













# A RESEARCH AGENDA TO STRENGTHEN EVIDENCE GENERATION AND UTILISATION TO ACCELERATE THE ELIMINATION OF FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION

#### **Dennis Matanda**

Population Council, Kenya

#### **Esther Lwanga Walgwe**

Population Council, Kenya

Suggested citation: Matanda Dennis and Lwanga-Walgwe Esther (2022). A Research Agenda to Strengthen Evidence Generation and Utilisation to Accelerate the Elimination of Female Genital Mutilation. UNFPA, UNICEF, WHO and Population Council, Kenya.

Front cover: © UNICEF/UN0306524/Abdul





The development of this global research agenda benefitted immensely from the input of key experts working on FGM from the global north and south (see Annex 1 for names and organisations). We are grateful for the expertise and support provided by the global reference group that brought together representatives of the UN agencies working on FGM. This included Nankali Maksud, Claudia Cappa, Harriet Akullu, Colleen Murray, Zahrah Nesbitt-Ahmed, Stephanie Baric and Yasmine Sinkhada from UNICEF; Nafissatou J. Diop, Mireille Tushiminina, Berhanu Legesse, and Thierno Diouf from UNFPA; and Christina Pallitto and Wisal Ahmed of WHO and HRP (the UNDP-UNFPA-UNICEF-WHO-World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction, a cosponsored programme executed by WHO). In addition, we greatly appreciate the intellectual and editorial support given by Chi-Chi Undie and Francis Obare of Population Council, and Jacinta Muteshi of Options Consultancy Services Limited. We acknowledge the editorial support provided by Green Ink and the design support provided by Blossom.

The development of this research agenda was funded through the UNFPA-UNICEF Joint Programme on the Elimination of Female Genital Mutilation: Delivering the Global Promise, which is generously supported by the Governments of Austria, France, Iceland, Italy, Luxembourg, Norway, AECID (Spain), Sweden, United Kingdom, United States of America, and the European Union.

## **FOREWORD**

Evidence from nationally representative surveys shows that a girl today is about one third less likely to be subjected to female genital mutilation (FGM) compared with 30 years ago. In addition, data from 31 countries with a history of this practice indicate that FGM has dropped by one quarter in the last 20 years. Nonetheless, rapid population growth, coupled with ongoing insecurity and humanitarian crises (including the COVID-19 pandemic) in Africa and the Middle East threatens to roll back progress. Other noted threats include medicalization of the practice and it being performed at an increasingly young age.

As the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) target of zero new cases of FGM by 2030 approaches, a focus on the utility of research for programming, policy development and resource allocation is critical. As part of the United Nations Member States' commitment to eliminating all harmful practices, including FGM, by 2030, the UNFPA–UNICEF Joint Programme on the Elimination of Female Genital Mutilation: Accelerating Change will be launching its Phase IV programme in 2022. For this phase intervention activities will focus on accelerating collective and multisectoral action by mobilizing a broad spectrum of actors across communities and at the national, regional and global level. This will go hand in hand with strengthening the mechanisms and capacities of actors and institutions to address discriminatory gender and social norms, advance gender equality and increase women's decision-making. Together, our ultimate goal is a world free from FGM and where every woman and girl has voice, choice and agency.

There must be investments in the generation and use of evidence to strengthen efforts to end FGM as we seek to design effective interventions to halt the practice. This global research agenda, developed by UNFPA, UNICEF, ,WHO and the Population Council, Kenya – in consultation with key stakeholders – will support and enable evidence-based programming. The agenda outlines the evidence gaps that need to be addressed and provides approaches to enable uptake and effective use of the evidence generated. It is our hope that this agenda will help fast-track the elimination of FGM by directing investments in this much needed research. It will also assist in narrowing the gap between research generation and uptake in programming, policy development/implementation and resource allocation at all levels for a multisectoral effort to accelerate achievement of SDG target 5.3.

Dr. Nafissatou Diop

Chief of Gender and Human Rights Branch, UNFPA

Dr. Soumya Swaminathan

Chief Scientist,

WHO

Mr. Cornelius Williams

Director of Child Protection, UNICEF

----

Dr. Beth Kangwana

Executive Director
Population Council, Kenya

## **ACRONYMS**

CHNRI Child Health and Nutrition Research Initiative

COVID-19 Coronavirus Disease 2019

Department for International Development

Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office

F6M Female Genital Mutilation

Joint Programme UNFPA-UNICEF Joint Programme on the Elimination of Female Genital

Mutilation: Delivering the Global Promise

NGO Non-Governmental Organisations

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)

Rapid Evidence Assessment

Sustainable Development Goals

SRHR Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights

UN United Nations

UNFPA United Nations Population Fund

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

WHO World Health Organisation

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	FOREWORD	4
	ACRONYMS	5
	INTRODUCTION	8
	Background	8
	Rationale for a Research Agenda to Address Evidence Gaps in FGM Programming	g
	Purpose, Scope and Audience for this Agenda	10
	METHODOLOGY AND PROCESS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE AGENDA	12
	Rapid Evidence Assessment	12
	Consultative and Consensus-Building Processes	13
	Global Reference Group	13
	Importance and Strength of the Approach	14
兴	THE STATE OF EVIDENCE ON INTERVENTIONS TO ADDRESS FGM	16
	Taking Stock of Existing Evidence on Programming for FGM	16
	What Works and What Doesn't	17
	STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE PROGRAMME EFFECTIVENESS	20
	Identification of Research Gaps and Possible Research Questions	20
	Prioritisation and Ranking of Research Questions	23
	Top 10 Prioritised Research Questions	24
	CONDUCTING RESEARCH ON FGM PROGRAMMING	27
	Linking Programme Monitoring, Evaluation Objectives and Research	
	to Document Progress	28
	ENABLING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THIS RESEARCH AGENDA	33
	CITATIONS	36
	ANNEXES	38
500	UIIIIEVEA	



# INTRODUCTION



- Background
- Rationale for a Research Agenda to Address Evidence Gaps in FGM Programming
- · Purpose, Scope, and Audience for this Agenda

### **Background**

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has classified female genital mutilation (FGM) into four broad categories: FGM Type I, also called clitoridectomy (partial or total removal of the clitoral glans and/or the prepuce); FGM Type II, also called excision (partial or total removal of the clitoral glans and labia minora, with or without excision of the labia majora); FGM Type III, also called infibulation (narrowing of the vaginal orifice by cutting and bringing together the labia minora and/or the labia majora to create a type of seal, with or without excision of the clitoris; in most instances, the cut edges of the labia are stitched together); and FGM Type IV, which includes all other harmful procedures to the female genitalia for non-medical purposes, such as pricking, piercing, incising, scraping and cauterisation (Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights [OHCHR] et al 2008).

More than 200 million girls and women alive today have undergone FGM in 31 countries with nationally representative data in Africa, the Middle East and Asia (UNICEF 2020). This is most likely an under-representation as FGM may be present in more than 90 countries globally (Cappa, Van Baelen, and Leye 2019). While girls are one third less likely today to undergo the harmful practice than 30 years ago, rapid population growth in some of the world's poorest countries where FGM persists threatens to roll back

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\_31649

