

**THE ROLE OF POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION  
TECHNIQUES FOR ASSESSING LYMPHATIC  
FILARIASIS TRANSMISSION**

**Report of a workshop cosponsored by the World Health Organization and  
DBL-Centre for Health Research and Development, University of Copenhagen**

Copenhagen, Denmark

7-10 November 2006



**World Health  
Organization**



**THE ROLE OF POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION  
TECHNIQUES FOR ASSESSING LYMPHATIC  
FILARIASIS TRANSMISSION**

**Report of a workshop cosponsored by the World Health Organization and  
DBL-Centre for Health Research and Development, University of Copenhagen**

Copenhagen, Denmark

7-10 November 2006



**© World Health Organization 2009**

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization can be obtained from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: [bookorders@who.int](mailto:bookorders@who.int)). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications – whether for sale or for noncommercial distribution – should be addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806; e-mail: [permissions@who.int](mailto:permissions@who.int)).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

# CONTENTS

Page

## ABBREVIATIONS

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Rationale for the meeting
- 1.2. DBL – IHRD as meeting hosts
- 1.3. Principal conclusions of the workshop

### 2. GLOBAL PROGRAMME TO ELIMINATE LYMPHATIC FILARIASIS

### 3. MOSQUITO DISSECTION AND SAMPLING FOR USE IN INFECTION MONITORING

### 4. USE OF PCR ON POOLS OF MOSQUITOES FOR END-POINT ASSESSMENT AND POST-MDA SURVEILLANCE

### 5. STANDARDIZED PROTOCOL FOR XENOMONITORING BY PCR ANALYSIS OF MOSQUITOES

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

### 7. APPENDICES

#### 7.1. Appendix 1. Mosquito dissection and sampling for monitoring LF transmission

- 7.1.1. Transmission monitoring of *Wuchereria bancrofti* by mosquito dissection including sampling strategy with emphasis on *Anopheles* and *Culex* transmission in sub-Saharan Africa
- 7.1.2. A review of transmission monitoring by mosquito dissection including sampling strategy for *Culex*-transmitted *W. bancrofti* in India and *Brugia* transmission in SE Asia
- 7.1.3. Practical problems in monitoring transmission of LF, including a review of transmission monitoring by mosquito dissection and sampling strategies for *Aedes polynesiensis*-transmitted *W. bancrofti* in Polynesia
- 7.1.4. The strategy for mosquito sampling and for molecular xenomonitoring in the National Programme for Elimination of Filariasis in Egypt
- 7.1.5. Transmission monitoring by mosquito dissection and infection prevalence monitoring by PCR, including sampling strategy for *Anopheles* mosquitoes in Papua New Guinea

#### 7.2. Appendix 2. Transmission modelling and mosquito sampling

- 7.2.1. Review of sampling and sample size in relation to mosquito sampling protocols used to establish transmission of LF by dissection and by PCR pool screening, including some approaches to make better use of old data
- 7.2.2. A review of how the criteria for transmission stop was established and used in the Onchocerciasis Control Programme
- 7.2.3. Important differences between transmission of onchocerciasis and LF from a modeller's point of view
- 7.2.4. Transmission indicators for assessing LF interventions: the impact of population dynamics, sampling methodology and diagnostic tool performance
- 7.2.5. Impact of MDA on indicators of filariasis endemicity and transmission

### 7.3. Appendix 3. Diagnostic tools

- 7.3.1. Diagnostic tools for filariasis elimination programmes other than detecting infective mosquitoes
- 7.3.2. LF monitoring using PCR analysis on pools of mosquitoes
- 7.3.3. Persistence of filarial DNA in vector and non-vector mosquitoes: implications for xenomonitoring and transmission monitoring of LF

### 7.4. Appendix 4. Standard Operating Procedures

- 7.4.1. Sampling of mosquitoes
- 7.4.2. Mosquito sorting and preservation
- 7.4.3. DNA extraction from pooled mosquitoes
- 7.4.4. q-PCR using BmHhal (for pooled mosquitoes containing *Brugia*) and WbLDR-1 (for pooled mosquitoes containing *Wuchereria*)

### 7.5. Appendix 5. Hypothesis testing with the pool screening model

- 7.5.1 Description of the test procedure.
- 7.5.2 Annex A. Power curves for the threshold value of 2%.
- 7.5.3 Annex B. Power curves for the threshold value of 1%.
- 7.5.4 Annex C. Power curves for the threshold value of 0.5%.
- 7.5.5 Annex D. Power curves for the threshold value of 0.25%.
- 7.5.6 Annex E. Theoretical development of the hypothesis test.
- 7.5.7 Annex F. Glossary of key terms.

## 8. REFERENCES

## 9. PARTICIPANTS

## ABBREVIATIONS

ABR	annual biting rate
ADL	adenolymphangitis
AIBR	annual infective biting rate
ATP	annual transmission potential
CDC	Centers for Disease Control (USA)
CMFL	community microfilaria load
DANIDA	Danish International Development Agency
DBL	Danish Bilharziasis Laboratory
DEC	diethylcarbamazine citrate
EU	European Union
GPELF	Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICT	immunochromatographic test
IHRD	Institute for Health Research and Development
IU	implementation unit
L3	third-stage larva
LF	lymphatic filariasis
LQA	lot quality assurance
MDA	mass drug administration
MF	microfilaria(e)
MoH	Ministry of Health
MX	molecular xenomonitoring
NIH	National Institute of Health (USA)
MLE	maximum likelihood estimator
NPELF	National Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis
NTD	neglected tropical disease
OCP	Onchocerciasis Control Programme
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PELF	Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis
PNG	Papua New Guinea
PMG	Programme Managers' Guidelines (WHO)
qPCR	quantitative PCR
RFV	recently fed vector
SEARO	South-East Asia Regional Office (WHO)
TAG	Technical Advisory Group
TDR	Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO)
UMP	uniformly most powerful

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Rationale for the meeting

An international workshop entitled “Lymphatic Filariasis: Use of PCR in Monitoring Transmission” was held on 7-10 November 2006. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss and standardize the use of PCR as a tool for monitoring the success or failure of mass drug administration (MDA) programmes and to aid in determining when MDA programmes can be terminated.

The specific objectives of the meeting were to:

- prepare a standardized protocol for infection monitoring by mosquito dissection, including a mosquito-sampling strategy;
- prepare a standardized protocol for infection monitoring by PCR analysis of mosquitoes, including a mosquito-sampling strategy;
- examine and list the criteria that should be used to determine when significant transmission has ceased in an endemic area;
- prepare a standardized sampling protocol to be used in determining that significant transmission has ceased in an endemic area.

The detection of lymphatic filarial parasites in mosquitoes by PCR and its potential use as a monitoring and evaluation tool in the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (GPELF) has been discussed for several years<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>. During the TDR Scientific Working Group meeting on Lymphatic Filariasis (LF) in Geneva, May 2005, and at the subsequent meeting of the Technical Advisory Group (TAG-6) of the GPELF in Geneva, September 2005<sup>6,7</sup>, it was emphasized that the use of PCR in molecular xenomonitoring (MX) should be evaluated as a possible tool to monitor progress of the GPELF. Members of the TAG indicated a need to streamline the available PCR technology to make it more useful and easier to implement on a global scale.

It was recommended that available expertise be brought together for a three-day meeting to review the PCR methodology and to make recommendations for

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_29305](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_29305)

