



PREVENTING SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS: IMPLEMENTATION APPROACHES FOR EVIDENCE-BASED RECOMMENDATIONS Preventing surgical site infections: implementation approaches for evidence-based recommendations

ISBN 978-92-4-151438-5

© World Health Organization 2018

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

Suggested citation. Preventing surgical site infections: implementation approaches for evidence-based recommendations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at http://apps.who.int/iris.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see http://apps.who.int/ bookorders. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see http://www.who.int/about/licensing.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Graphic design by CommonSense, Greece. Printed in Switzerland.

CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS4 |
|---|
| ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS |
| 1. INTRODUCTION |
| 2. PURPOSE OF THE DOCUMENT 12 |
| 3. SECTION I: THE WHO SURGICAL SAFETY CHECKLIST – AN EXAMPLE OF BRINGING GUIDELINES TO LIFE IN THE SERVICE OF PATIENT SAFETY |
| 3.1 Introduction |
| 3.2 Background13 |
| 3.3 Developing the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist: building implementation and dissemination from the start |
| 3.4 Launching the Checklist: a multifaceted approach to early engagement and dissemination 14 |
| 3.5 Testing the Checklist: the importance of testing, collecting feedback and adapting |
| 3.6 Creation of an online community for additional feedback and engagement |
| 3.7 Engagement of key influencers to ensure successful uptake and implementation |
| 3.8 Creating the right culture for implementation: the Checklist journey (at national/subnational and facility level) |
| 3.8.1 General lessons from large-scale mandated |
| 3.8.2 General lessons from large-scale voluntary |
| implementation efforts |
| 3.9 The challenge of bringing the WHO Surgical Safety |
| Checklist to low- and middle-income countries24 |
| 3.9.1 Spreading knowledge of the Checklist |
| 3.9.3 The Checklist is difficult to implement in its entirety |
| 3.10 Summary of general lessons from the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist to consider for the scale-up of other interventions25 |

4. SECTION II: IMPROVEMENT INTERVENTION EXAMPLES FOR SURGICAL SITE INFECTION

| REDUCTION | |
|---|--|
| 4.1 A review of the evidence framed around | |
| the Four E's model27 | |
| 4.1.1 Introduction | |
| 4.1.2 Facilitating successful improvement using | |
| engagement, education, execution and | |
| evaluation28 | |
| 4.1.3 Summary of success factors and barriers | |
| to improvement | |
| 4.2 Use of a multimodal infection control and patient | |
| safety intervention in four African countries | |
| 4.2.1 Introduction | |
| 4.2.2 Background to the SUSP-Africa multimodal | |
| intervention approach | |
| 4.2.3 Actions carried out to improve on the surgical | |
| site infection prevention process and outcome | |
| measures | |
| 4.2.4 Key lessons from the SUSP-Africa experience 37 | |
| 5. SECTION III: THE WHO MULTIMODAL | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | 39 |
|--|-----|
| 5.1 Introduction | .39 |
| 5.2 Background | .40 |
| 5.3 The multimodal approach explained in detail | .40 |
| 5.4 Applying the multimodal approach to SSI prevention | |
| to achieve improvement | .44 |
| | |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Department of Service Delivery and Safety of the World Health Organization (WHO) gratefully acknowledges the contributions that many individuals and organizations have made to the development of this practical manual.

Overall coordination and writing of the document

Benedetta Allegranzi (Department of Service Delivery and Safety, WHO) coordinated the development of this document and contributed to its writing. Claire Kilpatrick (Department of Service Delivery and Safety, WHO) led the writing of the document; Lizabeth Edmonson (Ariadne Labs, United States of America [USA]), Willian R Berry (Ariadne Labs, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, United States of America [USA]) and Tom Weiser (Stanford University School of Medicine, United States of America [USA]) led the writing of section I (The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist - an example of bringing guidelines to life in the service of patient safety); Bassim Zayed (Division of Communicable Disease, WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region Office) and Giulio Toccafondi (Patient Safety Centre and Risk Management Tuscany Region, Italy) made contributions to section II (Improvement intervention examples for surgical site infection reduction) and section III (The WHO multimodal approach as a proven and successful way to implement surgical site infection prevention recommendations into practice), respectively. Rosemary Sudan provided professional editing assistance.

Contributions to the development of the report

The following experts provided original content contributing to the development of section II: Promise Ariyo, Blair Anton (Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, United States of America [USA]), Asad Latif (The Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety and Quality, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, United States of America [USA]), Sean Berenholtz (The Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety and Quality, Johns Hopkins University Schools of Medicine and Bloomberg School of Public Health, United States of America [USA]).

Expert review group

The following experts contributed to the review of the manual: Alex Aitken (London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom [UK]); An Caluwaerts (Médecins Sans Frontières/ Doctors Without Borders, Belgium); Asad Latif (Johns Hopkins Hospital and University Schools of Medicine, United States of America [USA]); Paul Malpiedi (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, United States of America [USA]); Anna Maruta (WHO Country Office, Sierra Leone); Shaheen Mehtar (Infection Control Network Africa, Republic of South Africa); Peter Nthumba (AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kenya); Joseph S Solomkin (University of Cincinnati College of Medicine and World Surgical Infection Society, United States of America [USA]); Julie Storr (Department of Service Delivery and Safety, WHO); Giulio Toccafondi (Patient Safety Centre and Risk Management Tuscany Region, Italy); Akeau Unahalekhaka (Chiang Mai University, Thailand); Tom Weiser (Stanford University School of Medicine, United States of America [USA]).

Photograph contributions

Courtesy of Didier Pittet, MD (University of Geneva Hospitals, Switzerland); courtesy of Juliana Cusack (Patrick Okao, MD, Surgeon, Butaro District Hospital, Rwanda, and James Cusack, MD, Visiting Surgeon from Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States of America [USA]).

Acknowledgement of financial support

Funding for the development of this document was provided by WHO.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

| CCiSC | Clean Care is Safer Care |
|----------|---|
| CUSP | Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program |
| Four E's | engage, educate, execute and evaluate |
| HAI | health care-associated infection |
| IHI | Institute of Healthcare Improvement |
| IPC | infection prevention and control |
| LMICs | low- and middle-income countries |
| OR | operating room |
| PDSA | plan, do, study, act |
| SAP | surgical antibiotic prophylaxis |
| SBAR | situation, background, assessment, and recommendation |
| SSI | surgical site infection |
| SUSP | Surgical Unit-based Surgical Program |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| USA | United States of America |
| WHO | World Health Organization |

1 INTRODUCTION

Among the range of avoidable harms associated with health care, health care-associated infections (HAI) have been described as a significant burden (1). Surgical site infections (SSIs) are the most frequent HAI in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), and can affect up to one-third of surgical patients (2). In African countries, infection is the most frequent complication in surgery and up to 20% of women who have a caesarean section develop a postoperative wound infection, compromising their own health and their ability to care for their infants (3) (WHO, unpublished data, 2016). In higher income settings, SSI are the second most frequent HAI in Europe (4) and the United States of America [USA] (5). They threaten the lives of millions of patients each year and contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance. In the USA, these infections are estimated to contribute to patients spending more than 400 000 extra days in hospital at a cost of an additional US\$ 10 billion per year (6). SSI prevention is complex as the risk results from several factors arising from the surgical patient journey, including sometimes after discharge.

Similar to any other HAI, SSIs are largely avoidable and up to one-half can generally be prevented through the successful implementation of clinical practice guidelines using a multimodal improvement strategy (7). However, no health facility or country can claim to be free of avoidable infections. Infection prevention requires behavioural change interventions. Furthermore, many health facilities do not yet have the infrastructure or established infection prevention and control (IPC) programmes in place. The recommendations contained within the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines on core components of IPC programmes (8) underpin HAI prevention and include SSI prevention as one of the building blocks for achieving impacts on patient outcomes.

In the wider context, many approaches to preventing SSI are also relevant to improving other issues around surgical safety (3, 9, 10). This is especially relevant to surgery performed in LMICs where there is both the greatest unmet need for surgical services and the most challenges for the delivery of high-quality surgical care. The so-called "Global Surgery" agenda (9) is an ongoing challenge and a recognized international burden. To this end, the effective deployment of SSI preventive actions represents a solution, at least in part, to one aspect of this wider challenge, including also the global burden of antimicrobial resistance agenda (11).

Much progress has been made over recent decades in designing and testing new approaches to IPC. To achieve substantial and lasting behavioural changes, it is now recognized that these approaches should be grounded in social and implementation science theory. Successful health care improvement projects must be simple enough for frontline staff to understand, sufficiently limited in scope to be accomplished without significant new resources, and relevant enough so as not to require input that participating organizations are fundamentally unable to provide.

The most successful improvement projects typically embrace a multimodal approach, which requires a strong understanding of the local context. There are many descriptions of how to undertake improvement projects, including implementation models or frameworks, as well as both anecdotal and formal descriptions of local activities. For the first time, this document presents a range of examples from different settings to stimulate next steps in planning for SSI prevention strategies.

Important in informing this document, in November 2016 WHO launched its evidence-based global guidelines on the prevention of SSI (12) with the dual aim of providing guidance on a wide range of issues that influence infection risk and to overcome some inconsistencies in the interpretation of evidence and recommendations in existing national guidelines. Importantly, these guidelines have been developed to be valid for any country and amenable to local adaptation. They take account of the strength of available scientific evidence, cost and resource implications, as well as patient values and preferences. In 2017, updated evidence-based recommendations from the United States (US) Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention were also issued and deal with similar topics (13).

Figure 1.1 provides a summary of measures recommended in the WHO Global guidelines for the prevention of SSI.

Figure 1.1.a

Surgical Site Infection Prevention Recommendations



Figure 1.1.a

Surgical Site Infection Prevention Recommendations



预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_25587

1