# MONITORING SECURITY OF TENURE IN CITIES

PEOPLE, LAND AND POLICIES











### Monitoring Security of Tenure in Cities: People, Land and Policies

Copyright © United Nations Human Settlements Programme

(UN-Habitat), 2011

HS Number: HS/130/11E

ISBN Number(Volume): 978-92-1-132415-0

Working Paper First Published in December 2011

### Disclaimer

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or regarding its economic system or degree of development. The analysis, conclusions and recommendations of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme or its Governing Council.

United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat)

P.O. Box 30030, Nairobi 00100, Kenya

Tel: +254 20 762 3120

Fax: +254 20 762 3477

www.unhabitat.org

Cover Photos © UN-Habitat

## Acknowledgements

Mohamed El-Sioufi provided substantive guidance in developing this methodology. The publication received useful inputs and comments from the following people: Dyfed Aubrey, Judy Baker, Tony Burns, Klaus Deininger, Alain Durand-Lasserve, Victor Endo, Chukwudozie Ezigbalike, Bahram Ghazi (OHCHR), Solomon Haile, Inge Jensen, Ruth Meinzen-Dick, Mark Napier, Maria Jose Olavarria, Jean du Plessis, Harris Selod, and Jennifer Witriol. Contributions from the participants in the Legal and Institutional Framework workshop in Nairobi, Kenya, (see Annex 2) and in Saó Paulo, Brazil, helped to improve the methodology presented in this report. Contributors to the GLTN e-discussion (from 24 November to 22 December 2011) further enriched this document.

Authors: Nefise Bazoglu, Remy Sietchiping, Gora Mboup, Clarissa Augustinus

Editing and layout: Isis Nunez Ferrera, Remy Sietchiping, Vicky Quinlan.

Sponsors: The Norwegian Government and Swedish International Development Cooperation (Sida-Sweden)

Printing: UNON, Publishing Services Section, Nairobi, ISO 14001:2004-certified.

## SECURITY OF TENURE IN CITIES

\_\_\_\_\_\_ PEOPLE, LAND AND POLICIES





## **FOREWORD**

It is well-recognized that secure land and property rights lead to reduced poverty, to economic development and to social stability. A robust tenure system can protect people from eviction and give parents the right to pass their land on to their children.

But in the 21st century, secure tenure is not a one-size-fits-all concept, and a range of different tenure arrangements apply to the millions of people around the world

in slums, those who live on city pavements, those who rent rooms, or who own their own homes.

So what does secure tenure mean? Current thinking focuses on a "continuum" of tenure security – a set of possible arrangements that are a response to the reality of being poor and living in a city. Included in this continuum are people with little or no security of tenure; they have no documents, no contracts and little protection under the law. They live under the constant threat of eviction. Alternatively, there are people who have a solid contract, the right documentation and laws in place that enforce their rights.

The concept of a continuum also allows that tenure security may be realized and measured at three different levels: individual households, communities and national levels. It also includes the possibility that there is more than one route to achieving tenure security.

Measuring security of tenure is a necessary step towards increasing that security and improving policies to manage it. It can be a complicated procedure because a tenure arrangement that is reasonably secure in one situation may be insecure in another. Secure tenure can include both formal and informal tenure arrangements, and it is also

the case that the people affected may underestimate or over-estimate their situation.

I believe that this publication presents an innovative method to measure tenure security. It draws on the experiences of development agencies and academics in developing cities. It also carries many examples of the way in which tenure can be mapped, assessed, evaluated and analysed.

It makes use of different types of surveys, national statistics and population censuses,

and incorporates the lessons learned from a case study conducted in São Paulo, Brazil.

Monitoring tenure security is an integral measurement instrument of the Millennium Development Goals. This UN-Habitat initiative will be of great use to all those striving to achieve these goals. An important tool in the battle against urban poverty and for better, smarter cities, it is a major contribution in our global drive for secure tenure for all.

Joan Clos

Under-Secretary-General, United Nations Executive Director, UN-Habitat

## **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

AfDB African Development Bank
AUC African Union Commission

DFID Department for International Development

EGM Expert group meeting

FIG International Federation of Surveyors

GLTN Global Land Tool Network
GUO Global Urban Observatory

IFAD International Fund for Agricultural Development

IIED International Institute for Environment and Development

ITDG International Technology and Development Group

LGAF Land Governance Assessment Framework

LIFI Legal and Institutional Framework Index

NSO National Statistical Office

OHCHR Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

UIS Urban Inequities Survey

UK United Kingdom
UN United Nations

UNCHS United Nations Center for Human Settlements
UNECA United Nations Economic Commission for Africa

UNECLAC United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean

UNFPA United Nations Population Fund
UNGA United Nations General Assembly

UN-Habitat United Nations Human Settlements Programme

UNIAEG United Nations Inter-agency Advisory and Expert Group

UNESCAP United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

UNESCWA United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia

USA United States of America

UNSD United Nations Statistical Division

WB World Bank

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

FOR	REWORD	III
LIST	OF ACRONYMS	iv
GLC	DSSARY OF TERMS	viii
EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	xiv
1 N	MONITORING SECURITY OF TENURE	1
	Monitoring security of tenure: from duality to tenure continuum	1
	The Millennium Declaration and UN-Habitat's mission	3
1.2.	The Millerman Declaration and GN-Habitat's mission	J
2. U	INDERSTANDING TENURE SECURITY	5
3. 0	OVERVIEW OF EXISTING MONITORING INITIATIVES	9
3.1.	Land Governance Assessment Framework	9
3.2.	Urban Growth Management Initiative and the monitoring of security of tenure	10
3.3.	The African Land Policy Initiative	10
3.4.	Urban Inequities Survey and Legal and Institutional Framework Index	11
3.5.	Millennium Development Goals, United Nations Interagency Advisory and Expert Group on MDG Indicators	13
4. T	HE PROPOSED SYSTEM FOR MONITORING SECURITY OF TENURE	15
4.1.	The indicators for reflecting tenure security in three domains: people, land and policies	15
4.2.	People: How to monitor tenure security of the people (household): (Micro-level analysis I)	16
	4.2.1. Rationale	17
	4.2.2. Policy implications and strategies to follow	18
4.3.	Land: How to monitor the settlement indicator: (Meso-level analysis)	22
	4.3.1. Rationale	22
	4.3.2. Method for large-scale land tenure pattern identification and land legal status	23
	4.3.3. Qualitative analysis	27
4.4.	Policies: How to monitor the city/country dynamics (macro-level analysis)	27
4 -	4.4.1. Option 3: Legal and Institutional Framework index	27
	Managing the sustainability of monitoring security of tenure	31
4.0.	Consolidating indicators at the three domains: people, land, policies (individual unit, settlement and city/country)	31
5. C	PPERATIONALISING THE TENURE SECURITY INDICATORS	35
5.1.	Type of document and tenure rights	36
5.2.	Evictions	37
	5.2.1. The rate of past evictions	37
	5.2.2. Fear of eviction	37
5.3.	Duration of use (dwelling, workplace)	38
5.4.	The rights index	39

6. SECUR	ITY OF TENURE IN SAO PAULO: A CASE STUDY	41
6.1. Type	of document	41
6.1.	1. The LIFI results reflecting city/country policies	41
6.1.	2. Household Survey results	42
6.1.	3. Contextual analysis results	49
6.2. Evict	tions in Saõ Paulo	49
6.2.	1 The LIFI results	50
6.2.	2 The household survey (SEADE) results	51
6.3. Dura	tion of residence	52
6.4 Policy	implications for São Paulo	53
7. CONC	LUSION	57
REFEREN	CES	59
ANNEX 1		61
ANNEX 2		63
BOXES		
Box 2.1.	Evolving definitions of tenure security	5
Box 2.2.	The tenure continuum	6
Box 3.1.	Security of tenure for dwelling or for land	11
Box 4.1.	Core questions for UIS	19
Box 4.2.	What questions to ask in a Population Census for estimating Indicator 1	22
Box 4.3.	Checklist for settlement qualitative assessments	28
Box 5.1	Definition of security of tenure	36
Box 5.2.	Perceived fear from evictions assessed within the context of the policy environment and the legal status of community land	37

FICURE

## 预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\_18884



