

INNOVATIVE URBAN TENURE IN THE PHILIPPINES

CHALLENGES, APPROACHES AND INSTITUTIONALIZATION



Innovative Urban Tenure in the Philippines:
Challenges, Approaches and Institutionalization

Copyright © United Nations Human Settlements Programme
(UN-HABITAT), 2011

HS/070/11E
ISBN: 921-1-131494-1

Disclaimer

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or regarding its economic system or degree of development. The analysis, conclusions and recommendations of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme or its Governing Council.

United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-HABITAT)
P.O. Box 30030, Nairobi 00100, Kenya
Tel: +254 20 762 3120
Fax: +254 20 762 3477
www.unhabitat.org

Photos by: John Lagman, Gerald Nicolas, Gladys Ann Rabacal

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to our partners in the local and national government agencies, people's organizations and NGOs who granted the authors interviews for this study. In particular we thank: Phoebe Bestal, Fe Bugna, Juanito Coronel, Socorro Salamat, Alma Valenciano, Merly Legazpi, Gina Laylo, Jeorgie Tenolete, Gladys Santos- Ledina and Beth Quijano, Sarah Redoblado, Amie Sulamo, Celia Tuason, Josephine Papa, and Leonor Valeza and Gertrudes Villa.

We also wish to thank the participants at focus group discussions for sharing their experiences and ideas. In particular we thank: Vicky de Villa, Eden Garde, Cora Jose, Wilmina Lara, Malou Rebullida, Ernesto Serote, David Yap, Elenita Mantalaba, Chris Pablo, Emma Porio and Florian Steinberg.

Principal authors: Anna Marie Karaos, Gerald Nicolas, Gladys Ann Rabacal

Contributors: Danilo Antonio, Clarissa Augustinus

Editing and layout: Robert Wagner, Eirik Sorlie

Sponsors: Norwegian Government and Swedish International Development Cooperation

Printer: UNON, Publishing Services Section, Nairobi, -
ISO 14001:2004-certified

INNOVATIVE URBAN TENURE IN THE PHILIPPINES

CHALLENGES, APPROACHES AND INSTITUTIONALIZATION

2011

CONTENTS

Abbreviations	5
Executive summary	7
Part I. Situational analysis of secure tenure practices	13
1. Tenure approaches in the context of global trends	14
1.1 Land rights and secure tenure	14
1.2 Innovative approaches to secure tenure	15
1.3 The importance of context	16
2. Land and tenure issues and the urban poor	18
2.1 Philippine urbanization	18
2.2 Urban poverty	19
2.3 Urban poor access to land	20
2.4 Land administration and management	20
2.5 Secure tenure and housing	21
3. The institutional framework	25
3.1 The housing bureaucracy	25
3.2 Local Government Units	27
3.3 The Metro Manila Inter-agency Committee	28
3.4 Housing finance institutions	28
3.5 The private sector	29
4. The legal framework	31
4.1 Land registration	31
4.2 The new Free Patent Law	31
4.3 Land valuation	32
4.4 Restrictive building standards	32
4.5 Other forms of tenure	33
4.6 Housing rights of informal dwellers	33
4.7 Forms of land and housing tenure available to the urban poor	34
5. Capacities of stakeholders	35
5.1 Local governments	35
5.2 National housing agencies	35
5.3 Non-government organizations	37
5.4 Urban poor organizations	38
5.5 Professionals	39
Part II. Implementing Alternative Tenure Approaches	41
6. The Community Mortgage Program	42
6.1 Features of the approach	42
6.2 Specific application of the approach in two cases	44
6.3 Legal, institutional and governance framework	49
6.4 People's perceptions of their security of tenure	49
6.5 Benefits and limitations of the tenure approach	50
6.6 Lessons and challenges	51
6.7 Summary	52

7. Presidential Proclamation	54
7.1 Features of the approach	55
7.2 Specific application of land proclamations	56
7.3 Legal, institutional, and governance frameworks	61
7.4 People's perceptions of their acquired security of tenure	63
7.5 Benefits and limitations	64
7.6 Lessons and challenges	65
7.7 Summary	67
8. Usufruct	69
8.1 Features of the approach	69
8.2 Two cases where usufruct has been used	69
8.3 Legal, institutional, and governance frameworks	73
8.4 The people's perceptions of their security of tenure	74
8.5 Benefits and limitations of the approach	76
8.6 Lessons and challenges	77
8.7 Summary	79
Part III. Institutionalizing alternative tenure approaches	81
9. Findings and conclusions	82
9.1 Benefits of institutionalizing alternative secure tenure approaches	82
9.2 What approaches have worked?	83
9.3 What are the constraints?	92
9.4 Continuing challenges	94
9.5 Overcoming legal barriers to land access	95
9.6 Overcoming institutional and governance barriers	96
9.7 Opportunities for financing secure tenure	97
10. Prospects and challenges of institutionalization	99
10.1 Integrate and codify processes for securing tenure in existing laws	99
10.2 Develop intermediate tenure instruments and schemes	100
10.3 Procedures for acquiring formal tenure	100
10.4 Integrate secure tenure approaches in city development	102
10.5 City-wide land inventories and enumerations	102
10.6 Develop financing schemes for tenure regularization	102
10.7 Strengthen community organizations	102
10.8 Summary and conclusions	102
References	103
Annex 1. Sample Lease Purchase Agreement	108
Annex 2. Sample Usufruct Agreement	113
Annex 3. GLTN Multi-Stakeholders' Workshop I	110
Annex 4. GLTN Multi-Stakeholders' Workshop II	131

LIST OF BOXES

BOX 6.1: Stages in acquiring a CMP loan	43
BOX 6.2: A local government utilizes CMP for the city-wide tenure regularization of slum communities	47
BOX 7.1: Community organizations collect information and protect rights of disadvantaged members	57
BOX 7.2: Issues that can hamper the disposition of “proclaimed land”	58
BOX 7.3: The necessity and uses of intermediate tenure instruments	60
BOX 7.4: A successful Presidential Proclamation	63
BOX 8.1: Terms and arrangements in a housing project under usufruct	70
BOX 8.2: Innovative resettlement practice through usufruct	75
BOX 9.1: Implications of the new Free Patent Law	88
BOX 9.2: Roles of Community Associations	90
BOX 9.3: Enabling public-private, national-local partnerships	92
BOX 10.1: Elements of successful secure tenure programmes	99
BOX 10.2: Constraints to providing secure tenure	100
BOX 10.3: Institutionalizing secure tenure via presidential land proclamations	101

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: Estimated housing need, 2005-2010	22
TABLE 2: Informal settlers in Metro Manila by category	22
TABLE 3: Housing targets, 2005-2010	22
TABLE 4: Annual performance of major social housing programmes	24
TABLE 5: Accomplishments of key shelter agencies: 2001 to 2009	26
TABLE 6: Benefits of institutionalizing alternative tenure approaches	84
TABLE 7: Roles and responsibilities of different institutional actors under the three secure tenure approaches	86

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
APD	Area for Priority Development
BIR	Bureau of Internal Revenue
BP	Batas Pambansa (translation, “National Law”)
CA	Community Association
CAA	Civil Aeronautics Administration
CBO	Community-Based Organization
CCT	Condominium Certificate of Title
CDS	City Development Strategy
CELA	Certificate of Entitlement to a Lot Allocation
CISFA	Comprehensive and Integrated Shelter Finance Act
CLA	Certificate of Lot Award
CMP	Community Mortgage Program
CPQ	Certificate of Project Qualification
CTR	Certificate of Title Reservation
DAO	Department Administrative Order
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources
DFID	Department for International Development
DPUCSP	Development of Poor Urban Communities Sector Project
DPWH	Department of Public Works and Highways
EO	Executive Order
FTI	Food Terminal Incorporated
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GK	Gawad Kalinga (literal translation – “provision of care”)
GSHAI	Golden Shower Homeowners’ Association Inc.
GSIS	Government Service Insurance System
HFHP	Habitat for Humanity Philippines
HGC	Home Guaranty Corporation

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码

<https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?re>