

The United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) is an autonomous agency engaging in multi-disciplinary research on the social dimensions of contemporary problems affecting development. Its work is guided by the conviction that, for effective development policies to be formulated, an understanding of the social and political context is crucial. The Institute attempts to provide governments, development agencies, grassroots organizations and scholars with a better understanding of how development policies and processes of economic, social and environmental change affect different social groups. Working through an extensive network of national research centres, UNRISD aims to promote original research and strengthen research capacity in developing countries.

Current research programmes include: Civil Society and Social Movements; Democracy, Governance and Human Rights; Identities, Conflict and Cohesion; Social Policy and Development; and Technology and Society.

A list of the Institute's free and priced publications can be obtained by contacting the Reference Centre, United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, Palais des Nations,1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland; Tel (41 22) 917 3020; Fax (41 22) 917 0650; Telex 41.29.62 UNO CH; e-mail: info@unrisd.org; World Wide Web Site: http://www.unrisd.org

Copyright © United Nations Research Institute for Social Development. Short extracts from this publication may be reproduced unaltered without authorization on condition that the source is indicated. For rights of reproduction or translation, contact UNRISD.

The designations employed in UNRISD publications, which are in conformity with United Nations practice, and the presentation of material therein do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNRISD concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The responsibility for opinions expressed in signed articles, studies and other contributions rests solely with their authors, and publication does not constitute an endorsement by UNRISD of the opinions expressed in them.

# Gender and the Expansion of Non-traditional Agricultural Exports in Uganda

Deborah Kasente Matthew Lockwood Jessica Vivian Ann Whitehead

Occasional Paper 12, May 2000

## Contents

List of Tables Summary Abbreviations and Acronyms	v vii ix
1. Introduction	1
2. Gender and Macroeconomic Policy in Africa	1
3. The National Context	4
3.1 Gender and Public Policy in Uganda	5
4. The Rural Sector	6
<ul><li>4.1 Characteristics of the Rural Sector</li><li>4.2 Poverty in the Rural Sector</li><li>4.3 Gender Roles in Agriculture</li></ul>	6 8 9
5. Macroeconomic Policy	12
<ul><li>5.1 The Adjustment Strategy</li><li>5.2 Non-Traditional Agricultural Exports Promotion Policies: Potential and Constraints</li></ul>	12 13
6. Gender and NTAE Promotion: Findings from the Field Studies	21
<ul> <li>6.1 Village Characteristics</li> <li>6.2 Supply Response</li> <li>6.3 Labour Constraints</li> <li>6.4 Other Constraints on Production</li> <li>6.5 Control and Expenditure of Cash Crop Income</li> </ul>	22 23 32 43 44
7. Conclusions	49
Bibliography	52

### List of Tables

#### Table

- 1 Selected indicators for Uganda
- 2 Major income sources in Uganda
- 3 Major sources of agricultural income in Uganda cash and imputed income
- 4 The share of each participant in the market price per crop
- 5 Percentage of the poor by sector of household head, 1992 and 1996
- 6 Gender roles in decision-making and marketing
- 7 Classification of Uganda's exports (\$million) overall
- 8 Non-traditional exports (NTE), \$ million
- 9 Socioeconomic status of households
- 10 Household type
- 11 Percentage of households increasing or decreasing crops: Gonve (survey data)
- 12 Percentage of households increasing or decreasing crops: Kitanyatta (survey data)
- 13 Problems limiting productivity identified by farmers, in order of importance (PRA data)
- 14 Perceived causes of problems, Gonve and Kitanyatta: Men and women (PRA data)
- 15 Problems and coping strategies, Gonve and Kitanyatta: Men and women (PRA data)
- 16 National crop prices 1993-1998 (Shs/kg)
- 17 Correlation of price changes with changes in area planted, 1996–97
- 18 Correlation of price changes with changes in crops marketed, 1996–97
- 19 Supply response for coffee and vanilla: Regression results
- 20 Type of labour used by task and crop: Gonve
- 21 Type of labour used by task and crop: Kitanyatta
- 22 Sources of additional labour requirements
- 23 Hired household labour, by crop
- 24 Percentage of male-headed households in Gonve using labour for vanilla (adopters)
- 25 Percentage of female-headed households in Gonve using labour for vanilla (adopters)
- 26 Percentage of male-headed households using labour for coffee (adopters)
- 27 Percentage of female-headed households using labour for coffee (adopters)
- 28 Percentage of male-headed households using labour for maize (all)
- 29 Percentage of female-headed households using labour for coffee (all)
- 30 Percentage of male-headed households using labour for beans (all)
- 31 Percentage of female-headed households using labour for beans (all)
- 32 Relative proportion of male-headed households using labour for maize, marketers versus non-marketers
- 33 Relative proportion of female-headed households using labour for maize, marketers versus non-marketers

#### Table

- 34 Relative proportion of male-headed households using labour for beans, marketers versus non-marketers
- 35 Relative proportion of female-headed households using labour for beans, marketers versus non-marketers
- 36 Use of agricultural inputs
- 37 Crops preferred by farmers and why: Gonve (PRA data)
- 38 Crops preferred by farmers and why: Kitanyatta (PRA data)
- 39 Expenditure of income from cash crops, 1996 and 1997, Gonve
- 40 Expenditure of income from cash crops, 1996 and 1997, Kitanyatta
- 41 Authorization of expenditure of cash crop income, Gonve and Kitanyatta, 1997
- 42 Authorization of expenditure of cash crop income, Gonve
- 43 Husbands' and wives' expenditure patterns, number of households (Gonve)
- 44 Husbands' and wives' expenditure patterns, number of households (Kitanyatta)

#### Summary

Agricultural policy is at the heart of poverty-focused macroeconomic policy in Uganda. Women are central to agricultural production in the country, and agriculture is critically important to women's well-being. It is therefore crucial that Ugandan agricultural policy become more gender-aware, after having long been "gender-blind". The research on which this paper reports sought to contribute to building national capacity for gender analysis in Uganda.

The promotion of non-traditional agricultural exports (NTAE), one of the keystones of current macroeconomic policy in Uganda, was the subject of field study. Increasing agricultural production is crucial for the country's development, and NTAE promotion is now considered an important agricultural intensification strategy, given the demonstrated risks of overreliance on world markets for the traditional cash crops-coffee, tea and cotton. But the implications of gender structures for the success of the NTAE promotion strategy, and the implications of this policy shift for gender relations and women's well-being, are not well understood. The research thus sought to provide information that would contribute to "engendering" agricultural policy. It addressed two broad sets of questions concerning the efficiency and equity of the NTAE policy. First, how would current gender relations, including the gender division of labour and control over resources, affect the NTAE promotion strategy? What factors would be necessary for the desired supply response to policy initiatives to materialize? Second, how would the NTAE strategy, as currently conceived, affect women's wellbeing and their standing in the household and in society? What would be required for the NTAE promotion strategy to not only contribute to aggregate production, but to do so without adversely affecting any groups in society? Besides reviewing the implications of recent data and research for these questions, the project also carried out participatory rural appraisal exercises and conducted two village surveys in order to address them.

The paper begins with an overview of the analytical approach of the research and then provides information on the national context in Uganda, including the agricultural sector economic policy, and gender issues and public policy. It goes on to look at the rural sector in Uganda, including gender roles in agriculture. Macroeconomic policy in Uganda is then discussed, as is the potential for and the constraints on an agricultural export-led growth strategy. The findings of the field studies are then described, in particular the factors limiting productivity in the smallholder sector

The paper concludes by describing an "ideal" NTAE strategy—one that would lead to agricultural intensification, with increased inputs (labour and non-labour) resulting in increased outputs. Production for own consumption would either remain at current levels, or the income from marketed crops would be sufficient to allow sufficient purchase of food. At this time, however, rural Uganda is not reflected in this scenario. Constraints on increased productivity exist both in terms of input—seasonal labour shortages, lack of access to inputs, lack of credit, lack of knowledge—and in terms of incentives—lack of confidence in markets and pricing, high marketing margins, large price swings resulting in non-ability to purchase food prior to the harvest season. Women's labour supply is very inelastic, and additional labour burdens on women are likely to be detrimental to the well-being of others in household. Thus increased NTAE production, in the absence of additional inputs, must come from crop switching or an increase in men's labour. The field research found some indication that the gender division of labour is less rigid than is often believed, and that men are prepared to participate more fully in all aspects of agricultural production if the incentives to do so are adequate. But will this imply that men will "take over" women's crops to the detriment of women's position in the household? This remains an open question. Indeed, there is also some indication that women do not welcome the loss of autonomy resulting from more co-operative household production systems.

The paper argues, however, that a more equitable distribution of labour burdens within smallholder households certainly has the potential to benefit women. What Uganda is likely to experience is a shift to a more integrated and co-operative household in the smallholder sector. Whether this will imply a loss of women's autonomy, or an increase in women's influence in a larger sphere, will depend on the characteristics of the particular men and women who are members of each household, as well as on the strength of government initiatives to further the educational, legal, and social status of women.

Deborah Kasente teaches at the Women's Studies Department, Makerere University. Matthew Lockwood, formerly with Christian Aid, is currently affiliated with the UK Department for International Development. Jessica Vivian was an UNRISD Project Leader and is now a consultant. Ann Whitehead is a lecturer in social anthropology at the University of Sussex.

This paper was prepared in the context of the UNRISD project on **Technical Co-operation and Women's Lives**, which was funded by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).





https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 21576