



EVALUATION GUIDANCE SERIES

INCLUSIVE SYSTEMIC EVALUATION FOR
GENDER EQUALITY, ENVIRONMENTS AND MARGINALIZED VOICES

ISE4GEMs: A new approach for the SDG era



ISE4GEMs

INCLUSIVE SYSTEMIC EVALUATION FOR
GENDER EQUALITY, ENVIRONMENTS AND MARGINALIZED VOICES

A new approach for the SDG era

AUTHORS:

Dr. Anne Stephens, James Cook University, *Australia*

Dr. Ellen D. Lewis, Centre for Systems Studies, University of Hull, *United Kingdom*

Shravanti Reddy, UN Women Independent Evaluation Service, *United States*

Suggested citation: Stephens, A., Lewis, E.D. and Reddy, S.M. 2018. *Inclusive Systemic Evaluation (ISE4GEMs): A New Approach for the SDG Era*. New York: UN Women.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of UN Women, the United Nations or any of its affiliated organizations.

ISBN: 978-1-63214-125-5

PRODUCED BY

Independent Evaluation Service (IES) of the Independent
Evaluation and Audit Services of UN Women (IEAS)

COPY EDITOR: Margo Alderton and Catherine Simes

DESIGN: Yamrote Alemu Haileselassie

© 2018 UN Women. All rights reserved.

The authors would like to thank our esteemed colleagues for having acted as members of our Advisory Group and our peer reviewers of an earlier draft of this guide. Their comments have been incredibly useful in helping focus and sharpen its content. Any responsibility for shortcomings, factual errors or misinterpretation rests solely with the authors.

- UN Women Independent Evaluation Service, New York, United States
- Endeavour Scholarships and Fellowship, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Australia Government, Australia
- The Cairns Institute, James Cook University, Cairns, QLD, Australia
- Centre for Systems Studies, Faculty of Business, Law and Politics, University of Hull, United Kingdom
- School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, New York, United States
- With special thanks to Beverly Parsons, Executive Director, InSites; Jillian Marie Pobre Torres, Middlebury Institute of International Studies at Monterey, California, United States; and Isabel Polon, School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, New York, United States.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ADVISORY GROUP

- Priya Alvarez, Independent Evaluation Service, UN Women, United States
- Yasmine Ergas, School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, United States
- Buyana Kareem, Urban Action Lab Makerere University, Uganda
- Margaret Korosec, OpnUp Ltd., eLearning Consulting, United Kingdom
- Donna M. Mertens, Gallaudet University, United States
- Gerald Midgley, Centre for Systems Studies, Faculty of Business, Law and Politics, University of Hull, United Kingdom
- Alexandra Pittman, Impact Mapper, United States
- Martin Reynolds, School of Engineering and Innovation, Open University, United Kingdom
- Ratna Sudarshan, former Director Institute of Social Studies Trust, India
- Juha Uitto, Independent Evaluation Office, Global Environment Facility, United States
- Bob Williams, Independent Evaluation and Systems Thinking Consultant, New Zealand

PEER REVIEWERS

- Michael Bamberger, Independent Development Evaluation Consultant, United States
- Gabriele Bammer, National Centre for Epidemiology & Population Health, Australian National University, Australia
- Danny Burns, Participation Research Cluster, Institute of Development Studies, United Kingdom

- Julia Espinosa, Political Science and Administration, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain
- Jillian Foster, Global Insight, United States
- Monica Gagliano, Centre for Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Australia
- Matt Keene, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Policy, United States
- Rituu B. Nanda, Constellation/Institute of Social Studies Trust, India
- Elisabetta Micaro, Association for Women's Rights in Development, Canada
- Estelle Raimondo, International Evaluation Group, World Bank Group, United States
- Patricia Rogers, Global, Urban and Social Studies, RMIT University, Australia
- Andy Rowe, ARCeconomics Ltd., Canada
- Lex Schroeder, Feminists at Work, United States
- Thomas Schwandt, Professor Emeritus, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, United States
- Ann Taket, School of Health & Social Development, Deakin University, Australia
- Barbara Torggler, Internal Oversight Service, UNESCO, France
- Chris Walsh, College of Arts, Society and Education, Division of Tropical Environments and Societies, James Cook University, Australia
- Sophie Yates, Australia and New Zealand School of Government, Australia
- Kerry Zaleski, University of Wisconsin-Madison, School of Medicine and Public Health, Population Health Institute, United States

FOREWORD



Systems thinking is an innovative approach that can expand our awareness of global challenges to better respond to the circular nature of the world we live in. Global development is now recognized by

the 2030 Agenda as being characterized by multiple interconnected and indivisible realities as expressed in the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). With this understanding there is a need to think and act beyond one area of expertise or mandate to understand how the actions of our work contribute to the SDG goals and objectives. Systems thinking can help us in this endeavour.

With SDGs in mind, the UN Women Independent Evaluation Service initiated the development of a new evaluation approach entitled, *Inclusive Systemic Evaluation for Gender Equality, Environments and Marginalized Voices* (ISE4GEMs). The Guide is underpinned by inclusive systemic thinking and organizes evaluative practice to capture the intersectional linkages that

shape the lives of human and environmental systems. This publication was developed with support from the Australian Government's Endeavour Fellowship programme; James Cook University, Australia, and the Centre for Systems Studies at the University of Hull in the United Kingdom.

This Guide is meant to facilitate evaluation practitioners in applying the ISE4GEMs approach by providing both concept and practical tools. I encourage practitioners and learners alike to use this Guide to further strengthen their knowledge on systemic thinking and to use it to conduct inclusive evaluations.

Finally, I would like to thank the co-authors of the approach and this Guide for this timely contribution to evaluation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'Verasak Liengsrirawat'. The signature is fluid and cursive.

Verasak Liengsrirawat

Director a.i., Independent Evaluation and Audit Services

AUTHOR'S PROLOGUE

At present, there are significant changes underway in terms of our external world. Climate change has contributed to creating very real environmental, humanitarian and security crises, with more of the same expected in years to come. Economic and social policies are contributing to increasing inequalities, including gender inequalities, leaving some of the most vulnerable groups behind. The rapid advance of information and communications technologies are also creating both new opportunities as well as risks and challenges. These are just a few of many concurrent and overlapping changes happening at the global level, with other changes happening specific to regions, countries, communities and individuals.

The change and the complexity of the contexts within which we work is not new. These changes are part of a continuum that has likely existed since the beginning of time. What is new is our improved understanding of how this complexity may complicate those things that we have long considered to be more straightforward than they actually are including international development interventions.

The practice of evaluation is also ever evolving to improve quality and relevance in response to the changes in our external world to meet the demand for new learning, thinking and understanding. Inclusive Systemic Evaluation for Gender Equality, Environments and Marginalized Voices (hereon referred to as ISE4GEMs) is being introduced in

this document as a new evaluation approach that hopes to contribute to this ongoing evolution. It puts forward an innovative systemic evaluation practice that addresses complexity and prioritizes issues within these three pressing domains for sustainable development: gender equality, environments and marginalized voices (GEMs).

[Part A](#) of this document introduces the theoretical concepts that underpin the ISE4GEMs. Practitioners will be best able to understand and apply the approach if they have a basic understanding of these key concepts. [Chapter 1](#) presents ISE4GEMs and some ideas of when and how it might be used. [Chapter 2](#) introduces the core concepts of systems thinking: interrelationships, perspectives and boundaries. [Chapter 3](#) provides an explanation of the three intersectional dimensions prioritized through the GEMs framework. [Chapter 4](#) brings systemic evaluation practice and intersectional analysis with the other elements (systemic theory of change [SToC], ethics, validity and rigour, participatory and transdisciplinary methods, and capacity development) that together define the ISE4GEMs approach.

[Part B](#) provides hands-on guidance and tools for practitioners to adapt and implement the ISE4GEMs approach. [Chapter 5](#) covers planning, design and the development of the Boundary Story. [Chapter 6](#) focuses on how to conduct an evaluation deploying transdisciplinary methods for data collection and

ethical safeguards. [Chapter 7](#) advises on how to conduct systemic triangulation to arrive at evaluation results and develop an SToC. [Chapter 8](#) includes an overview of the capacity development opportunities throughout the evaluation process for social change, and suggests some relevant communication and dissemination methods.

The publication concludes with an [Authors' Afterword](#), followed by [Annexes](#) that include a [glossary of key terms](#), the full set of [tools](#) referred to in [Part B](#), and a complete [reference list](#).

Last, but not least, the process to develop this approach and Guide has been a profound and enjoyable professional and personal experience. We wish to thank our Advisory Group, peer reviewers, family and friends who have enthusiastically supported our efforts and provided sage advice and guidance throughout the process, adding to the richness of our combined learning experience. This guidance is not able to be comprehensive given the complexity of achieving sustainable development. We see this ISE4GEMs Guide as a beginning and plan to continue to use and learn from this approach to further deepen our understanding of how to be part of, and contribute to, building a world that works for everyone.



ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

GEMs	Gender Equality, Environments and Marginalized Voices
GIA	Gender Impact Assessment
ISE4GEMs	Inclusive Systemic Evaluation for Gender Equality, Environments and Marginalized Voices
LGBTQI	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer or Questioning, and Intersex
NGO	Non-governmental Organization
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SToC	Systemic Theory of Change
ToC	Theory of Change
ToR	Terms of Reference
UN	United Nations
UN Women	United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

CONTENTS



INTERACTIVE PDF

The best way to navigate this guide is as a PDF. There are navigation menus on each page, and interactive buttons that enable readers to quickly navigate the different sections:



The home button is linked to this clickable table of contents



This button is linked to Part A



This button is linked to Part B

PART A: ISE4GEMS PRACTITIONER THEORY

Page 8

CHAPTER 1



Page 10

Introduction

1.1 Background	10
1.2 ISE4GEMS	12
1.3 When and how to use the ISE4GEMS	15

CHAPTER 2



Page 16

Systems thinking

2.1 What is complexity?	16
2.2 Traditional approaches to addressing intervention complexity	20
2.3 What is systems thinking?	21
2.4 ISE4GEMS practitioner and boundary analysis	28

CHAPTER 3



Page 30

The GEMs framework

3.1 Intersectionality and the GEMs dimensions	30
3.2 The GEMs framework	36

CHAPTER 4



Page 39

ISE4GEMS approach and the SToC

4.1 Feedback to systems and the SToC	39
4.2 Key elements of ISE4GEMS	41

PART B: ISE4GEMS PRACTITIONER GUIDANCE

Page 49

CHAPTER 5



Page 52

PHASE I

Preparation and design

Step 1: The Boundary Story	53
Step 2: The evaluation boundary	58
Step 3: ISE4GEMS Planning and Design considerations	66

CHAPTER 6



Page 77

PHASE II

Data collection

Step 1: First Data Collection Cycle	79
Step 2: Second Data Collection Cycle	82
Step 3: Debriefing meeting and practitioner reflection	88

CHAPTER 7



Page 90

PHASE III

Data analysis, interpretation and reporting

The Systemic Triangulation framework for data analysis	91
Develop the STOC and final boundary story (Optional)	102
Draft report conclusions and recommendations	105

CHAPTER 8



Page 108

PHASE IV

Capacity development for social change

Opportunities for capacity development	110
Dissemination and communication methods	112
Final practitioners' reflections	115

ANNEXES



ISE4GEMS TOOLS 116



GLOSSARY

134



REFERENCES

138



Part A

ISE4GEMs PRACTITIONER THEORY



Introduction

PAGE 10

1.1 Background

1.2 ISE4GEMs

1.3 When and how to use the ISE4GEMs



Systems thinking

PAGE 16

2.1 What is complexity?

2.2 Traditional approaches to addressing intervention complexity

2.3 What is systems thinking?

2.4 ISE4GEMs



The GEMs framework

PAGE 30

3.1 Intersectionality and the GEMs dimensions

3.2 The GEMs framework

Key takeaways



ISE4GEMs approach and the SToC

PAGE 39

4.1 Feedback to systems and the SToC

4.2 Key elements of ISE4GEMs

Key takeaways

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_21981

