









END OF PROJECT EVALUATION

WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE IN DISASTER PRONE COMMUNITIES PROGRAMME IN NORTHERN GHANA









This report is available from http://www.unhabitat.org/evaluation First published in Nairobi in September 2018 by UN-Habitat Copyright © United Nations Human Settlements Programme 2018

Produced by the Evaluation Unit

United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) P. O. Box 30030, 00100 Nairobi GPO KENYA Tel: +254-020-7623120 (Central Office) www.unhabitat.org

HSNumber: HS/091/E

DISCLAIMER

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers of boundaries. Views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme, other UN agencies, the United Nations, or its Member States. Excerpts may be reproduced without authorization, on condition that the source is indicated.

Acknowledgements

Authors: Tom de Veer and Nicholas N.M Garibie

Design and Layout: Euclide Namema

Front cover photo: New borehole with raised platform next to a school in Pusiga district

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACF	ONYN	MS AND ABBREVIATIONS	IV
EXE	CUTIV	/E SUMMARY	VI
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Intervention background and context	
	1.2	Mandate of the evaluation	
	1.3	Purpose, objective and scope of the evaluation	2
	1.4	Intended users of the evaluation results	
	1.5	Outline of the report	3
2	OVE	RVIEW OF THE PROGRAMME	4
	2.1	Main characteristics of the WASH in DPC programme	4
		2.1.1 Background and development	4
		2.1.2 Theory of change	4
		2.1.3 Implementation strategy and key assumptions and risks	6
	2.2	Budget of the programme	7
	2.3	Roles and contributions of stakeholders	8
	2.4	Progress and key outputs of the programme	9
	2.5	Justification of the final evaluation	10
3	EVA	LUATION APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	11
	3.1	Approach	11
		3.1.1 General approach	11
		3.1.2 Approach on cross-cutting issues	11
	3.2	Methodology	12
		3.2.1 Desk review of documents	12
		3.2.2 Selection of communities	12
		3.2.3 Evaluation methods at programme level	12
		3.2.4 Evaluation methods at field level	12
		3.2.5 Method for the analysis of the data and production of the final report	13
	3.3	Limitations to the evaluation	14
4	MA	IN FINDINGS	15
	4.1	Achievements – outcomes	15
	4.2	Output level achievements – region	20
	4.3	Output level achievements – district	21
	4.4	Output level achievements – community	22
		4.4.1 Traditional household latrines	26
		4.4.2 Improved household latrines	26
		4.4.3 Mechanized boreholes	26
		4.4.4 New boreholes with hand pumps	26

		4.4.5 Rehabilitated boreholes with hand pumps	27
		4.4.6 School toilets	27
		4.4.7 CLTS	27
		4.4.8 VSLA	28
		4.4.9 School health clubs and schools with WASH 0&M	28
		4.4.10 WSMTs, trained artisans and other software items	29
	4.5	Programme performance	29
		4.5.1 Relevance	29
		4.5.2 Relevance at district level	30
		4.5.3 Effectiveness	30
		4.5.4 Effectiveness at district level	31
		4.5.5 Effectiveness of key outputs	32
		4.5.6 Efficiency	43
		4.5.7 Impact	45
		4.5.8 Impact at district level	45
		4.5.9 Sustainability	45
		4.5.10 Sustainability at district level	46
		4.5.11 Sustainability at output level	47
		4.5.12 Coherence, programme implementation approach, and reporting	54
		4.5.13 Cross-cutting issues	56
		4.5.14 Visibility	56
	4.6	Performance of the PUNOs	57
5	EVAL	UATIVE CONCLUSIONS	60
	5.1	Programme achievements	60
		5.1.1 Programme objective	60
		5.1.2 Programme outcomes level	62
		5.1.3 Programme outputs level	
	5.2	Performance of the PUNOs and programme organization	64
	5.3	Programme design and approach	65
6	LESS	ONS LEARNED	66
7	RECO	OMMENDATIONS	68
ANN	EX 1:	Ferms of reference	70
ANN	EX 2:	Fravel and activities schedule	86
ANN	EX 3: I	List of persons interviewed	89
ANN	EX 4:	Bibliography	92
ANN	EX 5:	Options for sustainable wash infrastructure in rural areas in northern ghana	93
ANN	EX 6:	Vlaking programmes more successful	95

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Outcome levels of the programme	5
Table 2: Programme budget	7
Table 3: Operational costs by outcomes	7
Table 4: Achievement of the programme's outcomes	16
Table 5: Water facilities set-up in three regions	20
Table 6: Capacity building of regional officials	20
Table 7: District level outputs delivered	21
Table 8: Outputs delivered at district level by indicators	22
Table 9: Community level outputs delivered	23
Table 10: Outputs delivered at community level by indicators	23
Table 11: Effectiveness rating of traditional household latrines	32
Table 12: Effectiveness rating of improved household latrines	34
Table 13: Effectiveness rating of mechanized boreholes	34
Table 14: Effectiveness rating of new boreholes with hand pumps	36
Table 15: Effectiveness rating of rehabilitated boreholes with hand pumps	37
Table 16: Effectiveness rating of school toilets	39
Table 17: Effectiveness rating of CLTS	42
Table 18: Effectiveness rating of VSLA	42
Table 19: Cost by programme part	44
Table 20: Sustainability rating of traditional household latrines	47
Table 21: Sustainability rating of improved household latrines	47
Table 22: Sustainability rating of mechanized boreholes	48
Table 23: Sustainability rating of new boreholes with hand pumps	49
Table 24: Sustainability rating of rehabilitated boreholes with hand pumps	50
Table 25: Sustainability rating of school toilets	51
Table 26: Sustainability rating of CLTS	52
Table 27: Sustainability rating of VSLA	53
Table 28: Performance of the PUNOs	58

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CBO Community-based organization

CLTS Community Led Total Sanitation

CWSA Community Water and Sanitation Agency

DA Rep Representative of the District Assembly

DEHO District Environmental Health Officer

DPC Disaster Prone Community

DRR Disaster Risk Reduction

DTT District Technical Team

DWSMT District Water and Sanitation Management Team

FGD Focus Group Discussion

GES Ghana Education Service

GH¢ Ghana cedi

GoG Government of Ghana

Lpppd litres per person per day

MDA Ministries, Departments and Agencies

MEP Minimum Evaluation Procedure

MMDA Metropolitan Municipal and District Assemblies

NADMO National Disaster Management Organization

NR Northern Region

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_18291



