

# THE EFFECTS OF CORRUPTION ON FDI INFLOW: THE CASE IN ASEAN FROM 1996 TO 2011

F-GROUP VIETNAM:

Hung, Nguyen Duc Thuan, Nguyen Bich Huong, Luong Thu Nhuong, Chu Thi

Email: <a href="mailto:hungnguyenduc.neu@gmail.com">hungnguyenduc.neu@gmail.com</a>





Introduction

**Research questions** 



Literature review

Methodology

Some main findings

#### Introduction

- + ASEAN is now a popular destination for FDI flow:
  - + Peculiar advantages: low labor, raw material costs, impressive economic growth, and many *preferential policies* to fascinate foreign investors.
    - . These will diminish once the economies move to a higher level;
    - . The cost to implement the preferential policies is considerable; and
    - . It is also difficult to sustain these preferences in the long term.
    - E.g., Vietnam: facing new challenges in attracting investment since the labor cost and commodity price surge.
    - => The strategy of FDI attraction that based on the advantage of low costs will lose effectiveness in the long term.
- + Thus, attracting investment based on the improvements of investment environment through a high quality of human capital, modern infrastructure, and effective institutions need to be paid more attention.

### **Objectives**

#### The research questions:

- + Is the negative relationship between FDI inflow and Corruption level applied for ASEAN countries? And,
- + Whether the corruption level could influence to the shift of FDI inflow from sector to sector? *CORR is a determinant?*
- + Especially, to what extent the proportion of FDI in Agriculture/Manufacturing/Service sector; and total FDI inflow of host country change once the control of corruption level changes?

#### Literature review

#### Douglass North (1990):

- The development of a national wealth is not primarily due to its rich natural resources or diligence of large numbers of workers;
- It is mainly stimulated by the binding elements within management institutions;
- Good institutions create a better economic environment likely to encourage innovation, boost production and sustainable growth.

Corruption: "the abuse of entrusted power for private gain" (Transparency International).

→ as one aspect of the institution that affects the investment climate and attracts FDI inflow.

Negative impacts of corruption on FDI flows:

Mauro (1995), (Tanzi and Davoodi, 1997), (Bardhan, 1997), Wei (2000a: 1), (Wei, 2000b), Patrick Tingvall, Gustavsson (2010), etc.

Some papers failed to find the evidence of corruption and FDI relation: (Abeb and Davoodi, 2000; Akçay, 2001), Al-Sadig (2009).

Only a few studies focus on the influence of factors on attracting FDI in the countries in Southeast Asia: Normaz (2009), and Tajul and Hussin (2010).

#### **Data**

- + We employ the database from ASEAN Statistics.
- + The established dataset of FDI inflow for ASEAN countries from 1996 to 2011, including *9 ASEAN countries*: excepting Myanmar and East Timor due to data limitation.
- + The data of FDI by economic sector: *Agriculture*; *Manufacturing*; and *Service*.
  - $\Rightarrow$  To be applied for the period of 1999-2005.

## Methodology



- + New dependent variable FDI inflow by economic sector will provides a new deep insight of the relationship between corruption and the restructure of FDI by sector from source countries to ASEAN countries.
- + Two relationships (FDI inflow and corruption; and FDI by economic sector and corruption) were estimated through 3 equations:
- OLS model:  $ln(Y)_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Control \ of \ Corruption_{it} + \beta_S X_{i,t-1} + u_{i,t}$  (1)
- Specification model with FE and macro-indicators as control variables:

$$ln(Y)_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Control \ of \ Corruption_{it} + \beta_S X_{i,t-1} + \gamma D_i + \delta T_t + u_{i,t}$$
 (2)

• INST is added into FE model to clarify the effectiveness of the institution and control of corruption:

$$\ln(Y)_{it} = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta_1} Control \ of \ Corruption_{it} + \boldsymbol{\beta_2} INST_{it} + \beta_S X_{i,t-1} + \gamma D_i + \delta T_t + u_{i,t}$$
(3)

# 二维码如下: **原规**

# **Methodology (cont.)**



#### lent variables used in all 3 models:

 $\frac{FDI_{inflow}}{talPopulation}$ ; and

 $\frac{FDI_{bysector}}{FDI_{inflow}}$ 

		0.0,00,00	
on	Description	Control for	Expected sign
	GDP per Capita	Market size	(+)
	GDP growth	Market size	(+)
	Population growth	Market potential	(+)
	Secondary school enrolment	Quality of human capital	(+)
	Inflation	Economic stability	(-)
	FDI stocks as a percentage of GDP in the host country	Agglomeration effect	(+)
	The government effectiveness	Effectiveness of Institution	(+)
	Rule of law	Quality of institution	(+)
	Political Stability, No Violence	Political Stability	(+)
	Openness	Freedom of trade and service	(+)