Comparative Country Studies Volume 1, Number 1 2015

Public Hospital Governance in Asia and the Pacific

Dale Huntington and Krishna Hort, Editors





Asia Pacific Observatory on Health Systems and Policies

Public Hospital Governance in Asia and the Pacific

Dale Huntington and Krishna Hort, Editors



Comparative Country Studies Volume 1, Number 1 2015

WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Public hospital governance in Asia and the Pacific

(Comparative Country Studies, Vol. 1 No. 1 2015)

1. Hospitals, Public – organization and administration. I. Asia Pacific Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. II. World Health Organization Regional Office for the Western Pacific.

ISBN 978 92 9061 711 2 (NLM Classification: WX 27.1)

© World Health Organization 2015

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization are available on the WHO website (www.who.int) or can be purchased from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; email: bookorders@who.int).

Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications–whether for sale or for non-commercial distribution–should be addressed to WHO Press through the WHO web site (www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html). For WHO Western Pacific Regional Publications, request for permission to reproduce should be addressed to Publications Office, World Health Organization, Regional Office for the Western Pacific, P.O. Box 2932, 1000, Manila, Philippines (fax: +632 521 1036, email: publications@wpro.who.int).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

The named authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication.

Contents

Public Hospital Governance in the Asia Pacific Region – Drivers of Change
Dale Huntington2
Case Studies:
A. Public hospital governance in Indonesia
Ni Luh Putu Eka Putri Andayani,Tiara Marthias, Likke Prawidya Putri, Armiatin26
B. Public hospital governance in New Zealand
Robin Gauld64
C. Public hospital governance in Viet Nam
Tran Thi Mai Oanh, Khuong Anh Tuan, Hoang Phuong,
Nguyen Khanh Phuong, Ong The Due, Krishna Hort98
D. Public hospital governance in Thailand
Viroj Tangcharoensathien, Anuwat Supachutikul, Walaiporn Patcharanarumol,
Piyawan Limpanyalert, Weerasak Putthasri, Rapeepong Supanchaimat,
Supon Limwattananon, Angkana Sommanustweechai,
Chanankarn Boonyodsawad138
E. Public hospital governance in the Philippines
Oscar F. Picazo
F. Public hospital governance in India
Antonio Duran, Kamal Gulati, Arunachalam Gunasekar, Shakti Kumar Gupta,
Parmeshwar Kumar, Chandrakant Lahariya, Angel Rajan Singh222
G. Public hospital governance in Sri Lanka
Shanti Dalpatadu, Prasadini Perera, Ruwani Wickramasinghe,
Ravindra P. Rannan-Eliya256
Public Hospital Governance: Emerging Issues and Key Lessons
Krishna Hort and Nicolette Maunganidze
Author Biographies

Public Hospital Governance in the Asia Pacific Region – Drivers of Change

Dale Huntington



Achieving Universal Health Coverage requires the full engagement of all levels and types of care throughout a nation's health system. Sustaining Universal Health Coverage requires the efficient use of resources as well as an effective resource mobilization strategy to support the provision of preventive and curative health care across different levels of the health system. In all settings, hospitals account for a very significant proportion of total health expenditures. It is not uncommon for OECD countries to report spending on inpatient care as the single biggest cost component, consuming one quarter to one third of total spending (OECD, 2013). It is safe to assume that in the Asian emerging economies, a similar or even larger proportion of total spending on hospitals exists, in part due to the region's relative insulation from the 2008 financial crisis and consequent slowdown in health spending seen in many European and North American countries (Thomson, 2014). As such, reforms that aim to improve hospital efficiency are at the center of policies that will effect sustainable achievements in Universal Health Coverage. Given this background, it is actually quite remarkable how limited comparative understanding remains of the leading experiences with hospital reforms in countries of the Asia Pacific region.

This is not because there is limited experience with hospital reform in Asia and the Pacific. The reorganization and restructuring of hospital management in the region has been underway for several decades, with different approaches being tried over time, as is shown in this book. Most reforms are taken up in response to common problems such as waste, inefficiency, failure to serve the poor, substandard quality, high costs, brain drain and public dissatisfaction. Public sector hospitals have implemented wide-ranging changes, generally under policy guidance that aims to increase autonomy and regional organization. Private management practices have been introduced in public hospitals, blurring lines between public and private. The growth of privately owned and operated hospitals has also occurred, and governments throughout the region are reacting to gain benefits from a mixed hospital system. Governments have introduced policy changes to adapt to these changes, aiming to manage and influence hospitals with the goal to improve efficiency, quality and patient responsiveness. A generalized focus of the reforms in most countries has been the governance of public hospitals, in particular the degree of autonomy provided to public hospitals.

In health policy the terms "public hospital" and "governance" have mixed connotations and vary across settings (Hort and Annear, 2012). There is a consensus that the public/private distinction refers to state/non-state hospital ownership. However, the dichotomy is complicated by real world experiences of mixed state/non-state hospital financing, differences in autonomy, blends of state-employed and non-state employed providers in a single hospital, and for-profit versus not-for-profit financing. "Hospital governance" refers to administrative or proprietary relationships that are external to a hospital's individual organizational structure (Hort and Annear, 2012; Saltman et al., 2011; Ramesh et al., 2013). Governance may be conceptualized as centralized, decentralized, or network-based; hierarchical, non-hierarchical, or collaborative; and/or government-based or market-based structures (Ramesh et al., 2013).

Ideally, good public hospital governance implies that the internal hospital management follows the performance objectives set by its external ownership (Saltman et al., 2011). In practice there can be mismatches between the owners' performance objectives and the incentives of hospital managers who have multiple and different types of accountabilities that complicate relations between owners and managers. Hospital governance reform is enacted to amend the governance system to enhance the functionality of those relationships with improved outcomes related to efficiency, quality and patient responsiveness. These reforms are highly setting-specific and are driven by differing contextual factors, structured in a range of ways, and with varied outcomes. Importantly, public hospital governance reform may be in response to, or an integral component of, larger public administrative reforms, such as decentralization or marketization (Jakab et al., 2002).

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_27600

