Urban Urban Recovery Profiling Framework Toolbox

Analysis tools for urban profiling in crisis-affected cities.



DISCLAIMER

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat off the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or regarding its economic system or degree of development. The analysis, conclusions and recommendations of this document do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme, the Governing Council of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme or its Member States. References to names of firms and commercial products and processes does not imply their endorsement by the United Nations, and a failure to mention a particular firm, commercial product or process is not a sign of disapproval. Excerpts from the text may be reproduced without authorisation, on condition that the source is indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Overall project supervision: Dr. Erfan Ali.

Authors: Ivan Thung, Elaf Raslan and Nathalie Garner.

Contributors from UN-Habitat: Raniah Kamal, Nanor Karageozian, Pablo Fernandez

Maestre, Jonathan Weaver, Antara Tandon and Zeyad Elshakra. Contributors from iMMAP: Lina Nasereddin and Koen van Rossum.

The first review of the toolbox was conducted through the Regional Urban Profiling workshop in Cairo in 2019, with

feedback received from: Tommaso Cossu, Babar Mumtaz, Ali Abhire, Yuka Terada (UN-Habitat), Isis Nunez (JIPS), Margarita Kondratovic (iMMAP) and Tom Leiermann (UNESCO). In the second review, Stephanie Loose (UN-Habitat)

provided feedback.

This toolbox was made possible thanks to support of country offices within UN-Habitat's Regional Office for Arab States and UN-Habitat headquarters in Nairobi – including the Somalia Programme and the Urban Planning and Design Lab, iMMAP and JIPS.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This document was prepared with the generous support of the 11th Tranche of the Development Account (DA 1819AX), in the context of the project: "Urban profiling for post-crisis stabilization, recovery and reconstruction in conflict-affected cities in the Arab region", cooperating with ESCWA.

UN®HABITAT

URBAN RECOVERY ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK FOR URBAN PROFILING IN CONFLICT-AFFECTED CITIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	8
Urban Recovery Analysis Framework	
Context Analysis	0
Housing	
Economy	
Infrastructure and Services	
Governance	
Civil Society	
Environment	
Cultural Heritage	
Urban Profiling	24
Urban Profiling Toolbox	
Overview	
Survey-based Tools	
#1 Neighbourhood Socio-economic Household Survey	
#2 Inventory of Damage and Operational Capacity through KIIs	
#3 Neighbourhood Profiling Field Assessments	
#4 Neighbourhood Profiling Household Surveys	
Participation Tools	
#5 Conflict and Stakeholder Analysis	
#6 Inventory of Urban Services at the Neighbourhood Level (City Cahier)	
#7 Urban Functionality Index	
#8 Community Based Planning for Rapid Urban Profiling	
#9 Neighbourhood Profiling Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)	
#10 Neighbourhood Profiling Key Informant Interviews (KIIs)	
GIS-based Tools	
#11 Satellite Damage Assessment	
#12 Regional Spatial Analysis of Refugee Settlements	
#13 Accessibility Analysis	
#14 Building Counts with Satellite Imagery#15 Nightlight Analysis for Economic Recovery Analysis	
#15 NDVI Analysis for Economic Recovery Analysis	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. Pillars of the Urban Recovery Framework	8
FIGURE 2. UN-Habitat urban profiles and an urban data platform	25
FIGURE 3. Five key models of urban profiles implemented in the region	26
FIGURE 4. Social economic results in 7-Kilo informal settlement. Data source: field survey	30
FIGURE 5. Socio economic results in Qibla and Tanak informal settlements. Data source: field survey	30
FIGURE 6. Services and property conditions for the three pilot locations.	30
FIGURE 7. Data source: field survey	30
FIGURE 8. Problems affecting communications by district. Source: KI Survey, June/July 2019	32
FIGURE 9. Garbage management availability per district. Source: KI and CFP Surveys, June/July 2019.	32
FIGURE 10. School attendance rates per district. Source: KI Survey, June/July 2019.	32
FIGURE 11. Structural building condition	34
FIGURE 12. Building cluster uses and landmarks in Old Saida, based on the results of a field survey	36
FIGURE 13. Conditions of building clusters	36
FIGURE 14. Frequency of disputes and how they are resolved	39
FIGURE 15. Awareness about, usage of and satisfaction with health services	39
FIGURE 16. Key figures on residential units, housing issues and overcrowding	39
FIGURE 17. Unfurnished rental occupancy reported by surveyed households	39
FIGURE 18. Raqqa stakeholder and conflict mapping exercise	42
FIGURE 19. Dar'a City Recovery Plan and intervention priorities by area	45
FIGURE 20. Rapid assessment form for buildings and house extensions	45
FIGURE 21. The response continuum	48
FIGURE 22. Response continuum UFI score by neighbourhood	48
FIGURE 23. Community consultation and urban profiling process	50
FIGURE 24. Basic urban services map, Kismayo Urban Profile	50
FIGURE 25. Prioritised strategies and projects in Adale (2018-2019)	50
FIGURE 26. Proposed interventions as per CAP, Hudur	50
FIGURE 27. Different types of FGDs	51
FIGURE 28. Reported unsafe areas within and immediately bordering the neighbourhood	52
FIGURE 29. Information on health facilities	54
FIGURE 30. Service provision in interviewed health facilities by type	54
FIGURE 31. Service provision in interviewed health facilities by medical specialty	54
FIGURE 32. Damage assessment Old City Mosul (drone imagery)	57
FIGURE 33. Bi-weekly damage assessments (in-house analysis)	57
FIGURE 34. Yemen damage assessment (partner: UNOSAT)	57
FIGURE 35. Number of damaged structures in Gaza	58
FIGURE 36. Official planning documents relevant at different scales	60
FIGURE 37. Urban and Spatial Analysis: Growth Trends (in relation to planned infrastructure)	60
FIGURE 38. Development Opportunities	60
FIGURE 39. Development Scenarios	60
FIGURE 40. Example of Current Conditions: Current land-use allocation in Madinah	62

UN®HABITAT

LIST OF FIGURES CONTINUED

FIGURE 41. Analysis example: 5- and 10-minute walking distance to public transportation stops (Medinah)	6
FIGURE 42. Access to jobs in current scenario in Medinah	6:
FIGURE 43. Access to jobs in proposed scenario in Medinah	6:
FIGURE 44. Number of informal structures in Basra	64
FIGURE 45. Informal constructions in Basra	64
FIGURE 46. Total nightlight output - Median 2018	6
FIGURE 47. Nightlight output Aden over time	6
FIGURE 48. Total nightlight output - Percentage change to 2014 baseline	6
FIGURE 49. Nightlight output change	6
FIGURE 50. Vegetated canopy in Raqqa region. Source: Joint Research Center of the European Commission (2018)	6
FIGURE 51. NDVI representation and impact on the region's agriculture. Source: Joint Research Center of the European Commission (2018)	6
FIGURE 52. Geographical zones in Yemen. Marib is located on the border of the highlands and the desert zone.	6
FIGURE 53. NDVI analysis Marib region	69
FIGURE 54. NDVI analysis results	69

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BHS Baseline Household Survey
CAF Common Analysis Framework

CAP Community Action Plan

CBO Community Based Organization
CBP Community Based Planning
CFT Core Facilitation Team

CMWO Coastal Municipalities Water Utility of Gaza

DNA Detailed Needs Assessment FGDs Focus Group Discussions GBV Gender-Based Violence

GIS Geographic Information Systems

HH Household

HLP Housing, Land and Property Rights
HRP Humanitarian Response Plan
IDPs Internally Displaced Persons

iMMAP Information Management and Mine Action Program (I)NGO International Non-Governmental Organization

JIPS Joint IDP Profiling Service

KI Key Informant

KIIs Key Informant Interviews
MICS Multi Indicator Cluster Survey

MoLAE Ministry of Local Administration and Environment NCEI National Centers for Environmental Integration

NDVI Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

OCHA United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs

PCBS Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics
PDNA Post Disaster Needs Assessment
PHCCs Primary Health Care Centres
ROAS Regional Office For Arab States

RPS Rapid Planning Studio
SDCs Social Development Centres

SME Small and medium-sized enterprises

UFI Urban Functionality Index

UNDAF United Nations Development Assistance Framework

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

UXOs Unexploded Ordnances

UNRWA United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East

WASH Water, Sanitation and Hygiene

4Ws Who is Where, When, doing What (in terms of humanitarian actors)

8 Urban Profiling Toolbox

INTRODUCTION

URBAN RECOVERY FRAMEWORK

Urban profiling is a methodology implemented in various conflict-affected countries in the region. Through urban profiles, UN-Habitat seeks to provide up to date, holistic documentation and analysis of the impact of the crisis in key cities, synthesising information and insight from existing sources and priority sectors, supplemented by direct field research by UN-Habitat teams based in each city. This document has been developed with the goal to assist practicioners in implementing urban profiles in conflict-affected countries. It consists of two parts: an analysis toolbox and an analysis framework.

The Urban Recovery Analysis Framework has been developed to support the development of an urban profile through a shared set of research questions that examine:

- 1) How conflict has affected the city;
- 2) What the relief phase challenges are and how they will impact the development phase;
- **3)** What strategic areas or projects require attention in the short-medium timespan.

negotiation position of local government towards central government and humanitarian/development actors.

DESIGN OF THE FRAMEWORK

This analysis framework has been developed with the understanding that different cities can differ greatly in terms of size and density; whether they might be fragile or in a state of conflict; by the drivers of conflict that may be present; and by the development challenges they face. Due to these varying factors, this framework is not a comprehensive methodology, nor does it provide a step-by-step process for implementing an urban profile. Rather, it provides a starting point, tools and learning for practitioners faced with implementing a profile on the ground. Furthermore, this framework takes into consideration the recommendations put forward in the paper entitled "Urban Profiling For Better Responses To Humanitarian Crises" (http://urbancrises.org/wpcontent/uploads/2019/02/1.-Urban-Profiling-For-Better-Responses-to-Humanitarian-Crises-1.pdf)

The urban profiles developed under this framework should attempt to address the majority of its primary

Tools to support the implementation of profiles:

A 'toolbox' is attached to this framework. It contains the necessary guidance for analyses that have been implemented in previous urban profiles.

Alignment with existing frameworks:

The frameworks pillars are based on the ongoing work on Urban Recovery Frameworks, currently being developed within UN-Habitat's Regional Office for Arab States (ROAS), due to its extensive urban profiling experience in the region, including in Syria, Libya and Yemen. A regional approach was initiated to learn from similar contexts in the region.

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_17574



