Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test Performance

Results of WHO product testing of malaria RDTs: Round 1 (2008)









Special Programme for Research & Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) sponsored by UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO

Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Test Performance

Results of WHO product testing of malaria RDTs: Round 1 (2008)









Special Programme for Research & Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) sponsored by UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data :

Malaria rapid diagnostic test performance: results of WHO product testing of malaria RDTs: round 1 (2008).

1.Malaria - diagnosis. 2.Antimalarials - therapeutic use. 3.Malaria - drug therapy. 4.Diagnostic tests, Routine. 5.Reagent kits, Diagnostic - utilization.. 6.Sensitivity and specificity. I.UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases. II.Centers for Disease Control (U.S.). III.Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics.

ISBN 978 92 4 159807 1

(NLM classification: WC 750)

Copyright © World Health Organization on behalf of the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases 2009

All rights reserved.

The use of content from this health information product for all non-commercial education, training and information purposes is encouraged, including translation, quotation and reproduction, in any medium, but the content must not be changed and full acknowledgement of the source must be clearly stated. A copy of any resulting product with such content should be sent to TDR, World Health Organization, Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. TDR is a World Health Organization (WHO) executed UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/World Health Organization Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases.

This information product is not for sale. The use of any information or content whatsoever from it for publicity or advertising, or for any commercial or income-generating purpose, is strictly prohibited. No elements of this information product, in part or in whole, may be used to promote any specific individual, entity or product, in any manner whatsoever.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this health information product, including maps and other illustrative materials, do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO, including TDR, the authors or any parties cooperating in the production, concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delineation of frontiers and borders.

Mention or depiction of any specific product or commercial enterprise does not imply endorsement or recommendation by WHO, including TDR, the authors or any parties cooperating in the production, in preference to others of a similar nature not mentioned or depicted.

The views expressed in this health information product are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of WHO, including TDR. WHO, including TDR, and the authors of this health information product make no warranties or representations regarding the content, presentation, appearance, completeness or accuracy in any medium and shall not be held liable for any damages whatsoever as a result of its use or application. WHO, including TDR, reserves the right to make updates and changes without notice and accepts no liability for any errors or omissions in this regard. Any alteration to the original content brought about by display or access through different

media is not the responsibility of WHO, including TDR, or the authors. WHO, including TDR, and the authors accept no responsibility whatsoever for any inaccurate advice or information that is provided by sources reached via linkages or references to this health information product.

Design by paprika-annecy.com

Printed in France

*5	Acknowledgements Abbreviations
contents	1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1.1. Introduction 1.2. The WHO Product Testing F 1.3. Results of the evaluation 1.4. Summary of outcomes 1.5. Use of these results
	2. BACKGROUND
	3. OBJECTIVES
 > 	 4. DATA MANAGEMENT 4.1. Test selection 4.2. Outline of the Product Test 4.3. Evaluation panels 4.4. RDT registration 4.5. Specimen panel registration 4.6. Test phases 4.7. Performing rapid tests 4.8. Interpretation of results
	5. MATERIALS AND METH
	6. QUALITY ASSURANCE
	7. ETHICAL CONSIDERATION
	 8. DATA ANALYSIS 8. 1. Defining sensitivity and spudetection and false positive 8.2. False-positives 8.2.1. Incorrect species identification 8.2.2. False-positives from <i>Plasmodium</i> negative samples 8.3. Band intensity 8.4. Lot agreement 8.5. Reader variability 8.6. Invalid tests 8.7. Heat (thermal) stability
	10. RESULTS

 \diamond

io. i. Summary	
10.2. Phase 1 - P.	falciparum culture panel

14 14 20

 1.2. The WHO Product Testing Programme 1.3. Results of the evaluation 1.4. Summary of outcomes 1.5. Use of these results 	* * * *	1 1 3 3
2. BACKGROUND		
3. OBJECTIVES		
 4. DATA MANAGEMENT 4.1. Test selection 4.2. Outline of the Product Testing Protocol 4.3. Evaluation panels 4.4. RDT registration 4.5. Specimen panel registration 4.6. Test phases 4.7. Performing rapid tests 4.8. Interpretation of results 	* * * * * * * *	6 7 7 9 9 9 10 10
5. MATERIALS AND METHODS		11
6. QUALITY ASSURANCE		11
7. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS		12
8. DATA ANALYSIS 8.1. Defining sensitivity and specificity/		12
detection and false positive rates	*	12
8.2. False-positives	*	12
8.2.1. Incorrect species identification 8.2.2. False-positives from <i>Plasmodium</i> -	*	12
negative samples	>	13
8.3. Band intensity	>	13
8.4. Lot agreement	>	13
8.5. Reader variability	>	13
8.6. Invalid tests	>	13
8.7. Heat (thermal) stability	>	13
9. LABORATORY VERSUS FIELD-BASE	D	
MALARIA RDI EVALUATIONS		

V VI

10.3. Phase 2 - Wild type <i>P. falciparum</i> and <i>P. vivax</i> and <i>Plasmodium</i> spp.		
negative samples	►	21
10.3.1. <i>P. falciparum</i> detection rate	►	21
10.3.2. <i>P. vivax</i> detection rate	*	22
10.3.3. Combined Detection of		
P falciparum and P vivax	*	22
10.3.4. <i>P. falciparum</i> and <i>P. vivax</i> positivity rate	*	23
10.3.5. Band intensity	*	25
10.3.6 False positive rates	*	25
10.3.7. Inter-reader variability	*	29
11 HEAT STARILITY		32
11 1 D falcinarumtest lines		20
11.0. Dan tost linos		5Z 27
TI.Z. Pall lest lilles	>	34
12. EASE OF USE DESCRIPTION		35
13. DISCUSSION OF KEY FINDINGS		38
13.1. Parasite detection and its relationship		
to sensitivity	*	38
13.2 False-positive rate and specificity	_	30
13.3 Heat (thermal) stability	ĺ	30
13 1 Face of use description		10
12.5. Lase of use description 12.5. Inter lot variability	>	40
13.5. IIILEI-IOL ValidDillLy	>	40
13.6. Inter-reader variability	*	40
13.7. larget antigens and species	*	41
14. ADDITIONAL MEASURES TO ENSURE QUALITY AND		
UTILITY OF RDT TESTING		41
14.1. Beyond procurement	*	41
14.2. Lot testing	►	42
Ŭ		
15. CONCLUSIONS		42
IO. REFERENCES		43
		1 E
AININEXES		45
Annex 1: Characteristics of rapid malaria		
malaria tests in the evaluation	>	46
Annex 2: Malaria RDI guide to results		
interpretation	>	53
Annex 3: Phase 1 results	>	58
Annex 4: Phase 2 results	>	63
Annex 5: Example algorithm for selecting		
a malaria RDT	>	90
Annex 6: Introducing RDT-based malaria		
diagnosis into national		
programmes	>	92

 \diamond

FIGURES

- Figure E1: Summary performance of malaria RDTs against blood samples containing wild type *P. falciparum* at low (200) and high (2000 or 5000) parasite densities (parasites/µl) and malaria-negative samples.
- Figure 1: Mode of action of antigen-detecting malaria RDTs
- Figure 2: Network of specimen collection, characterization and testing sites
- Figure 3: Malaria RDT Product Testing Overview
- Figure 4a: Origin of *P. falciparum* wild type samples
- Figure 4b: Origin of *P. vivax* wild type samples
- Figure 5: Testing procedure for Product A against a sample density of 2000 or 5000 parasites/µl
- Figure 6: Testing procedure for Product A against a sample density of 200 parasites/µl
- Figure 7: Phase 1 *P. falciparum* detection rate of malaria RDTs at low (200) and high (2000 or 5000) parasite densities (parasites/µl) according to target antigen type (HRP2 or pLDH)
- Figure 8: Phase 2 *P. falciparum* detection rate of malaria RDTs at low (200) and high (2000 or 5000) parasite density (parasites/µl) according to target antigen type (HRP2 or pLDH)
- Figure 9: Phase 2 *P. vivax* detection rate of malaria RDTs at low (200) and high (2000 or 5000) parasite densities (parasites/µl) according to target antigen type (aldolase, pLDH, aldolase + pLDH)
- Figure 10: Phase 2 wild type *P. falciparum* detection rate and positivity rate at 200 parasites/µl
- Figure 11: Phase 2 wild type *P. vivax* detection rate and positivity rate at 200 parasites/µl
- Figure 12: P. falciparum (P. falciparum test line) false positive rate against clean negative samples
- Figure 13: *P. falciparum* (*P. falciparum* test line) false positive rate against *Plasmodium* spp. negative samples containing potentially cross-reacting blood immunological abnormalities or infectious pathogens
- Figure 14: Plasmodium spp. (pan or P. vivax test line) false positive rate against clean negatives
- Figure 15: *Plasmodium* spp. (*pan or P. vivax test* line) false positive rate against *Plasmodium* spp. negative samples containing potentially cross-reacting blood immunological abnormalities or infectious pathogens
- Figure 16: *P. falciparum* false positive rate versus *P. falciparum* detection rate at low (200) parasite density (parasites/µl)
- Figure 17: P. vivax false positive rate versus P. vivax detection rate at low (200) parasite density (parasites/µl)
- Figure 18: Wild type P. falciparum detection rate according to first and second readings at 200 parasites/µl
- Figure 19: Wild type *P. vivax* detection rate according to first and second readings at 200 parasites/µl
- Figure 20: Heat stability of *P. falciparum* specific (HRP2) test line of *P. falciparum* only tests against a low density P. falciparum sample (200 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 21: Heat stability of *P. falciparum* specific (HRP2) test line of *P. falciparum* tests against a high density P. falciparum sample (2000 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 22: Heat stability of *P. falciparum* specific (HRP2) test line in combination tests against a low density *P. falciparum* sample (200 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 23: Heat stability of *P. falciparum* specific (HRP2) test line in combination tests against a high density *P. falciparum* sample (2000 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.

- Figure 24: Heat stability of pan-line of pan-specific tests against a low density *P. falciparum* sample (200 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 25: Heat stability of pan-line of pan-specific tests against a high density *P. falciparum* sample (2000 parasites/ µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 26: Heat stability of pan-line of combination tests against a low density *P. falciparum* sample (200 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 27: Heat stability of pan-line of combination tests against a high density *P. falciparum* sample (2000 parasites/ µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 28: Heat stability of pan-line of pan-specific tests only against a low density *P. falciparum* sample (200 parasites/ µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.
- Figure 29: Heat stability of pan-line of pan-specific tests only against a high density *P. falciparum* sample (2000 parasites/µl). Positivity rate at baseline, and after 60 days incubation.

Figure A6.1: Example malaria RDT implementation budget





https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_29134