Remittances and their potential for savings in countries of origin – Armenia and Tajikistan

Expert Group Meeting on Strengthening Capacities for Migration Management in Central Asia,

UN ESCAP

Bangkok, September 20-21, 2010

Nilim Baruah, ILO

Migration and Development

Driving Forces

Push Factors
Pull Factors
Inter-country networks

Migration

Development Impact

Countries of Origin

Financial transfers
Skills and technology transfer
Brain-drain

Countries of Destination

Filling labour shortages Public Finances Wages & Employment

Policies/strategies

Overseas employment
Enhancing the development
impact of remittances
Mitigating the adverse effects of
brain-drain
Return of qualified
Engaging the diaspora

International Cooperation

Policies/strategies

Assessing migration needs
Admission policies
Post admission policies

Diaspora organizations

1. Introduction

- USD 316 billion remitted to developing countries in 2009 (World Bank). Down 6% from 2008 due to the global economic slow down
- The World Bank has published the following estimates for inward remittance flows (mainly but not only from Russia) in 2009:

	USD millions (2009)	As share of GDP (2008)
Armenia	729	8.9%
Azerbaijan	1243	3.4%
Kyrgyzstan	882	28%
Moldova	1211	31%
Tajikistan	1747	50%

- Compared to 2008 there is a fall in remittances to the above countries by about 30%.

- Remittances costs generally low in the region
- Stakeholders remittance sender and receiver; private funds
- Three main issues around remittances services; development impact; data.
- Costs and Benefits of remittances

2. Results of ILO-EU Studies in the Region (Armenia and Tajikistan)

2.1 Armenia (survey of 1000 migrant families and banks/MFIs - 2008)

- 73% of migrants in Russia; 8% in United States; 4% in Ukraine
- 25% women and 75% men; 55% of migrants in 26-45 age group
- Seasonal migrants: 22%; Absent for two years and more with several visits-39%
- 71% of migrants receive remittances
- 80% HHs who have migrants abroad receive remittances at least quarterly (20% monthly)
- 82% of remittances is spent on current consumption

Savings

- Savings from remittances 8% (mostly for special events) represents a large sum
- However little savings are kept in banks
- 14% of respondents have an active bank account
- 3% of HHs who save keep a portion in banks
- Reasons: Habit, mistrust of banks, amount too small
- Awareness of available savings schemes: 27%
- Awareness greater among account holders: 60%

Remittance backed products (UNIBANK)

- Debt servicing from remittances
- Overdraft facility (upto USD 500 for regular remittance receivers)
- Direct payment from remittances for utility bills and the other municipal payments
- Smart card for transfers

Some banks use remittance history as a criteria for lending (secondary source of income of client) and to promote deposits



n (survey of 1267 migrant families and s-2009)

untry – Russia (99% of migrants) % of migrants between 25-45 years old

n – labourers and construction

ants – 34%; Absent for two years and more with -35%

ts receive remittances

o have migrants abroad receive remittances at y (and 20% monthly)

remittance channels (MTOs and Banks) emittances account for >50% of income nces for immediate consumption needs