PEER REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF UN-HABITAT'S MEDIUM-TERM STRATEGIC AND INSTITUTIONAL PLAN (2008–2013)





PEER REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF

UN-HABITAT'S MEDIUM-TERM STRATEGIC AND INSTITUTIONAL PLAN (2008–2013)



Copyright © United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-HABITAT) January 2011

All rights reserved United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-HABITAT)

P.O. Box 30030, GPO Nairobi 00100, Kenya

Tel: +254-20-7623120

Fax: +254-20-7623477/4266/4267

E-mail: Habitat.Publications@unhabitat.org

Website: http://www.unhabitat.org/

HS/037/11

DISCLAIMER

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or regarding its economic system or degree of development. The analysis, conclusions, and recommendations of this report do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme or its Governing Council.

Author: Peer Review Panel

Editor: UNON Conference Services Design and Layout: Irene Juma

Printing: UNON/Publishing Services Section/Nairobi

FOREWORD

This report presents the findings of the Peer Review of the implementation of UN-HABITAT's Mediumterm Strategic and Institutional Plan (MTSIP) for 2008-2013. The review was in response to Governing Council resolution 21/2, adopted at its twentieth session in 2007, which requested the Executive Director to establish a peer review process for regular assessment of the progress in the implementation of the MTSIP. The review was conducted by an independent review panel of nine members, established by the Committee of Permanent Representatives (CPR). The nine members included two external international evaluators, a representative of Habitat Agenda partners, two professional evaluators from a peer organization (UNEP) and four representatives of the CPR (Germany, Spain, Uganda and Republic of Korea).

The MTSIP is implemented in four phases: this review focused on assessment of the implementation of the first phase, 2008-2009. The central questions for the Peer Review were:

Whether UN-HABITAT has become more strategic with a sharper focus; and whether UN-HABITAT has become more efficient and effective in its operations after two years of the MTSIP implementation.

The review provides a comprehensive assessment of the progress made, focusing on strategic and programmatic aspects; organizational structure and alignment; programme planning and review process; business processes; and resource mobilization.

From the report, it is evident that the MTSIP has introduced positive developments, including a stronger

common vision for the organization; enhanced enthusiasm and commitment among staff; reduced internal barriers; and improved collaboration and greater focus on shared results. The MTSIP process has strengthened normative and operational linkages at the global, regional and country levels. The Peer Review also reveals areas where progress has been slow and some organizational constraints that should be addressed, specifically business processes, resource mobilization and organizational restructuring.

Findings, lessons learned and the recommendations were discussed by UN-HABITAT's Management and the CPR. A management response was agreed to implement most of the recommendations.

On behalf of the Peer Review Panel, I would like to thank CPR representatives, donors and Habitat Agenda partners and all UN-HABITAT staff who, in one way or another, participated in or contributed to this review. I hope that UN-HABITAT's management, staff and governing bodies will make use of this peer review and its recommendations to improve the implementation of the MTSIP.

Ambassador Agnes Kadama Kalibbala,

Stanbala

Deputy Permanent Representative of Uganda to UNEP and UN-HABITAT Chairperson, Peer Review Panel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Members of the peer review panel		
Executive summary		1
A.	Purpose and approach	
В.	Key findings and conclusions	2
C.	Key recommendations and suggestions	5
D.	Lessons learned	6
Chapter 1:	Introduction	8
A.	Background	
В.	Purpose and objectives of the peer review	8
C.	Approach and focus	
D.	Methods and peer review panel	10
E.	Limitations	11
Chapter 2:	Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan: process and progress	
A.	Overview of the Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan	
В.	Mechanisms for implementation	13
C.	Progress and achievements in implementation of the	
	Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan	
D.	Progress in the five thematic focus areas	18
E.	Staff views on the Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan	20
Chapter 3:	Strategic, programmatic and results focus	22
A.	Policy/strategy papers	22
В.	Understanding results in results-based management	28
Chapter 4:	Organizational structure and programme alignment	
A.	Changing the formal organizational structure	32
B.	Regional coordination	36
C.	Programme planning and review structures and processes	38
Chapter 5:	Business processes and resource mobilization	45
A.	Business processes	45
В.	Resource mobilization	47
Chapter 6:	Conclusions, recommendations and lessons learned	51
A.	Conclusions	51
B.	Recommendations	56
C.	Lessons learned	58
Annexes		
I.	Terms of reference	
II.	People interviewed	
III		
IV.	UN-HABITAT Organizational Chart	68

FIGURES, BOXES AND TABLES

FIGURE	S		
	Figure 1:	Schematic representation of UN-HABITAT planning process	39
	Figure 2:	Trends in donor contributions (2001–2009)	48
	Figure 3:	UN-HABITAT resource mobilization trends 2006–2009	
BOXES			
	Box 1:	Example – summary of progress with the Global Land Tool Network	21
	Box 2:	Example – summary of progress with experimental	
		reimbursable seeding operations	21
	Box 3:	Conceptualizing sustainable urbanization	24
	Box 4:	Gender and the Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan	29
	Box 5:	Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan at work:	
		Kenya's national land policy	30
	Box 6:	Possible taxonomy of roles	
TABLES	;		
	Table 1:	Summary of progress on "quick wins" and "must dos"	16
	Table 2:	Organizational issues raised by staff	
	Table 3:	Size of different parts of the organization	
	Table 4:	An overview of positions in UN-HABITAT	

MEMBERS OF THE PEER REVIEW PANEL

Ms. Agnes Kadama Kalibbala, Permanent Representative of Uganda to the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-HABITAT) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

- Ms. Arlette Klein, independent consultant, United States of America
- Mr. Bruno Garcia-Dobarco Gonzales, Deputy Permanent Representative of Spain to UN-HABITAT
- Mr. Jong-seon Jeong, Deputy Permanent Representative of the Republic of Korea to UNEP and UN-HABITAT
- Mr. Michael Spilsbury, Senior Evaluation Officer, Evaluation Office, UNEP
- Ms. Regine Hess, Deputy Permanent Representative of Germany to UNEP and UN-HABITAT
- Mr. Segbedzi Norgbey, Chief, Evaluation Office, UNEP
- Mr. Siraj Sait, Head of Research and Knowledge Exchange, Reader in Law and Human Rights, University of East London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Mr. Stein-Erik Kruse, independent consultant, Nordic Consulting Group, Norway

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?re