

[illegible]

Standards and Operational Guidance for Ethics Review of Health-Related Research with Human Participants

WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Standards and operational guidance for ethics review of health-related research with human participants.

1. Research - standards. 2. Ethics, Medical. 3. Ethical review - standards. 4. Ethics committees. 5. Patient selection. 6. Guidelines. I. World Health Organization.

ISBN 978 92 4 150294 8 (print)

(NLM classification: W 50)

ISBN 978 92 4 150295 5 (CD-ROM)

© World Health Organization 2011

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization are available on the WHO web site (www.who.int) or can be purchased from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: bookorders@who.int).

Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications – whether for sale or for noncommercial distribution – should be addressed to WHO Press through the WHO web site (http://www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

Printed by the WHO Document Production Services, Geneva, Switzerland.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
PREFACE	xi
I. STANDARDS FOR THE RESEARCH ETHICS REVIEW SYSTEM	1
Standard 1: Responsibility for establishing the research ethics review system	2
II. STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE FOR ENTITIES THAT ESTABLISH RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEES	5
Standard 2: Composition of research ethics committees	6
Standard 3: Research ethics committee resources	7
Standard 4: Independence of research ethics committees	8
Standard 5: Training the research ethics committee	9
Standard 6: Transparency, accountability, and quality of the research ethics committee	10
III. STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE FOR MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE	11
Standard 7: Ethical basis for decision-making in research ethics committees	12
1. <i>Scientific design and conduct of the study</i>	13
2. <i>Risks and potential benefits</i>	13
3. <i>Selection of study population and recruitment of research participants</i>	13
4. <i>Inducements, financial benefits, and financial costs</i>	14
5. <i>Protection of research participants' privacy and confidentiality</i>	14
6. <i>Informed consent process</i>	14
7. <i>Community considerations</i>	14
Standard 8: Decision-making procedures for research ethics committees	15
IV. STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE FOR THE SECRETARIAT, STAFF, AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE	17
Standard 9: Written policies and procedures	18

1. <i>Membership of the committee</i>	18
2. <i>Committee governance</i>	18
3. <i>Independent consultants</i>	18
4. <i>Submissions, documents required for review, review procedures, and decision-making</i>	19
5. <i>Communicating a decision</i>	19
6. <i>Follow-up reviews and monitoring of proposed research</i>	19
7. <i>Documentation and archiving</i>	19
 V. STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE FOR RESEARCHERS	21
Standard 10: Researchers' responsibilities	22
1. <i>Submitting an application for review</i>	22
2. <i>Conduct of research</i>	22
3. <i>Safety reporting</i>	23
4. <i>Ongoing reporting and follow-up</i>	23
5. <i>Information to research participants</i>	23
 ANNEX 1	26
Guidelines and codes of best practice	26
Statutes and regulations	26
 ANNEX 2	28
Guidance for developing terms of reference for the Secretariat of the research ethics committee	28
 ANNEX 3	30
Guidance for developing written procedures for the research ethics committee	30
I. <i>Membership of the Committee</i>	30
II. <i>Committee governance</i>	30
III. <i>Independent consultants</i>	31
IV. <i>Submissions, documents required for review, review procedures, and decision-making</i>	31
V. <i>Communicating a decision</i>	35
VI. <i>Follow-up reviews and monitoring of proposed research</i>	36
VII. <i>Documentation and archiving</i>	37
 GLOSSARY	39

Acknowledgements

This document was prepared by Marie-Charlotte Bouesseau (Department of Ethics, Equity, Trade and Human Rights, World Health Organization [ETH/WHO]), Carl Coleman (Seton Hall Law School, USA), Nancy Kass (Berman Institute of Bioethics, Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA), Juntra Laothavorn (Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases [TDR]/WHO), Abha Saxena (ETH/WHO), and Sheryl Vanderpoel (Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction [HRP]/WHO). In particular the conceptualization of the standards and the re-styling of the second edition was the outcome of discussions between Nancy Kass, Tikki Pang, and Abha Saxena. Comments on this document were also provided by members of the Technical Working Group on Ethics, members of the Research Ethics Review Committee, and focal points for WHO's Strategy on Research for Health - Robert Terry - and the Global Strategy and Plan of Action on public health, innovation and intellectual property (GSPA - PHI) – Precious Matsoso. This work was accomplished with the support of three WHO Directors, namely Rüdiger Krech (ETH/WHO), Tikki Pang (IER/WHO), and Robert Ridley (TDR/WHO).

The support provided by the Research Ethics Review Committee (WHO ERC), particularly the Chair of the Committee, Ronald Johnson, is gratefully acknowledged.

The support provided by the regional offices of WHO in dissemination of the document for review purposes was invaluable and is gratefully acknowledged. We would also like to thank the various interns and volunteers at WHO, namely Lindsay Heck, Nola Tomaska, Ning Wong, and Yeyang Su, who over time have made important contributions to the project.

Special thanks are due to the members of the WHO informal consultation noted below, who provided comments on the first draft of this document. Their detailed review and comments have shaped the development of the Standards:

Clement Adebamowo (University of Ibadan, Nigeria), David Borasky (RTI International, USA), Ingrid Callies (Institut Pasteur, France), Alexander M. Capron (University of Southern California, USA), Julie Chaumont (Partnership for Appropriate Technology in Health,

France), Julius Ecuru (National Council for Science and Technology, Uganda), David G. Forster (Western Institutional Review Board, USA), Dirceu Greco, (Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil), Nouzha Guessous (Independent Researcher and Consultant, Morocco), Reva Gutnick (Independent Consultant), Samia Hurst (University of Geneva, Switzerland), Carel IJsselmuiden (Council on Health Research for Development [COHRED], Switzerland), Amar Jesani (Anusandhan Trust, India), Irakli Khodeli (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization [UNESCO], France), Otmar Kloiber (World Medical Association [WMA], France), Gottfried Kreutz (formerly of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences [CIOMS], Switzerland), Laurence Lwoff (on behalf of Council of Europe, France), James Lavery (University of Toronto, Canada), Jacob Leveridge (Wellcome Trust, UK), Florencia Luna (National Scientific and Technical Research Council [CONICET], Argentina), Paul Ndebele (University of Botswana, Botswana), Aceme Nyika (African Malaria Network Trust [AMANET]), Muriel Socquet (Partnership for Appropriate Technology in Health, [PATH], France), Marjorie Speers (Association for the Accreditation of Human Research Protection Programs, Inc. [AAHR], USA), Christina Torres (Forum for Ethical Review Committees in the Asian and Western Pacific Region [FERCAP], Thailand), Douglas Wassenaar (University KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa), Hugh Whittall (Nuffield Council on Bioethics, UK), John Williams (formerly of the World Medical Association [WMA], France), Xiaomei Zhai (Peking Union Medical College, China).

Collaboration with UNESCO in the development of these standards has been especially valuable, both in its representation at the WHO informal consultation, and later, in the critical comments received from the chief of the bioethics section of UNESCO, Dafna Feinholz.

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_28657

