Situational Analysis of Food, Nutrition and Income Security in Karamoja

"A normalising view of Karamoja"

Alexandra Barrantes & Matteo Caravani

Working Paper: December 2020







Acknowledgements

This report is based on comprehensive research in the Karamoja sub-region of northeastern Uganda, carried out by Development Pathways on behalf of the World Food Programme (WFP) in 2017. The team is grateful for the support of WFP staff in the Uganda Country Office and its cooperating partners, and of the Government of Uganda. The research would not have been possible without the many stakeholders, key informants and community members who took time to share their experiences with us during the research.

The report was authored by Alexandra Barrantes (Senior Social Policy Specialist at Development Pathways) and Matteo Caravani (External Consultant) with substantive inputs from Stephen Kidd (Senior Social Policy Specialist at Development Pathways). It draws on qualitative field research conducted in 2017 by Anasuya Sengupta and Shirin Gul, in collaboration with Ronard Mukuye, David Kaawa-Mafigiri, Rasmus Schjoedt, Alexandra Barrantes, Daniel Winter Putan and Anh Tran. The quantitative research component was led by Diloá Bailey Athias and the literature review was carried out by Anh Tran. The report was copy edited by David Hillson and formatted by Madeleine Cretney. The cover photo is the property of Development Pathways (Alexandra Barrantes).

The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the World Food Programme or the Government of Uganda.

Acronyms

| ACF | Action Against Hunger (Action contre la Faim) |
|-------|--|
| AISE | Adverse Incorporation and Social Exclusion |
| ASM | Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining |
| AU | African Union |
| CAO | Chief Administrative Officer |
| CPMCs | Community Project Management Committees |
| CRM | Community Resource Mapping |
| CSO | Civil Society Organisation |
| DGSM | Department of Geological Surveys and Mining |
| DFID | Department for International Development |
| ECO | Ecological Christian Organisation |
| EFTAF | Empowering Farmers through Agribusiness and Financial Services |
| EPRC | Economic Policy Research Centre |
| EU | European Union |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization |
| FCS | Food Consumption Score |
| FES | Friedrich-Ebert-Shtiftung |
| FGD | Focus Group Discussion |
| FSNA | Food Security and Nutrition Assessment |
| GoU | Government of Uganda |
| IDI | In-depth Interview |
| IDPs | Internally Displaced Persons |
| SP | International Labour Organization |
| IOM | International Organization for Migration |
| IPA | Innovation for Poverty Action |
| IRC | International Rescue Committee |
| JSTOR | Journal Storage |
| KIDP | Karamoja Integrated Development Plan |
| KIDDP | Karamoja Integrated Disarmament and Development Programme |
| KII | Key Informant Interview |
| KNRC | Kampiringisa National Rehabilitation Centre |
| KOICA | Korea International Cooperation Agency |
| MEMD | Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development |
| MGLSD | Ministry of Gender, Labour and Social Development |
| MLG | Ministry of Local Government |
| мон | Ministry of Health |
| MP | Member of Parliament |
| NAES | National Agricultural Education Strategy |
| NCA | Nutrition Causal Analysis |

Acronyms

| NDP | National Development Plan |
|----------|--|
| NFA | National Forrest Authority |
| NGOs | Non-Governmental Organisations |
| NUSAF | Northern Uganda Social Action Fund |
| NUSAF II | Second Northern Uganda Social Action Fund |
| OPM | Office of the Prime Minister |
| PEAP | Poverty Eradication Action Plan |
| PRDP | Peace, Recovery and Development Programme |
| PwD | Persons with Disabilities |
| SAGE | Uganda Social Assistance Grants for Empowerment |
| SCG | Senior Citizens Grant |
| SDG | Sustainable Development Goal |
| SDGs | Sustainable Development Goals |
| SGBV | Sexual and Gender Based Violence |
| SIDA | Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency |
| UBOS | Uganda Bureau of Statistics |
| UDHS | Uganda Demographic Health Survey |
| UN | United Nations |
| UNCRC | United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child |
| UGX | Ugandan Shilling |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Programme |
| UNICEF | United Nations Children's Fund |
| UPE | Universal Primary Education |
| UPF | Uganda Police Forces |
| UPDF | Uganda People's Defence Force |
| USAID | United States Agency for International Development |
| USD | United States Dollar |
| UWA | Uganda Wildlife Authority |
| VSLAs | Village Savings and Loan Associations |
| WASH | Water, Sanitation and Hygiene |
| WB | World Bank |
| WFP | World Food Programme |
| YLP | Youth Livelihood Programme |

Executive Summary

After decades of failing development aid, the World Food Programme (WFP) in Uganda has committed itself to identifying innovative approaches to better address food, nutrition and income insecurity in the Karamoja sub-region (referred to as Karamoja) of northeastern Uganda. For this purpose, Development Pathways was contracted to undertake a situation analysis to uncover the underlying causes of food, nutrition and income insecurity in Karamoja, and to generate ideas for innovative social policy solutions and provide recommendations.

The situation analysis demonstrates that development across Uganda is highly uneven. In several instances Karamoja scores worst when compared with other regions in terms of food security, poverty, education and healthcare indicators. The roots of Karamoja's economic impoverishment can be traced back to the colonial period, when it was treated as a region of "exception" within the overall rule of the Ugandan State. This treatment resulted from a longstanding perception of transhumant pastoralism (the primary livelihood strategy of the Karamojong people) as "primitive", "irrational" and "violent". Over years, such treatment has relegated the region to the margins of the state formation process. As such, the inhabitants of Karamoja lack proper legal frameworks as well as benefits in terms of public goods and infrastructure, and legitimate political representation.

Despite the long-term presence of development partners in Uganda, the development gap between Karamoja and the rest of the country has widened since independence. Interventions have thus far set out to "treat the symptoms" of chronic food, nutrition and income insecurity in the region, as opposed to addressing the structural causes. As such, they have not had a significant impact on communities in the region. Over the past three decades humanitarian aid, targeted poor relief and workfare schemes have proven to be the most popular interventions carried out by development partners and by the government. While such interventions may have somewhat positively contributed to the avoidance of famine episodes, they have never succeeded in advancing the population's overall well-being and inclusion in the country's development.

Furthermore, "development" in Karamoja has consistently been conceptualised as agricultural by the government and development partners. Yet, with semi-arid lands due to repeated drought, unpredictable rainfalls and consistent below-average crop yield per hectare, agriculture is proving to be untenable as a major livelihood strategy in the region. If agriculture is continually promoted in this way, the Karamojong will never be able to produce enough food for their own subsistence (unless there are significant investments in irrigation).

Based on relevant literature and research findings from this study, it is right to say that the underlying causes of "failed development" in Karamoja cannot be traced to the Karamojong's own failings. Over decades, the Karamojong have largely been subjected to the stigmatising characterisation of themselves as "lazy", "idle" and "aid dependent". They cannot be understood using a narrow sectoral approach. Such an exogenous negative narrative has been internalised by many Karamojong, heavily impacting notions of their identity and self-worth.

To shed light upon how and why Karamoja continually performs worst in terms of national average indicators, extensive available data has been disaggregated by age and gender from a lifecycle approach. This information has further been enriched with a livelihood analysis, thus revealing specific obstacles each age and gender group faces across a wide range of economic activities. If the government and development partners do not acknowledge the livelihood transition that has occurred over the past fifty years – which is causing increasing impoverishment and "intersecting inequality" – this may become the cause of further destitution. In this regard, the "business-as-usual approach" of government and development partners within the project cycle should be re-thought from scratch. The current livelihoods diversification requires innovative policies and projects and new ways of collecting data. Unless new efforts are made to improve the reliability of quantitative data, the designing of effective development policies will remain highly limited.

While the issues affecting the region are somehow unique compared to other rural areas in Uganda, this report illustrates that the "exceptionalism" prism – usually cast by the government and development partners onto the region – has been responsible for the reproduction of such negative development indicators. Paradoxically, a "normalising view" is a progressive approach to positively include Karamoja as a contributor to overall socio-economic development of the country. For this reason, the report looks at what are generally neglected topics in the region, such as unemployment and labour market dynamics and issues related to land tenure and power relations.

Finally, the situation analysis proposes a different interpretation, whereby the Karamojong's problems are cast as being more similar to those of other rural areas of northern Uganda and related to issues such as deficient universal goods (healthcare, education and social security), land rights, low wages and high unemployment rates. The result is a report that will be useful for policy makers – both government and development partners – since specific problems are identified and appropriate policy interventions are provided.

Table of Contents

| Ac | Acknowledgements i | | | | |
|----|----------------------|--|-----|--|--|
| Ac | Acronymsi | | | | |
| Ex | Executive Summaryiii | | | | |
| 1 | Intro | oduction1 | | | |
| 2 | The | historical context of Karamoja | | | |
| 3 | Curr | rent context | | | |
| | 3.1 | Poverty, inequality and consumption dynamics in Karamoja | 8 | | |
| | 3.2 | Demographics | 14 | | |
| | 3.2.1 | General | 14 | | |
| | 3.2.2 | Disability in Karamoja | 16 | | |
| | 3.2.3 | Gender | 18 | | |
| | 3.3 | Vulnerabilities across the lifecycle | 21 | | |
| | 3.3.1 | Early childhood | 23 | | |
| | 3.3.2 | 2 School age children | 26 | | |
| | 3.3.3 | S Young people | 31 | | |
| | 3.3.4 | Working age | 33 | | |
| | 3.3.5 | Older people | 36 | | |
| | 3.4 | Conceptualising vulnerability among the Karamojong | 38 | | |
| 4 | Inco | me sources | .41 | | |
| | 4.1 | Labour, income generation activities and unemployment | 41 | | |
| | 4.2 | Extractive industries | 52 | | |
| 5 | Ехр | lanations of failed development in Karamoja | .55 | | |
| | 5.1 | The powerful and the imagining of Karamoja | 55 | | |
| | 5.2 | Weakening of traditional social norms and economy | 60 | | |
| | 5.3 | Governance, power structures and adverse incorporation | 63 | | |

Table of Contents

| 5.4 | Economic constraints and corruption | 66 |
|---------|---|-----|
| 5.5 | Environment and climate change | 69 |
| 5.6 | Inadequate and poor quality of public services and infrastructure | 69 |
| 5.6.1 | Education and health | 70 |
| 5.6.2 | Water | 71 |
| 5.6.3 | Roads | 72 |
| 5.6.4 | Social security | 72 |
| 5.6.5 | Inclusion of persons with disabilities | 77 |
| 5.7 | Migration | 78 |
| 5.8 | Land tenure | 82 |
| 5.9 | Dissonance in development initiatives | 85 |
| 6 Con | clusions | 96 |
| Annex 1 | Research methodology | 101 |
| Annex | 1.1 Literature review | |
| Annex | 1.2 Qualitative research methodology | |
| Annex | 1.3 Quantitative research methodology | 104 |
| Annex 2 | Informal support and sharing mechanisms | 107 |
| Annex 3 | The local government system | 108 |
| Annex | 3.1 Tiers and functions | |

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_2387