

2020

Inclusion and education:



United Nations · Sustai Educational, Scientific and · Develo Cultural Organization · Goals

Sustainable Development



GLOBAL EDUCATION MONITORING REPORT



Inclusion and education:

ALL MEANS ALL

The Education 2030 Incheon Declaration and Framework for Action specifies that the mandate of the *Global Education Monitoring Report* is to be "the mechanism for monitoring and reporting on SDG 4 and on education in the other SDGs" with the responsibility to "report on the implementation of national and international strategies to help hold all relevant partners to account for their commitments as part of the overall SDG follow-up and review". It is prepared by an independent team hosted by UNESCO.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The *Global Education Monitoring Report* team is responsible for the choice and the presentation of the facts contained in this book and for the opinions expressed therein, which are not necessarily those of UNESCO and do not commit the Organization. Overall responsibility for the views and opinions expressed in the Report is taken by its Director.

This publication can be referenced as: UNESCO. 2020. Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and education: All means all. Paris, UNESCO.

© UNESCO, 2020 First edition Published in 2020 by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization 7, Place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP, France

Typeset by UNESCO Graphic design by FHI 360 Layout by FHI 360

Cover photo: Jenny Matthews/Panos Caption: Pupils skipping at St Pius primary school, Sierra Leone.

Infographics by FHI 360 and Anne Derenne

ISBN: 978-92-3-100388-2

This report and all related materials are available for download here: http://bit.ly/2020gemreport

This publication is available in Open Access under the Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO (CC-BY-SA 3.0 IGO) licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/igo/). By using the content of this publication, the users accept to be bound by the terms of use of the UNESCO Open Access Repository (http://www.unesco.org/open-access/ terms-use-ccbysa-en).

The present licence applies exclusively to the text content of the publication. For the use of any material not clearly identified as belonging to UNESCO, prior permission shall be requested from: publication.copyright@unesco.org or UNESCO Publishing, 7, place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP France.



Foreword

It has never been more crucial to make education a universal right, and a reality for all. Our rapidly-changing world faces constant major challenges – from technological disruption to climate change, conflict, the forced movement of people, intolerance and hate – which further widen inequalities and exert an impact for decades to come. The COVID-19 pandemic has further exposed and deepened these inequalities and the fragility of our societies. More than ever, we have a collective responsibility to support the most vulnerable and disadvantaged, helping to reduce long-lasting societal breaches that threaten our shared humanity.

In the face of these challenges, the messages of the 2020 GEM Report on inclusion in education are even more poignant. It warns that education opportunities continue to be unequally distributed. Barriers to quality education are still too high for too many learners. Even before Covid-19, one in five children, adolescents and youth were entirely excluded from education. Stigma, stereotypes and discrimination mean millions more are further alienated inside classrooms.

The current crisis will further perpetuate these different forms of exclusion. With more than 90 per cent of the global student population affected by Covid-19 related school closures, the world is in the throes of the most unprecedented disruption in the history of education. Social and digital divides have put the most disadvantaged at risk of learning losses and dropping out. Lessons from the past – such as with Ebola – have shown that health crises can leave many behind, in particular the poorest girls, many of whom may never return to school.

This Report's core recommendation for all education actors to widen their understanding of inclusive education to include all learners, no matter their identity, background or ability comes at an opportune time as the world seeks to rebuild back more inclusive education systems.

This Report identifies different forms of exclusion, how they are caused and what we can do about them. As such, it is a call to action we should heed as we seek to pave the way for more resilient and equal societies in the future. A call to collect better data, without which we cannot understand or measure the true scope of the problem. A call to make public policies far more inclusive, based on examples of effective policies currently in force, and by working together to address intersecting disadvantages, just as we saw Ministries and government departments are capable of when addressing Covid-19.

Only by learning from this Report can we understand the path we must take in the future. UNESCO stands ready to help States and the education community so that, together, we can develop the education the world so desperately needs and to ensure that learning never stops.

To rise to the challenges of our time, a move towards more inclusive education is non-negotiable – failure to act is not an option.

Audrey Azoulay Director-General of UNESCO

Andrey Aroulary

iv 2020 • GLOBAL EDUCATION MONITORING REPORT

Foreword

Education makes an essential contribution to building inclusive and democratic societies, where differences of opinion can be freely expressed and where the wide range of voices can be heard, in pursuit of social cohesion and in a celebration of diversity.

This year's *Global Education Monitoring Report* reminds us that education systems are only as inclusive as their creators make them. Disadvantage can be created by these systems and their contexts. It exists where people's needs are not taken into account.

Inclusion in education is about ensuring that every learner feels valued and respected, and can enjoy a clear sense of belonging. Yet many hurdles stand in the way of that ideal. Discrimination, stereotypes and alienation do exclude many. These mechanisms of exclusion are essentially the same, regardless of gender, location, wealth, disability, ethnicity, language, migration, displacement, sexual orientation, incarceration, religion, and other beliefs and attitudes.

The Report reminds us of continuing and disturbing education disparities, including in ensuring access to all, which should be the foundation of inclusion. But an 'all means all' approach to inclusion also means dropping any stigmatizing labels assigned to children. Adopting learning approaches on account of such labels limits their potential, ignoring the benefits that varied learning approaches can bring to all children.

Thus, how education systems are designed is critical. Countries can choose what counts in deciding whether their education system is on the right track or not. They can choose to address an inclusion agenda in a piecemeal approach, or they can tackle the entire set of challenges head on.

There are dilemmas and tensions involved in reaching the ideal of full inclusion. Moving from where we are now to having systems which cater for every learner's needs, including those with severe disabilities, is difficult. This Report does not deny that the full ideal of inclusion may have its downsides too. Well-intended efforts to include can slide into pressure to conform, wear down group identities, and drive out languages. Recognising and helping an excluded group in the name of inclusion could serve to marginalize them at the same time. There are also practical challenges in deciding on the speed of change, whether for richer countries looking to move away from systems which were originally based on segregation, or for poorer countries looking to create an inclusive system from scratch.

In full recognition of these challenges, though, the Report asks whether it really is necessary to seek justifications for inclusive education to be pursued. It notes that debating the benefits of inclusive education can be seen as tantamount to debating the benefits of the abolition of slavery, or indeed of apartheid. Inclusion in education is a process, and not only a desired end point. On that journey, many changes can be made easily – in gestures made by teachers, in the ethos school leaders create for their learning environments, in the way families make decisions when school choices are presented to them, and in what we, as a society, decide we want for our future.

Inclusion is not just a choice for policymakers. Imposed from above it will never work. So, the question you, as readers, are asked in the report is whether you are ready to challenge the current mindset and ready to decide that education is for everyone and must strive to be inclusive of all.

The Right Honourable Helen Clark Chair of the GEM Report Advisory Board

Helen Clalle

Acknowledgements

This report would not have been possible without the valuable contributions of numerous people and institutions. The *Global Education Monitoring Report* (GEM Report) team would like to acknowledge their support and thank them for their time and effort.

Invaluable input was provided by the GEM Report Advisory Board's members and its chairperson, Helen Clark. Special thanks also go to our engaged and committed funders, without whose financial support the GEM Report would not be possible.

We would like to acknowledge the role of UNESCO and its leadership. We are very grateful to many individuals, divisions and units at UNESCO headquarters, notably in the Education Sector and the Bureau for the Management of Support Services, for facilitating our daily work. The UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) played a key role by supporting access to its data through the UIS Data API. We would like to thank its director, Silvia Montoya, and her dedicated staff for their close collaboration, notably as part of our partnership with the UIS on the World Inequality Database on Education and in the framework of the Technical Cooperation Group on the Indicators for SDG 4 – Education 2030. Additional thanks go to colleagues at the International Institute for Educational Planning, the UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning, the UNESCO-UNEVOC International Centre for Technical and Vocational Education and Training and the UNESCO field office network.

The GEM Report team would like to thank the researchers who produced background papers informing the GEM Report's analyses: Ehaab Dyaa Abdou, Anjlee Agarwal, Joyceline Alla-Mensah, Parul Bakhshi, Jan Berkvens, Alisha Braun, Liliane Garcez, Martin Gustafsson, Seamus Hegarty, Marion Hersh, Paula Frederica Hunt, Soohyun Kim, Maxwell Opoku, Pauliina Patana, Helen Pinnock, Richard Rose, Jamil Salmi, Aemiro Mergia Tadesse and Laura Rodriguez-Takeuchi.

We are grateful to several institutions and their research staff who also produced background papers: Education Sub Saharan Africa (Ranjit Majumdar, Tracy Hart), Georg Eckert Institute (Eckhardt Fuchs, Marcus Otto, Simiao Yu), Idara-e-Taleem-o-Aagahi (Muhammad Afzan Munir, Hamza Sarfaraz, Baela Raza Jamil, Monazza Aslam), Oxfam India (Anjela Taneja, Randeep Kaur, Sanjeev Rai, Shamaila Khalil, Sanghamitra Mishra), Pratham Education Foundation (Samyukta Lakshman, Rukmini Banerji, Arjun Agarwal), Sightsavers – Royal Commonwealth Society for the Blind (Guy Le Fanu, Gareth Roberts, Lianna Jones, Clare McGill, Elena Schmidt), UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education (Tao Zhan, Natalia Amelina) in partnership with Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, UNICEF Innocenti Centre (Dominic Richardson) and University of Oulu (Elina Lehtomäki).

We are grateful for the expertise and guidance of the GEM Report's Advocacy Working Group on inclusion and education: Nafisa Baboo (Light for the World), Julia McGeown and Sian Tesni (International Disability and Development Consortium), Sirtaj Kaur (Global Partnership for Education), Catherine Howgego and Matt Clancy (United Kingdom Department for International Development), Rosmarie Jah and Wongani Grace Taulo (UNICEF), Emilie Sidaner (World Food Programme), Rebecca Telford (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees), Rubén Ávila (International Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer and Intersex Youth and Student Organisation), Robie Halip (Indigenous Peoples Major Group), Priscille Geiser (International Disability Alliance) and Deboleena Rakshit (Promundo).

Additional thanks go to numerous institutions that hosted consultations on the GEM Report's 2020 concept note, as well as the many individuals and organizations that provided input during the consultation process. Particular thanks go to the Foundation to Promote Open Society, the Inclusive Education Special Interest Group of the Comparative and International Education Society, the German Commission for UNESCO and the UK Forum for International Education and Training.

We are grateful to Florence Migeon (UNESCO) and the organizing team of the Cali International Forum on Inclusion and Equity in Education and to Natasha Graham (UNICEF) and Jennifer Pye (IIEP) for the invitation to the Technical Round Tables on inclusion of children with disabilities in education sector planning. Marina Martinho and Mario Spiezio (UNDESA) kindly shared data on accessibility in schools. Paula Reid (Zero Project) shared background information on innovative practices in inclusive education.

We have benefitted greatly from our partnership with the following organizations in the context of the two forthcoming regional reports on inclusion and education: on the Latin America and Caribbean edition with the UNESCO Regional Bureau for Education in Latin America and the Caribbean (Claudia Uribe, Carlos Vargas Tamez, Ximena Rubio Vargas) and the SUMMA Education Research and Innovation Laboratory for Latin America and the Caribbean (Javier Gonzalez, Ismael Tabilo); and on the Central and Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia edition with the European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education (Cor J. W. Meijer, Amanda Watkins) and the Network of Education Policy Centres (Lana Jurko, Dženana Husremović).

A group of independent experts reviewed the draft of the GEM Report's thematic part and provided valuable feedback. For their input we thank Nafisa Baboo, Verity Donnelly, Daniel Mont, Diane Richler, Nidhi Singal and Roger Slee. Lani Florian kindly reviewed the chapter on teachers.

Special thanks go to the GEM Report's first cohort of fellows, supported by the Foundation to Promote Open Society: Madhuri Agarwal, Gabriel Bădescu, Donald Baum and Enrique Valencia Lopez.

The report was edited by Jessica Hutchings, whom we thank for her tireless work. Our thanks also go to Justine Doody for editing our communication materials.

We also wish to acknowledge those who worked tirelessly to support the production of the report, including Rebecca Brite, Blossom, Erin Crum and FHI 360 (Shannon Dyson, Kay Garcia, Krista Gill and Aziza Mukhamedkhanova).

Many colleagues within and outside UNESCO were involved in the translation, design and production and printing of the 2020 GEM Report and its related materials and we would like to extend to them our deep appreciation for their support.

Specific thanks go to Burness Communications Inc., Anne Derenne, Dean Swift, Housatonic Design Network and Rooftop for their support to the outreach of the GEM Report; to Association Valentin Hauy for producing Braille and easy-to-read versions of the summary; and to Humanity and Inclusion, Foundation to Promote Open Society, Save the Children, UNHCR and UNICEF for extensive use of their photos.

Finally, we would like to thank the short-term consultants and interns who provided much input to the GEM Report team: Gabriela Mathieu, Ulrich Janse van Vuuren, Walter Gomez Velarde and Jiaheng Zhou. Thanks also to the students of the Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, Sorbonne School of Economics, who contributed to the development of country profiles: Alexandra Methot, Diallo Kindi Mohamed, Kyeonghun Joo and Yang Yang.

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 3525