



THE GLOBAL PRACTICE OF AFTER ACTION REVIEW

A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF LITERATURE



World Health
Organization



THE GLOBAL PRACTICE OF AFTER ACTION REVIEW

A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF LITERATURE



World Health
Organization

© WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2019

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share-Alike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

SUGGESTED CITATION. The global practice of After Action Review: A Systematic Review of Literature. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2019 (WHO/WHE/CPI/2019.9). Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

CATALOGUING-IN-PUBLICATION (CIP) DATA. CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

SALES, RIGHTS AND LICENSING. To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <http://www.who.int/about/licensing>.

THIRD-PARTY MATERIALS. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

GENERAL DISCLAIMERS. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

CONTENTS

1

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 RATIONALE OF THE REVIEW
- 1.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE REVIEW
- 1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE REVIEW

1
1
2
2

2

METHODOLOGY

- 2.1 REVIEW PROTOCOL
- 2.2 SEARCH STRATEGY, DATA SOURCES AND PUBLICATION TYPES
 - 2.2.1 SEARCH TERMS
- 2.3 EXCLUSION AND INCLUSION CRITERIA
- 2.4 INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSESSMENT

3
3
3
4
5
5

3

RESULTS

- 3.1 LIST OF SOURCES AND KEY COMPONENTS
- 3.2 QUALITY ASSESSMENT ANALYSIS

6
6
6

4

SCOPE OF AARS

- 4.1 DEFINING AARS IN POST-EMERGENCY SETTINGS
 - 4.1.1 PURPOSE OF AARS
 - 4.1.2 BENEFITS: WHAT AARS CAN DELIVER

7
7
8
8

	— 4.1.3 CHARACTERISTICS OF AARs	9
	— 4.1.4 ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK OF AARs	10
	— 4.1.5 FORMS OF AARs	11
	— 4.1.6 LIMITS OF AARs IN POST-EMERGENCY REVIEWS	12
	4.2 ROLE OF AARs IN THE SPECTRUM OF POST-EMERGENCY REVIEWS	12
	— 4.2.1 LIMITS OF THE AAR AS A TOOL FOR EVALUATION	13
	— 4.2.2 AARs AS LEARNING TOOLS	14
	— 4.2.3 AARs AND OTHER RELEVANT KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES	15
5	CONDUCTING AN AAR	18
	5.1 AAR PROCESS	18
	5.2 AAR FORMAT	20
	5.3 AAR FACILITATOR AND PARTICIPANTS: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	21
	5.4 WHEN TO CONDUCT AARs	22
	5.5 AARs: TIPS AND TRAPS	22
6	THE OUTCOMES OF AN AAR	24
	6.1 AFTER ACTION REPORTS	24
	6.2 A DATABASE OF LESSONS LEARNED AND BEST PRACTICES	25
7	CONCLUSIONS	27
	REFERENCES	28
	ANNEX. LIST OF REVIEW QUESTIONS	33

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document was prepared by Landry Ndriko Mayigane, Nicolas Isla, Emanuele Bruni, Frederik Copper and Stella Chungong.

The World Health Organization (WHO) wishes to acknowledge the contribution of the following individuals: Michael Stoto (Georgetown University and the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health) and Elena Savoia (Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health), for their inspirational support during the reviews; Marcus Abrahamsson (Lund University), for providing direction; Naouar Labidi (World Food Programme) and Cindy Chiu (Tohoku University), for their invaluable inputs. Thanks are also due to the following WHO staff members: Tomas Allen and Jose Garnica Carreno, for their support in outlining a search strategy; Paul Cox, for initial advice on sources of information on after action reviews; Yahaya Ali Ahmed, Denis Charles, David Cuenca, Yingxin Pei, Mary Stephen, Candice Vente and all the members of the former WHO Department of Country Health Emergency Preparedness and International Health Regulations (CPI), for their valuable inputs.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AAR	After action review	ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ADB	Asian Development Bank	IZA	Institute for the Study of Labour
BAR	Before action review	JEE	Joint external evaluation
ALNAP	Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance in Humanitarian Action	LLIS	Lessons Learned Information Sharing
		MSF	Médecins Sans Frontières
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (United States)	NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
CHS	Common Humanitarian Standard	NHS	National Health Service (United Kingdom)
CLIC	Cumbria Learning and Improvement Collaborative	OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
CPI	WHO Country Health Emergency Preparedness and International Health Regulations	PHAC	Public Health Agency of Canada
		PHE	Public Health England
DAC	Development Assistance Committee	RTE	Real-time evaluation
ECDC	European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control	SSH	Society for Simulation in Healthcare
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations	UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
		UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency (United States)	UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
HSPH	Harvard School of Public Health	UNISDR	United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross	UNOCHA	United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs
IFRC	International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent	UNOPS	United Nations Office for Project Service
IHR	International Health Regulations (2005)	US	United States
IHR MEF	International Health Regulations Monitoring and Evaluation Framework	USAID	United States Agency for International Development
IOM	International Organization for Migration	WFP	World Food Programme
		WHO	World Health Organization

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Identifying lessons following an emergency response is an important part of any emergency management procedures. The purpose of these exercises is to ensure quality improvement and the strengthening of preparedness and response systems based on learning emerging from previous actions in responding to an emergency or event. Systematic post-event learning will contribute to a culture of continuous improvement and can be a means of sharing innovative solutions on how to tackle emerging public health risks. There are different forms of evaluation and learning following an emergency, and the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that Member States conduct after action reviews (AARs) as part of the International Health Regulations (IHR) Monitoring and Evaluation Framework (IHR MEF) in order to assess the functionality of core capacities and to contribute

and how to improve. AAR can range from quick informal debriefing sessions with team members to larger workshops with broad, multisectoral participation led by facilitators. Importantly, AARs are not external evaluations of an individual's or a team's performance. They do not seek to measure performance against benchmarks or key performance standards but are a constructive, collective learning opportunity, where the relevant stakeholders involved in the preparedness for, and response to, the public health event under review can find common ground on how to improve preparedness and response capability.

This literature review was undertaken to identify and build understanding around principle characteristics of AARs, including their methodologies, formats, planning and roles. It also sought to look at AARs in

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_25420

