

WHO BENCHMARKS FOR THE TRAINING OF AYURVEDA



WHO BENCHMARKS FOR THE TRAINING OF AYURVEDA



WHO benchmarks for the training of Ayurveda

ISBN 978-92-4-004271-1 (electronic version)

ISBN 978-92-4-004272-8 (print version)

© World Health Organization 2022

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization (<http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules/>).

Suggested citation. WHO benchmarks for the training of Ayurveda. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <https://www.who.int/copyright>.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

This published material is developed as a tool to standardize the training of Ayurveda. All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, publication of the material by WHO does not imply any endorsement, certification, warranty of fitness, or recommendation, either expressed or implied, by WHO of Ayurveda, its effectiveness or safety, and does not imply a preference over any other medical practice. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Design by Inis Communication

Contents

Foreword	iv
Preface	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Glossary	vii
Introduction	ix
1. Background	1
1.1 History of practice regulations and training in Ayurveda	1
1.2 Purpose, domain and scope of training	3
2. Types of training	5
2.1 Training programmes for Ayurveda practitioners	5
2.2 Training programmes for associate Ayurveda service providers	5
3. Training and learning outcomes	7
3.1 Practitioner training programmes	7
3.2 Associate Ayurveda service provider training programmes	10
4. Content and structure of training	15
4.1 Ayurveda practitioner training programmes	16
4.2 Associate Ayurveda service provider training programmes	23
5. General considerations	27
5.1 Syllabus, curriculum and medium of instruction	27
5.2 Other considerations	27
References	28
Bibliography	30
Annex 1. Types of Ayurveda practitioner training programmes	35
Annex 2. Types of associate Ayurveda service provider training programmes	36
Annex 3. Suggested duration of Ayurveda training programmes	37
Annex 4. Essential resources on Ayurveda	39
Annex 5. Surgical interventions (<i>śāstra karma</i>) that need specific type III specialty training	40
Annex 6. Specific interventions not included under surgical procedures (<i>śāstra karma</i>) that need specific type III specialty training	42
Annex 7. Formal licensure and established national standards and guidelines available in Member States that supported the development of this document	43
Annex 8. WHO expert consultation meeting	56
Annex 9. Other external contributors	59

Foreword

The World Health Organization (WHO) is currently implementing its 13th General Programme of Work (GPW13) to support countries in reaching all health-related Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). GPW13 is structured around three interconnected strategic priorities: achieving universal health coverage; addressing health emergencies; and promoting healthier populations. These strategic priorities are supported by three strategic shifts: stepping up leadership; driving public health impacts in every country; and focusing global public goods on impact.


Traditional medicine has always had a role in this collective endeavour. The Declaration of Astana, renewed from the Declaration of Alma-Ata towards universal health coverage and the SDGs, reaffirms the role of traditional medicine in strengthening primary health care, a cornerstone of health systems, in pursuit of health for all. This has also been reflected in the *WHO global report on traditional and complementary medicine 2019*, in which 88% of WHO Member States acknowledge the use of traditional and complementary medicine in health care.

Taking note of the growing importance of traditional medicine in the provision of health care nationally and globally, WHO and its Member States have strived to explore ways to integrate, as appropriate, safe and evidence-based traditional and complementary medicine services within national or subnational health systems, as committed to in the Political Declaration of the High-level Meeting on Universal Health Coverage.

WHO aims to provide policy and technical guidance to Member States; promote the safe and effective use of traditional and complementary medicine through appropriate regulation of products, practices and practitioners; and support Member States in harnessing the contribution of traditional and complementary medicine to people-centred health care in implementing the *WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2014–2023*.

Setting norms and standards is a unique function of WHO. The normative work is driven by needs and could be translated into real impact in relevant countries through appropriate policy options. This series of benchmarks, covering various systems and interventions of traditional, complementary and integrative medicine, aims to provide a reference point to which actual practice and practitioners can be evaluated.

I am very pleased to introduce this series to policy-makers, health workers and the general public, and I firmly believe it will serve its purpose.



Zsuzsanna Jakab
Deputy Director-General
World Health Organization

Preface

Integrated health services are essential for the World Health Organization (WHO) in the implementation of its 13th General Programme of Work, which aims to support countries in achieving universal health coverage and the health-related Sustainable Development Goals. The overarching mission for the Department of Integrated Health Services is to accelerate equitable access to good-quality health services that are integrated and people-centred, and that can be monitored and evaluated.

WHO is unique in its mandate to provide independent normative guidance. Its normative products encompass a wide range of global public health goods, including norms and standards. It is therefore the primary role of the Department of Integrated Health Services to generate and produce relevant global goods. Key to improving its work in this area is ensuring global public health goods are driven by country needs and can deliver tangible impacts at the country level.

As of 2018, when 88% of WHO Member States acknowledged the use of traditional and complementary medicine, WHO's support in evaluating the safety, quality and effectiveness of traditional and complementary medicine has continuously ranked in the top areas of need, according to the *WHO global report on traditional and complementary medicine 2019*.

WHO prioritizes normative products based on an assessment of demands. To address increasing needs and to drive impact in countries, this series of benchmarks captures the main systems and interventions of traditional, complementary and integrative medicine by setting up required norms and standards on training and practice.

These benchmarks documents have been prepared following existing WHO methodology and processes. They consider consumer protection and patient safety as core to professional practice and reflect the consensus of what the community of practitioners of traditional medicine disciplines considers to be reasonable practice in the respective discipline. They provide a reference point to which the practice and practitioners of traditional medicine can be compared and evaluated. These documents will support countries to establish appropriate legal and regulatory frameworks for the practice of traditional medicine. WHO will not only assess the quality of these normative products but also streamline systems and plans for monitoring and evaluation.

I am pleased to present this series of benchmarks and invite you to join us in measuring and documenting their impact.



Rudi Eggers

Director
Department of Integrated Health Services
World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

The World Health Organization (WHO) gratefully acknowledges the many individuals and organizations that contributed to the development of this document (see Annexes 8 and 9).

WHO thanks Dinesh Chand Katoch, who contributed to the development of the initial draft of this document.

WHO expresses sincere appreciation to Jorge Luis Berra, Jayant Deopujari, Simone Hunziker, Antonio Morandi and Sivarama Prasad Vinjamury for their technical contributions during different phases of the development of the document.

Special thanks are due to Kalpanaben Ajoodhea, Parthiv Bhatt, Santosh Kumar Bhatted, Vijay Carolin, Radhakrishnan Chandrasekharan, Swapna Kumar Datta, Kartar Singh Dhiman, Pradip Kumar Goswami, Raveendra Nathan Pillai Indusekhar, Anupama Kizhakkeveettil, Mita Kotecha, Paulo Peter Mhame, Manoj Nesari, Tanuja Nesari, Valdis Pirags, Prasanna Narasimha Rao, Franz Rutz, Revana Siddappa Sarashetti, Sanjeev Sharma, Viswanathan Pillai Shyam, Narayanam Srikanth, Elmar Stapelfeldt, Noushad Ali Thachaparamban, Anup Kumar Thakar, Siddhartha Thakur, Sunil Kumar Vijayagopal, Tilakasiri Weerathna, Asmita Ashish Wele and Meby Anna Zachariah for their valuable contributions supporting the development of the document.

WHO acknowledges the contributions of Alireza Abbassian, Saifulla Khalid Adamji, Chitane Mushtaq Ahamed, Sartaj Nafees Bano Ansari, Suresh C Bachar, Stephen Yao Gbedema, Mujeeb Hoosen, Syed Shakir Jamil, Asim Ali Khan, Shariq H Khan, Mukhtar Ahmad Qasmi, Buhari Mohammed Rishad, Iftikhar Ahmed Saifi and Mohammed Abdul Waheed for their inputs to the document while participating in the consultation meeting.

WHO is indebted to all the experts who provided valuable comments and suggestions during the peer review process.

Special acknowledgments go to Dammika Abeygunawardena, Amarjeet S. Bhamra, Sundaran K, Philipp A. Maas, Mahesh Mathpati, Gordana Markovic Petrovic, Buduru Sreenivasa Prasad, Rammanohar Puthiyedath, Ajit S, Manoj Sankaranarayana, Darshan Shankar, Susan Shaw, Tamara Slijepcevic, Goh Cheng Soon, Saketh Ram T, Olivier Talpain, Rakesh Narayanan V and Teh Li Yin for their contributions to support the development of this document.

Special thanks go to Noha Iessa, Shanthi Narayan Pal and Herbert Schmidt from the WHO Regulation and Prequalification Department for reviewing the document and providing valuable and relevant suggestions.

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_23360

