

APRIL 2022

EMERGING TRENDS IN CENSUS APPROACHES IN ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

with country examples

This report is issued without formal editing. Views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect that of Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) or any United Nations agencies.

For more information, please contact:

Statistics Division

Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP)

United Nations Building, Rajadamnern Nok Avenue, Bangkok 10200, Thailand

Email: stat.unescap@un.org

Website: <https://www.unescap.org/our-work/statistics>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report has been prepared by Stein Terje Vikan, an ESCAP Statistics Division consultant on population and housing censuses. The report is part of the Bloomberg Philanthropies Data for Health Initiative (D4H) Project: “Supporting improvements to civil registration and vital statistics (CRVS) systems in Asia and the Pacific (Phase III)”.

The work has been overseen by Petra Nahmias and Afsaneh Yazdani, from ESCAP Statistics Division. The author is grateful for their invaluable input and comments throughout the work of preparing this report. Panita Rattanakittiaporn and Nasikarn Nitiprapathananun from ESCAP have also provided excellent administrative support.

This report would not have been possible without invaluable contributions and generous support from Seiffe Tadesse, Meryem Demirci and Srdjan Mrkic from United Nations Statistics Division, Alison Culpin and Michael Sharp from the Pacific Community, Gloria Mathenge, currently at the Economic Commission for Africa but formerly the Pacific Community, as well as National Statistical Offices of Armenia (Karine Kuyumjyan), Australia (Ross Watmuff, Gemma Van Halderen, and Georgia Chapman), Indonesia (Ali Said, Nashrul Wajdi and Diah Ikawati), Mongolia (Batchuluun Dorj), Republic of Korea (Kang Jeong-hee, and Byungsik Lee), Thailand (Hataichanok Puckcharern), and Turkey (Mehmet Doğu Karakaya, Metin Aytaç, Mehmet Şaban Ucari, Alper Acar, Önder Değirmenci, and Osman Orhanlı).

Whilst the support from these colleagues is recognized, any inaccuracies in the description of census operations in these countries remain those of the author.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	I
Contents	II
Abbreviations and acronyms	IV
Chapter 1: Introduction – Global census trends	1
1.1 Traditional censuses	1
1.2 Emerging challenges for traditional censuses	2
1.3 Alternatives to the traditional census approach	3
1.3.1 Register-based census	3
1.3.2 The combined census	5
Chapter 2: Census in the Asia-Pacific region	8
2.1 Trends in the Asia-Pacific region	8
2.1.1 Impact of COVID-19 on the 2020 census round	10
2.2 Census approaches in Asia and the Pacific for the 2020 round	13
Chapter 3: Country case studies	15
3.1 Australia – Using administrative data to support census operation	15
3.1.1 Introduction of the use of administrative data for the 2016 Census	15
3.1.2 Expansion of the use of administrative data for the 2021 Census	16
3.1.3 Data privacy	18
3.1.4 Comparing census data with administrative data	19
3.1.5 Conclusions	20
3.2 Indonesia – Using administrative data for pre-listing households	21
3.2.1 Legislative changes	21
3.2.2 The Population Registration System	21
3.2.3 The 2020 Census – introducing administrative data and new technologies	22
3.2.4 Towards a combined approach	24
3.3 Republic of Korea – Introducing a combined census approach	25
3.3.1 Existence of administrative data sources	25
3.3.2 Comparative study of administrative data and census data	26
3.3.3 Matching between administrative data sources and between administrative and census data	27

3.3.4	Personal data protection is key	28
3.3.5	The 2020 Census and beyond	28
3.4	Turkey – A planned transformation towards a register-based census	30
3.4.1	The establishment of the address-based population registration system	30
3.4.2	The 2011 Census – Introducing the combined approach	31
3.4.3	Key drivers in the use of administrative data for census in Turkey	31
3.4.4	Expanding the use of register data for the 2021 Census	32
3.4.5	Quality control an important aspect in introducing new register data	33
3.4.6	Conclusion	33
Chapter 4:	Brief country examples	35
4.1	Afghanistan – A hybrid census to meet the need for population data	35
4.2	Armenia – Transition from traditional to combined census	36
4.3	Mongolia – Transitioning towards a combined census	37
4.4	New Zealand – Census transformation programme	37
4.5	Thailand – Piloting the use of register data to support the census	38
4.6	The Pacific Island Countries and Territories – Increasing interest in administrative data	39
Chapter 5:	Conclusions and recommendations	40
5.1	Conclusions	40
5.2	Recommendations	42
Annexure		44

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ABPRS	Address Based Population Registration System
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
Armstat	Statistical Committee of the Republic of Armenia
BMIS	Border Management Information System
CAPI	Computer-Assisted Personal Interview
CATI	Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview
CAWI	Computer-Assisted Web Interview
COVID-19	coronavirus disease 2019
DOPU	Drop-Off/Pick-Up
ENEAS	East and North-East Asia subregion
ESCAP	Economic and Social Commission of Asia and the Pacific
GPS	Global Positioning System
KOSTAT	Statistics Korea
NAD	National Address Database
NCA	North and Central Asia subregion
NSO	National Statistical Office
PAC	Pacific subregion
PAPI	Paper Assisted Personal Interview
PHRD	Population and Household Registration Database
RRR	Resident Registration Register
SEA	South-East Asia subregion
SPC	Pacific Community
SSWA	South and South-West Asia subregion
Statistics Indonesia	Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS - Statistics Indonesia)
Stats NZ	Statistics New Zealand
TurkStat	Turkish Statistical Institute
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
UNSD	United Nations Statistical Division

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION – GLOBAL CENSUS TRENDS

A population census has been a long-standing central responsibility of a National Statistical Office (NSO). Its scope and objectives have remained very similar over time; however, aspects of its methodology have evolved as technology has developed and demands have changed.

The main aim of a population and housing census is to fully enumerate a country's population and housing conditions to provide detailed demographic and socio-economic data at highly disaggregated geographical levels and for subpopulations. They provide a primary source of data needed for formulating, implementing, and monitoring a range of policies and programmes within a country, and comparing between countries.¹ In recent decades, both national and international frameworks for development, such as the Millennium Development Goals and the subsequent Sustainable Development Goals, have all increased the need for more comprehensive and granular demographic and socio-economic data to monitor the progress towards these goals. In this context, population and housing censuses play a crucial role in providing necessary disaggregated data for assessing the situation of people on a range of indicators. The level of disaggregation, especially geographically but also for smaller population groups, distinguish a census from what is possible through a survey.

1.1 Traditional censuses

A traditional census, in principle, entails canvassing the entire country, reaching every single household, and collecting information on all individuals within a brief stipulated period of time. Previously, this entailed visiting each household in the country and posing questions to the respondents from a census questionnaire. Respondents would sometimes be given the option of returning the questionnaire through mail. The information collected would be captured on paper questionnaires for later processing by the census team. Often, the census team would have to conduct a mapping exercise prior to the data collection phase in order to demarcate enumeration areas on maps. For both the mapping and data collection phases, NSO conducting the census normally must hire a large number of extra staff. While the data collection operation would normally be restricted to a few weeks, with a clear reference date for the information, the actual data processing could take years. Therefore, the final publication of the census results could be relatively late compared to the reference date.

Several trends over the last decades have impacted how censuses are conducted. While many countries still conduct what would be labelled a “traditional” census, advances in technology

¹ See <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic-social/census>.

have enabled accelerating the collection and processing of census data whilst reducing the significant costs of the census operation.²

One such change was the introduction of Optical Character Recognition, which enabled paper questionnaires to be read by a scanner. While this reduced the data entry time significantly, it still required data to be captured on paper (Paper Assisted Personal Interview or PAPI) and some, albeit fewer, manual check-ups. With the ever-evolving development of computers, especially the introduction of laptops and hand-held devices, the possibility to capture data electronically emerged in the late 1900s and early 2000s. Later on, the introduction of smart phones and tablets escalated the possibilities for rapid data collection. These technologies significantly changed data collection by enabling electronic data entry directly in the field and eliminating the stage of entering data from paper questionnaires into a computer for processing. They also reduced (but did not eliminate) the need for manual check-ups. This approach was labelled Computer-Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI). Another version of this was Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI). Using telephone interviews to collect data from households having a telephone, limited the need for field visits to those who could not be reached by phone.

The spread of the internet made it possible to administer questionnaires to households and individuals without physically visiting them. While not everyone has access to the internet or is able or willing for various reasons to submit a questionnaire through the internet, this approach could significantly reduce the need for field visits or the duration of field operation. It also reduced the need to hire enumeration staff, as the respondents filled in the questionnaire themselves. This approach is labelled Computer-Assisted Web Interviewing (CAWI).

All these technologies or approaches made carrying out a census simpler, more cost-effective, and timelier. However, they did not alter a fundamental aspect of a census that everyone in a population had to provide information through a census questionnaire. This means that such data collection approaches are still considered “traditional”, even if they have vastly improved the efficiency of carrying out a census.

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_30916

