIID) of ESCAP. Mr. Vasan Narang, TIID, ESCAP informally edited and formatted the report for release.

Support from the following organizations and individuals is gratefully acknowledged:

Akhmad Bayhaqi from the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Policy Support Unit, Cuong Ba Tran and Anki Agustrin from the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Secretariat, Roy Lagolago and Kalei Billings-Dugu of the Oceania Customs Organization (OCO), Arantzazu Sanchez and Patrick Goettner from United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), as well as Yuhua Zhang and Sangwon Lim from ESCAP contributed to the survey efforts, in particular by facilitating data collection from relevant experts as well as data validation in several countries.

The United Nations Centre for Trade Facilitation and E-Business (UN/CEFACT), an intergovernmental body serviced by ECE, and the United Nations Network of Experts for Paperless Trade and Transport in Asia and the Pacific (UNNExT), a knowledge community supported by ESCAP and ECE, greatly facilitated data collection. Comments and suggestions received from participants at the United Nations Regional Commissions (UNRCs) side event to the 7<sup>th</sup> Global Review on Aid for Trade (Geneva, July 2019), where the preliminary findings from the global survey were presented, are gratefully acknowledged. Authors are also grateful to Alexander Malaket, Doina Buruiana and Olivier Paul from the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Banking Commission for their inputs and suggestions on the development of the trade finance component of the 2019 Survey.

Preparation of the report benefited from the financial support of China and the Republic of Korea in 2019.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Reducing trade costs is essential in enabling economies to effectively participate in regional and global value chains and for them to continue to use trade as an important engine of growth and sustainable development. Many of the trade cost reductions achieved over the past decade have been through eliminating or lowering tariffs. Reducing non-tariff sources of trade costs, such as inefficient transport and logistics infrastructure and services, as well as cumbersome regulatory procedures and documentation will further contribute to the trade cost reductions. Indeed, trade facilitation, including paperless trade, has taken on an increasing importance as evidenced by the entry into force of the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement in February 2017 and several other regional initiatives.

This report presents the main findings of the Third UN Global Survey on Digital and Sustainable Trade Facilitation, jointly conducted by the five United Nations Regional commissions (UNRCs). The survey covers 128 economies from 8 regions, with a key focus on four main areas: "General Trade Facilitation" in relation to the implementation of selected measures under the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA); "Digital Trade Facilitation" regarding the implementation of innovative, technology-driven measures aimed at enabling the use and exchange of electronic trade data and documents; "Sustainable Trade Facilitation" with regards to trade facilitation for SMEs, agricultural sector and women; and "Trade Finance".<sup>1</sup> The key findings of the survey are summarized as follows:

- The global average implementation of the ambitious and forward-looking set of measures included in the survey stands at 62.7%. Developed economies have the highest implementation rate (79.7%), while the Pacific Islands have the lowest (35.5%). Among the developing regions, South-East and East Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean achieve high implementation rates at 71.2% and 68.9% respectively. Implementation in Sub-Saharan Africa which includes some of the poorest countries in the world is only 47.8%, second only to the Pacific Islands.
- Australia and Republic of Korea (94.6%) tie for first place as the best overall performers, followed by Belgium, the Netherlands, Japan and Singapore, each with an implementation rate of 93.6%. Among developing regions, the Republic of Korea (94.6%) and Singapore (93.6%) lead in South-East and East Asia. Mexico leads the Latin America and the Caribbean, while Morocco leads the Middle East and North Africa region. Azerbaijan, Russian Federation and the Republic of North Macedonia (81.7%) together lead the South and East Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia region, while India (79.6%) leads the way in South Asia.
- Countries with special needs LDCs, LLDCs and SIDSs achieve similar implementation rates, ranging between 43% and 55%, which is significantly below the global average implementation rate. This result confirms the need to provide these countries with special technical assistance and capacity-building support to help them bridge their existing implementation gaps.
- The global average implementation level for 'Paperless Trade' stands at 61%. The implementation rates vary greatly depending on the individual measures considered. The implementation level for 'Cross-Border Paperless Trade' (36%) is substantially lower than that of other groups of measures.
- "Sustainable Trade Facilitation" measures aim to make trade facilitation measures more inclusive and align them with the sustainable development goals. Implementation of measures in the 'trade facilitation for SMEs' (36%) and 'women in trade facilitation' (23%) categories remains low, pointing to a lack of awareness of the special needs of certain groups of stakeholders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Trade Finance" is a new group of measures piloted in the UN ESCAP, UN ESCWA and UN ECE questionnaires.

Measures related to trade finance facilitation are also found to be lacking in implementation. For example, although access to finance is essential to trade facilitation, less than 15% of countries for which data could be collected have plans in place to facilitate access to credit through the single windows they are developing.

The report also examines the progress made by 99 countries covered in both the 2017 and 2019 Global Surveys. Implementation at the global level has, on average, increased by 8.1 percentage points over the last two years. The most progress is observed in *South and East Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia* and *Sub-Saharan Africa*, where implementation rates have increased by 11.5 percentage points. A substantial improvement was also observed in *South Asia* (9.7 percentage points), *South-East and East Asia* (9.3 percentage points), *Pacific Islands* (8.3 percentage points) and *Latin America and the Caribbean* (7.8 percentage points). In contrast, progress was less significant in the *Middle East and North Africa*, and *Developed Economies*, where increases of only 3.2 and 4.3 percentage points have taken place, respectively.

Overall, countries globally have made significant progress in trade facilitation since the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement entered into force in 2017. Looking forward, while efforts in enhancing transparency and streamlining formalities at the national level should continue, more attention will need to be paid to cross-border cooperation and interoperability issues among paperless trade systems, so as to enable the safe and seamless flow of electronic data and documents along international supply chains. Trade facilitation strategies will also need to become more holistic and inclusive, in order to address the needs of specific sectors (e.g., agriculture) or groups (e.g., SMEs and women) and to better support the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Detailed regional and country-level results of the UN Global Survey on Digital and Sustainable Trade Facilitation are available at: <u>https://untfsurvey.org/</u>

#### Contents

FOREWORD	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Executive Summary	iii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Objective	1
1.2 Survey Instrument and Methodology: WTO TFA+	2
1.3 Utilization of Report and the Data	7
2. Trade Facilitation Implementation: Overview	8
2.1 Implementation in Countries with Special Needs	
2.2 Most and Least Implemented Trade Facilitation Measures	
2.3 Progress in Implementation of Trade Facilitation Measures Since 2017	13
3. Implementation of Trade Facilitation Measures: A Closer Look	17
3.1 Transparency Measures	17
3.2 Formalities Measures	
3.3 Institutional Arrangements and Cooperation Measures	19
3.4 Paperless Trade Measures	21
3.5 Cross-Border Paperless Trade Measures	23
3.6 Transit Facilitation Measures	25
3.7 Sustainable Trade Facilitation Measures	26
3.8 Trade Finance Measures	
4. Conclusion and Way Forward	
Annex 1: Definitions of the various stages of implementation	
Annex 2: Grouping of countries with special needs	
Annex 3: Explanatory notes	40
Annex 4: Trade facilitation implementation by countries in different groups (%)	41
Annex 5: Trade Facilitation implementation: full dataset versus limited dataset	45
Annex 6: Implementation stages of selected WTO TFA-related measures globally	

#### List of Tables

Table 1: Intra- and extra-regional comprehensive trade costs (excluding tariff costs)	1
Table 2: Grouping of trade facilitation measures and correspondence with TFA articles	3
Table 3: Most and least implemented measures within each group of Trade Facilitation measures by number of countries	12
Table 4: Countries that participated in both 2017 and 2019 surveys	45
Table 5: Breakdown of countries that participated in both 2017 and 2019 surveys	46
Table 6: Comparison of regional average: full versus limited dataset	47

### List of Figures

Figure 1: Average implementation rates of Trade Facilitation measures around the world	8
Figure 2: Average Trade Facilitation implementation rates and GDP per capita	9
Figure 3: Average Trade Facilitation implementation rates by region and in countries with special needs	10
Figure 4: Average implementation rates for different groups of Trade Facilitation measures	11
Figure 5: Progress of implementation of Trade Facilitation measures by various regions, 2017 and 2019	13
Figure 6: Progress of global implementation Trade Facilitation measures, 2017 and 2019	14
Figure 7: Progress of implementation of specific group of Trade Facilitation measures in various regions, 2017 2019	
Figure 8: Global implementation of 'Transparency' measures in various regions	17
Figure 9: State of implementation of 'Transparency' measures globally	18
Figure 10: Global implementation of 'Formalities' measures in various regions	19
Figure 11: State of implementation of 'Formalities' measures globally	19
Figure 12: Global implementation of 'Institutional Arrangement and Cooperation' measures in various regions	s20
Figure 13: State of Implementation of 'Institutional Arrangement and Cooperation' measures globally	21

## 预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\_1001