



THE EFFECTS OF CORRUPTION ON FDI INFLOW: THE CASE IN ASEAN FROM 1996 TO 2011

F-GROUP VIETNAM:

*Hung, Nguyen Duc
Thuan, Nguyen Bich
Huong, Luong Thu
Nhuong, Chu Thi*

Email: hungnguyenduc.neu@gmail.com

Content



Introduction

Research questions

Literature review

Methodology

Some main findings



Introduction

- + ASEAN is now a popular destination for FDI flow:
 - + Peculiar advantages: low labor, raw material costs, impressive economic growth, and many **preferential policies** to fascinate foreign investors.
 - . These will diminish once the economies move to a higher level;
 - . The cost to implement the preferential policies is considerable; and
 - . It is also difficult to sustain these preferences in the long term.
 - E.g., Vietnam: facing new challenges in attracting investment since the labor cost and commodity price surge.
=>**The strategy of FDI attraction that based on the advantage of low costs will lose effectiveness in the long term.**
- + Thus, attracting investment based on the improvements of investment environment through a high quality of human capital, modern infrastructure, and effective institutions need to be paid more attention.

Objectives

The research questions:

- + Is the negative relationship between FDI inflow and Corruption level applied for ASEAN countries? And,
- + Whether the corruption level could influence to the shift of FDI inflow from sector to sector? *CORR is a determinant?*
- + Especially, to what extent the proportion of FDI in Agriculture/Manufacturing/Service sector; and total FDI inflow of host country change once the control of corruption level changes?

Literature review

Douglass North (1990):

- The development of a national wealth is not primarily due to its rich natural resources or diligence of large numbers of workers;
- *It is mainly stimulated by the binding elements within management institutions;*
- Good institutions create a better economic environment likely to encourage innovation, boost production and sustainable growth.

Corruption: “*the abuse of entrusted power for private gain*” (Transparency International).

→ as one aspect of the institution that affects the investment climate and attracts FDI inflow.

Negative impacts of corruption on FDI flows:

Mauro (1995), (Tanzi and Davoodi, 1997), (Bardhan, 1997), Wei (2000a: 1), (Wei, 2000b), Patrick Tingvall, Gustavsson (2010), etc.

Some papers failed to find the evidence of corruption and FDI relation: (Abeb and Davoodi, 2000; Akçay, 2001), Al-Sadig (2009) .

Only a few studies focus on the influence of factors on attracting FDI in the countries in Southeast Asia: Normaz (2009), and Tajul and Hussin (2010).

Data

- + We employ the database from ASEAN Statistics.
- + The established dataset of FDI inflow for ASEAN countries from 1996 to 2011, including **9 ASEAN countries**: excepting Myanmar and East Timor due to data limitation.
- + The data of FDI by economic sector: *Agriculture*; *Manufacturing*; and *Service*.
⇒ To be applied for the period of 1999-2005.

Methodology



- + New dependent variable - FDI inflow by economic sector - will provides a new deep insight of the relationship between corruption and the restructure of FDI by sector from source countries to ASEAN countries.
- + Two relationships (*FDI inflow and corruption; and FDI by economic sector and corruption*) were estimated through 3 equations:
 - OLS model: $\ln(Y)_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Control of Corruption}_{it} + \beta_S X_{i,t-1} + u_{i,t}$ (1)
 - Specification model with FE and macro-indicators as control variables:

$$\ln(Y)_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Control of Corruption}_{it} + \beta_S X_{i,t-1} + \gamma D_i + \delta T_t + u_{i,t} \quad (2)$$

- INST is added into FE model to clarify the effectiveness of the institution and control of corruption:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(Y)_{it} = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Control of Corruption}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{INST}_{it} + \beta_S X_{i,t-1} + \gamma D_i + \delta T_t \\ & + u_{i,t} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$





Methodology (cont.)

Ident variables used in all 3 models:

$\frac{FDIinflow}{totalPopulation}$; and $\frac{FDIbysector}{FDIinflow}$

on	Description	Control for	Expected sign
	GDP per Capita	Market size	(+)
	GDP growth	Market size	(+)
	Population growth	Market potential	(+)
	Secondary school enrolment	Quality of human capital	(+)
	Inflation	Economic stability	(-)
	FDI stocks as a percentage of GDP in the host country	Agglomeration effect	(+)
	The government effectiveness	Effectiveness of Institution	(+)
	Rule of law	Quality of institution	(+)
	Political Stability, No Violence	Political Stability	(+)
	Openness	Freedom of trade and service	(+)

