



# Health and economic impacts of transport interventions in Accra, Ghana

Daniel Essel  
Joseph V Spadaro

WHO URBAN HEALTH INITIATIVE



ACCRA  
METROPOLITAN  
ASSEMBLY



ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION  
AGENCY, GHANA



Ghana Health Services  
Your Health Our Concern



MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT  
Republic of Ghana



CLIMATE &  
CLEAN AIR  
COALITION  
TO REDUCE SHORT-LIVED  
CLIMATE POLLUTANTS



# Health and economic impacts of transport interventions in Accra, Ghana

Daniel Essel  
Joseph V Spadaro

WHO URBAN HEALTH INITIATIVE



ACCRA  
METROPOLITAN  
ASSEMBLY



ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION  
AGENCY, GHANA



Ghana Health Services  
Your Health Our Concern



MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT  
Republic of Ghana



CLIMATE &  
CLEAN AIR  
COALITION  
TO REDUCE SHORT-LIVED  
CLIMATE POLLUTANTS

Health and economic impacts of transport interventions in Accra, Ghana / Daniel Essel, Joseph V Spadaro

ISBN 978-92-4-001730-6 (electronic version)

ISBN 978-92-4-001731-3 (print version)

© World Health Organization, 2020

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercialShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

**Suggested citation.** Essel D, Spadaro JV. Health and economic impacts of transport interventions in Accra, Ghana. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Licence: World Health Organization; 2020. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

**Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data.** CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

**Sales, rights and licensing.** To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <http://www.who.int/about/licensing>.

**Third-party materials.** If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

**General disclaimers.** The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

The named authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication.

Cover photo: © Abraham Mwaura/WHO

Design and layout by L'IV Com Sàrl, Switzerland

# CONTENTS

Preface	iv
Acknowledgements	vi
Abbreviations	vii
1. Introduction	1
2. Assessing the impact of transport mitigation measures	2
2.1 Current transport sector policies	2
2.2 iSThAT sustainable transport tool	3
3. Baseline and alternative scenarios	5
3.1 Baseline scenario	5
3.2 Alternative pathways	7
3.3 Exposure calculation	10
4. Results and discussion	11
5. Conclusion	15
References	16



# PREFACE

Globally, in 2010, the transport sector accounted for 14% of the greenhouse gases (GHG) budget (1). In developing countries, the rapid pace of motorization and limited investment in sustainable mass transportation and energy efficient mobility systems have led to widespread traffic congestion and degradation of urban air quality levels, with consequential negative impacts to the environment and human health.

The WHO Urban Health Initiative (UHI) has rolled out a programme to support national- and city-level government officials in the use of health impact assessment tools that can be used to assess the environmental, health and economic co-benefits of future sustainable urban transportation action plans that can lead to reduced environmental emissions, improved air quality levels, and, thus, contribute to positive changes in the quality of life of citizens. Gains in health and well-being involve avoided pain and suffering from illnesses and reduced risk of premature death, while economic benefits consist of averted private and public health care costs, and gains in productivity output.

This report includes discussions on transport and health data availability, and analysis for specific transport scenarios for the Greater Accra Metropolitan Area (GAMA), using the Integrated Sustainable Transport Health Assessment Tool (iSThAT). The tool provides a framework for rapid assessment of health and economic benefits. Three mitigation scenarios have been modelled to compare the effects of different policy interventions with regard to land use, transport mode, energy efficiency and demand, and their relative impacts on public health and associated costs.

Over 2015–2050, in the Business-As-Usual (BAU) Scenario, the demand for transport is predicted to increase three-fold, personal car ownership is expected to double, and there will be greater utilization of the public transport system (higher ridership of urban buses, for example). The fleet of private vehicles consists mainly of conventional petrol cars, which account for 60% to 67% of the transport modal share. Compared with 2015, car fuel economy improves around 20% by 2050; meanwhile exhaust emissions decrease as a result of stock turnover favouring vehicles with enhanced pollution control technologies and a shift to low sulfur content fuels. Over time, walking and cycling combined contribute approximately 1 in 3 of total passenger-kilometres (pkm) travelled.

In Alternative Scenario #1, future transport demand is the same as in the BAU Scenario, though a slight decrease in passenger car use is expected due to measures outlined in the revised national transport policy (2020). The demand for conventional buses is expected to be steady, but there is a slight shift to electrified mass transport. In Alternative Scenario #2, a 31% decrease in transport activity is forecast due to land-use and spatial planning reforms that focus on creating secondary “hub centres” of economic and social activity closer to where people live. Finally, in Alternative Scenario #3, a significant shift from the use of passenger cars to electrified public transport (up to 10% of transport demand by 2050) is envisaged. Further, there will be an increase in walking and cycling (up to 45% of total pkm in 2050), and a switch to the purchasing of hybrid cars and battery electric vehicles (BEV). These assumptions are based on current discussions around national- and city-level policies.



Alternative Scenario #3 is the most ambitious intervention plan among the three urban transportation development pathways. Compared with BAU, the number of postponed premature deaths over the entire period (2015–2050) ranges between 1800 and 5500 deaths related to reduced air pollution (improvements in air quality) plus an additional health benefit of 33 000 avoided deaths attributable to increased physical activity (active travel). In addition to health gains, Alternative Scenario #3 reduced carbon emissions by 159 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> compared with BAU. In economic terms, the combined benefit from reduced mortality and morbidity ranges between US\$ 14 and 16 billion (2011 prices at a 5% discount rate). By comparison, the economic value of the health gains under Alternative Scenario #1, the least ambitious transport action plan, is about 15% of those calculated for Alternative Scenario #3. It is worth noting that the economic benefit is very sensitive to the discount rate. In fact, the health benefit under Alternative Scenario #3 would increase by 80% if the discount rate was changed to 3% versus a reduction of 50% if the discount rate choice was 7.5%.

The estimates of the health and economic impacts of transport scenarios for GAMA allow policy-makers to make science-based decisions regarding whether planned transport programmes and projects are likely to prevent diseases and deliver health gains while achieving sustainability goals over the medium- to long-term time horizon.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by Daniel Essel (Senior Planning Officer, Coordinator of Road Transport Services and Focal Point for Climate Change, Directorate of Policy Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation, Ministry of Transport, Republic of Ghana) and Joseph V Spadaro (Research Scientist, Spadaro Environmental Research Consultants), under the coordination of Thiago Hérick de Sá (Technical Officer, WHO Department of Environment, Climate Change and Health), and with inputs and support in data collection and analysis from the Ghana Transport Working Group at the WHO Urban Health Initiative (UHI) Data Analysis Workshop held in Ghana 3–6 July 2018.

Ghana Transport Working Group: Hanatu Abdulai (National Road Safety Authority), Issahaku Algore Abubakari (Driver and Vehicle Licensing Authority), Desmond Appiah (Accra Metropolitan Assembly), Emmanuel Appoh (Environmental Protection Agency), James Derry (Building and Road Research Institute), Alex Johnson (Accra Metropolitan Assembly), Emmanuel Karah (Ghana Health Service), Angelina Mensah (Environmental Protection Agency), Victor Owusu (Ghana Statistical Services), Reginald Quansah (University of Ghana, School of Public Health), Ferdinand Yali (Department of Urban Roads), Dzifa Zorkeh (Energy Commission).

The report was reviewed by and received inputs from Gordon Dakuu (National Professional Officer, WHO Ghana); Pierpaolo Mudu (Technical Officer, WHO Department of Environment, Climate Change and Health); and Andreia Santos (Health Economist, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine). A further review was provided by Michael Hinsch and Abraham Mwaura (WHO Department of Environment, Climate Change and Health).

The preliminary results of the analysis were presented and discussed with a wide group of relevant stakeholders at the Accra Metropolitan Assembly in August 2018, within the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Megacities, Climate and Clean Air Coalition (CCAC) UHI, World Bank Joint Workshop Series.

This work was supported by the Climate and Clean Air Coalition through the grant provided for the

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_24460](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_24460)

